

Library of The Theological Seminary

PRINCETON · NEW JERSEY

·>> **

FROM THE LIBRARY OF THE
REVEREND JOHN ALEXANDER MACKAY
LITT.D., D.D., LL.D., L.H.D.

BT 921 .M6 1901 Momerie, Alfred Williams, 1848-1900. Immortality and other sermons



5/1/6

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2024 with funding from Princeton Theological Seminary Library

J. a. highey hand 1, 1913.



IMMORTALITY



OCT 11 1968

IMMORTALITY

AND

OTHER SERMONS

BY THE

REV. ALFRED WILLIAMS MOMERIE

M.A., D.Sc., LL.D.

SOMETIME FELLOW OF ST JOHN'S COLLEGE; SELECT PREACHER BEFORE THE UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE; PROFESSOR OF LOGIC AND METAPHYSICS IN KING'S COLLEGE; AND MORNING PREACHER AT THE FOUNDLING HOSPITAL, LONDON

WILLIAM BLACKWOOD AND SONS EDINBURGH AND LONDON MCMI



IN MEMORIAM AFRITI



PREFACE.

As Dr Momerie died suddenly, his last four years' work was not prepared for publication.

I felt it a loving duty I owed him, also a duty to the world, to endeavour to copy his shorthand MS. and publish some of it.

If but one human being, plunged in the sorrow of sorrows, heart and soul throbbing with misery, tortured with aching despair, having lost an ideal Husband, devoted Lover, Friend, Companion, Protector, Support,—if but one human being derives comfort through reading these sermons on Immortality, sees Hope oust Despair, believes in certain reunion with the so-loved one, believes that this life

here may still be lived for him, and indeed with him, the heart continually singing—

"Soul of my soul, we shall meet again, And with God be the rest,"—

if but one human being,—I feel and know it will be the greatest reward and recognition Dr Momerie would have wished.

VEHIA MOMERIE.

3 PORTMAN MANSIONS, W.

CONTENTS.

									PAGE
INTRO	ODUCTION-1	му ро	SITIO	N IN	THE	CHUF	RCH		1
THE	IMPORTANCE	OF T	THE E	BELIE	FIN	IMMO	RTAL	ITY	12
THE	MYSTERY OF	DEAT	гн						19
THE	BENIGNITY	OF DE	ATH						26
THE	DESIRE FOR	IMMC	RTAL	ITY					33
THE	INJUSTICE O	F LIF	E						40
THE	INCOMPLETE	NESS	OF L	IFE					47
THE	NATURE OF	THE	SOUL				•		54
THE	GREATNESS	OF MA	AN						61
MAN'	S RIGHT TO	IMMO	RTAL:	TTY					68
IMMO	RTALITY IN	THE	LIGH!	rof	EVOL	UTION	v		75
THE	RESURRECTI	ON, I.							83
THE	RESURRECTI	ON, II	[.						91
SUBS	TITUTES FOR	IMM	ORTA	LITY					99
THE	LONGING FO	R RES	ST						106
OLD	TESTAMENT	IDEA	OF T	HE F	JTUR	E LIF	E		113
THE	GREEK IDEA	OF ?	THE E	TUTUR	E LI	FE			120
PRIM	ITIVE IDEAS	OF T	HE F	UTUR	E LII	FE			128

THE	SPIRIT	TUAL	BODY,	I.	٠					٠.	136
THE	SPIRIT	CUAL	BODY,	II.						•	142
PERS	SONAL	IDEN'	TITY							.*	149
REUI	NION					٠					156
RECO	GNITIC	ON		٠							162
THE	READ	USTM	ENT (F RI	ELATIO	NSE	HIPS				169
THE	CONTI	NUITY	OF 1	LIFE							176
THE	PROGE	RESSIV	ENES	s of	LIFE,	I.					183
THE	PROGE	RESSIV	ENES	s of	LIFE,	II.					189
RETI	RIBUTI	ON						٠			196
SECO	ND AI	VENT									203
THE	END (OF TH	E WO	RLD							210
THE	DAY	of Ju	DGME	NT						٠	216
HEA	VEN										222
HELL—											
	I. WH	AT IT	IS N	TC		٠				٠	229
1	I. WH.	AT IT	IS								236
FINA	L RES	TORAT	TION,	I.							243
FINA	L RES	TORAT	rion,	II.					٠		250
СОМ	MON F.	AILIN	GS								
	I. COW	ARDI	CE			٠			٠		256
1	II. IND	OLEN	CE								269
II	II. INT	OLERA	ANCE			٠		٠			282
ANI	MALS										293
BRO	AD CHI	URCHI	ISM								301

Introduction.

My Position in the Church.

HAVE sometimes heard the echo of a rumour that I had left the Church. I cannot understand it. It is true I am what is called a Broad Churchman; but in that respect I do not, I am happy to say, stand alone. I am not broader, indeed no one could be broader, than the late Dean of Westminster or the present Dean of Ripon. No doubt there are many good and honest souls, like dear old Father Ignatius, who think that I (and such as I) ought to be out of the Church. The Rev. Father has delivered many an oration against Dean Fremantle, Dr Driver, Professor Cheyne, Canon Gore, and myself, and has advocated our expulsion in the strongest terms he could command. But nothing has come of it. Here we all are (Dean Fremantle and the rest of us) as much in the Church as the holy Father himself.

Some people may think that though I have not yet left the Church, some day or other I shall. *Never!* Why in the name of common-sense should I? Go

out because I am a Broad Churchman! That is one of the best of reasons for remaining in. To leave it for any other Church or sect would be to go from freedom into slavery. It is now nearly twenty years since I took orders. During the whole of that time I have said and written precisely what I believed. Sometimes I have even ventured to criticise my mother the Church. It was done from lovalty and love; but it must have appeared, I am afraid, unfilial. However, the Church is magnanimous. She is tolerant and indulgent even to her most troublesome sons. And to-day her face seems to wear for me a kindly smile, since I am entering upon a new year of work with the explicit sanction of my excellent superiors. There is no other Church in the world which would have treated me so indulgently. The longer I live, therefore, the more attached I become to her. And if anybody says to you that I have left her, or am going to leave her, I shall be much obliged if you will tell them from me that I have no more intention of doing so than has the Archbishop of Canterbury himself.

But I may be asked, Would not my mother the Church have provided me with a duly consecrated building if it had been her intention that I should preach? Not necessarily. She permits and encourages a great deal of work to be done in unconsecrated buildings. Army chapels are never consecrated. Proprietary chapels are never consecrated. New churches on which there is any

considerable debt are never consecrated. The Foundling, where I preached for years, was not consecrated.

Still it might be said, While there are churches all round, and some of them-not very crowded, there can be no need of me. Well, I cannot help thinking there is need of everybody. The harvest is plenteous, but the labourers are few. And not only are they few, but each of them is only fitted to deal with a very limited portion of the harvest. A man's individual circumstances and education will enable him to minister to a certain class of persons, and to that class alone. When I say class I am not thinking of social distinctions. The class to which a preacher is adapted may contain persons belonging to different classes of society. His class. the class of hearers that are drawn to him, will be those who live, so to speak, on the same mental plane, who are interested in what interests him, who have felt his doubts, who have experienced his difficulties, who sympathise with his ideas, who appreciate his ideals. For all others his words will be either meaningless or irritating. Let me give you an illustration.

When I was at the Foundling, there came one Sunday morning into that very interesting but very antique Chapel an old lady of the old Evangelical school. She at once felt herself at home. Some of the people were sitting with their backs to the altar. The prayers were read to the congregation by a clergyman who looked down upon them from

a sort of pulpit. And, finally, as was the custom there. I went out and exchanged my surplice for a black gown. That especially reassured her. The venerable garment, to which she was much attached, had in those days almost disappeared; and when she saw it once again, she felt certain that she had come to the right place. She knew, or thought she knew, exactly what to expect. So she was quite looking forward to the sermon; everything had promised so well. But she soon discovered how deceptive appearances may be. As I proceeded with my discourse, her face grew longer and longer, and when I had finished she was heard to say, "I should have expected something better than that from a black gown. And to think of all the places I might have been at this morning!" Poor old lady! I was so sorry for her. But it was not my fault. I could not help it. I do not suppose I could have made a sermon to suit her, even if a year had been allowed me to do it in. She and I lived in different worlds, worlds which did not come into contact at any single point. Her world might be better than mine, or worse, or partly the one and partly the other. That does not matter. The fact remains that they were different, absolutely different. The people who lived in them had different tastes and sentiments, thoughts and hopes, and spoke altogether different languages. Some of my congregation, those who lived in my own world. whose minds moved on the same plane as mine, appreciated more than usual the very sermon which

to her seemed so worthless. And, no doubt, the kind of discourse which would have sent her on her way rejoicing would to them have seemed stale and unprofitable.

The existence, then, of these different classes of people, who move on different mental planes, who live in different mental worlds—this diversity of hearers necessitates a similar diversity of preachers. Manifestly those who live in the world of modern thought and those who have not yet emerged from mediævalism require very different kinds of sermons. And between these two extremes there are all possible varieties of hearers, who need, if they are to be instructed and inspired, all possible varieties of preachers. Any man, therefore, who is honest and earnest may feel pretty certain that he is wanted, may feel pretty certain that there is a class for which he is specially adapted, may feel pretty certain that there are people whom he can influence in a way which no one else living could. This may result from his being inferior to the rest of his Reverend brethren, or from his being superior; but in any case it results from his being different. And if in a moment of despondency a doubt should ever arise in my mind as to whether it was my duty to preach, it would be at once dispelled by letters that I receive week after week, which prove to me that I am helping people, and, what is more, that I am helping my Church. As my exceeding loyalty is so often called in question, I should like to read you a single sentence from

the most recent of these letters. "Some years ago," says the writer, "I was driven from orthodoxy by the narrowness and intolerance of some of those who profess it, and I thought there was nothing left but Unitarianism for one who would still claim the name of Christian; but since I have heard you I begin to think that was a hasty and erroneous conclusion."

Such is the apology I would offer for myself and my Portman Rooms. Until I can find a Church I shall do my work in a Hall. "Necessity is laid upon me, yea, woe is me if I preach not the Gospel."

Preach the Gospel! What does that mean? It is a hackneved phrase, and most people attach to it an entirely erroneous signification. Just let us inquire what it really means. The word "preach" is derived, as you know, from the Latin pradicare, which meant to speak publicly, and which is now restricted to speaking publicly on the subject of Religion. It corresponds exactly to the word "prophesy," which at first signified simply to say something before an audience, to make any kind of public declaration. For example, in the account of our Lord's trial we read that the soldiers buffeted Him and smote Him with the palms of their hands, and said, "Prophesy unto us, Thou Christ, who is he that smote Thee?" We have been accustomed to associate prophecy with the idea of prediction. Prediction, however, was but a detail, one of the least important details of the

prophet's work. Eliminate from the prophetic Scriptures everything that can be supposed to refer to the future, and those writings would still remain the most remarkable religious productions which had so far appeared in the world. Now, what was it the prophets prophesied? Righteousness. Whether they were referring to the past, to the present, or to the future, Righteousness was their eternal theme. And Jesus—the greatest of the prophets-had the self-same theme. Righteousness was the sum and substance of His Gospel. His very name means Righteousness. "He shall be called Jesus, for He shall save His people [what from?] from their sins." To save from sin, to redeem from iniquity, to make men righteous, was the aim of Jesus, as it had been the aim of all the prophets who preceded Him, as it will be the aim of all the prophets who shall follow Him. And every preacher is, or should be, a prophet. Like the ancient seers, like his Master Christ, he must preach Righteousness. If he does not, he prostitutes his office. If he does not, he is a lying prophet, inspired by the devil and not by God.

Righteousness. Another term that is often misunderstood and misused! What is Righteousness? To some people it suggests going to church, believing in the Thirty-nine Articles, subscribing to the Missionary Society, giving up sugar in Lent, and so forth. Righteousness! That is not Righteousness. Righteousness is all-round right conduct. It consists not in the isolated and spasmodic actions of Sundays and Saints' Days, but in the regular and systematic actions of every day. It covers the entire field of human existence. Preacher must endeavour to do the same. Philosophy, Science, Art, Literature, everything that has a bearing on conduct, comes legitimately and necessarily within the scope of the Preacher's work. The Philosopher deals with Philosophy as such; the Scientist with Science as such; the Artist with Art as such: the man of Letters with Literature as such. The Preacher deals with each of these things in its relation to conduct; and so far as in him lies he should, in that relation, deal with all of them. The theme of Righteousness embraces every other theme. It is the broadest of all themes.

And further, it is the most practical of all themes. There are people who imagine that Righteousness is something which has to do with standing by-and-by upon seas of glass and singing Hallelujah! That is not Righteousness. Righteousness is right conduct, all-round right conduct, here and now. And on our right conduct depends our happiness. It must be so. Just think. The Power, not ourselves — whatever you please to call it, the *Unconscious*, the *Unknowable*, *Nature*, *God*, the *Heavenly Father*—undoubtedly makes for Righteousness. When our conduct is right, therefore, there is harmony between ourselves and the environment; ourselves and the Power not ourselves are at one. When

our conduct is wrong, there is discord; ourselves and the Power not ourselves are at war. And what is likely to become of us, if we are at war with Omnipotence? I do not mean in the next world; but here and now. What is likely to become of the man who sets himself into opposition to all the forces of the universe? In the long-run — and not such a very long-run either —they are bound to destroy him. The theme of the pulpit, therefore, is a theme of paramount practical importance.

There is nothing which could concern men more closely. Righteousness is but another name for Reflerence happiness. But though Righteousness must sooner or later coincide with happiness, their coincidence is not always apparent, and is never quite immediate. There is a certain comfort attaching to lethargy, stagnation, and conventionality, which men sometimes mistake for happiness. There is a certain effort involved in development which men sometimes mistake for misery. They think that most excellent advice which they find in the Book of Ecclesiastes, "Be not righteous overmuch." But without development Righteousness is an impossibility. No one is doing right who is not doing his best; and no one is doing his best who is not continually doing better. Hence the opposition to the prophet's message.

People ask,—Why not leave well alone? Now, as of old, they say to the seers, "See not, and to the prophets, Prophesy not unto us right things,

but prophesy unto us smooth things; prophesy deceits." Confirm us in the conviction that our opinions and our practices are all of them quite nice. Now, as of old, people come to us and say, like Balak, Speak thus, and thus, and thus, and we will promote thee. It seems ungracious, it is certainly contrary to self-interest, but there is only one answer which the faithful prophet dares to make—"If Balak would give me his house full of silver and gold, I cannot go beyond the words of the Lord to say either less or more." And the Word of the Lord is in all ages and countries the same. The Word of the Lord is —Righteousness.

Woe to the man who, for purposes of his own, falsifies this Word, who preaches for money, for fame, for a bishopric, for anything but the promotion of Righteousness! He profanes the highest of all vocations and converts it into the most contemptible. Woe to the man who mistakes the Word of the Lord, and confounds it with some other word; who teaches people that they are to be saved by doctrines, by ceremonies, by something else than Righteousness. Even to him, though he may have honestly believed what he taught. there must come a terrible awakening. In the day of account I would rather be the humblest man on earth who had done his lowly duty, than a Preacher of the most transcendent gifts who had misrepresented the Word of the Lord.

"The parish priest
Of austerity
Climbed up in a high church steeple,
To be nearer God,
So that he might hand
His Word down to the people.

And in sermon script
He daily wrote
What he thought was sent from heaven,
And he dropt it down
On the people's heads
Two times one day in seven.

In his age God said,
'Come down and die';
And he cried out from the steeple,
'Where art Thou, Lord?'
And the Lord replied,
'Down here amongst My people!'"

PORTMAN ROOMS, 1st Sunday, Oct. 1898.

The Importance of the Belief in Immortality.

I HAVE often explained to you that beliefs have nothing directly to do with salvation. Beliefs will not save anybody. Salvation is a question of character. The saved man is the good man. But indirectly beliefs may have a good deal to do with salvation. Such of them as are stimulating and inspiring will help us incalculably in the struggle after goodness. And the most inspiring of all beliefs is the belief in Immortality.

I am bound in common honesty to admit that occasionally we find persons who can do without it. We find men here and there who, with no hope for the future, are yet living noble, useful, self-denying lives, spending and being spent for others, taking the most enthusiastic interest in all that concerns the wellbeing of their fellow-men, content to work and to suffer, that humanity may be better off, when they themselves shall have passed (as they think) into non-existence. All honour to such men. But there will never be

many like them. Just think what the denial of immortality means. Take, for instance, the sketch of Universal History which was given us by the late Professor Clifford. In the beginning, he said, there was an infinite number of dead, inanimate atoms. These, falling through space, came into contact with one another, and by their fortuitous concurrence were evolved, first of all a mass of fiery vapour, and then worlds, animals, men, instinct, reason, memory, imagination, will, thought, worship, love. If that were all, we could rest content; progressive Evolution must be the work of God. But that is not all, according to Professor Clifford. He sketched for us the future work of Evolution as well as the past; and that future work will consist, he said, in undoing everything that has been done. Instinct, reason, memory, imagination, will, thought, worship, love, are all to pass away and be no more. The planets and the stars, after having lasted long enough to be the charnel-houses of the sentient creatures which—at some time or other—probably existed upon all of them, will gradually be forced together into one central mass, which will radiate its heat into space, and at last become a frozen block. That is to be the end! All honour to the men who—in such a universe - could still love goodness and pursue it. But could you? Could I? I fear not. We should say to ourselves.—If the universe be so fundamentally irrational and so diabolically tantalising, why should we trouble about character? We should say to ourselves, Surely there can be no right and no wrong for a being who has been made, and who will be unmade, by dead, unthinking atoms. We should say to ourselves, What can it matter how we act; if our life be but a momentary gleam of consciousness in the passage of the atoms from the fiery cloud to the frozen block? In such a universe we should be stricken—should we not?—with the paralysis of despair.

That goodness would be impossible for the majority of us, if we believed ourselves in an irrational universe-and without immortality the universe would be irrational—that goodness would be impossible for the majority of us, if we believed ourselves in an irrational universe, was admitted, curiously enough, in the ablest book that was ever written to prove the contrary. Most of you, I daresay, have read 'Natural Religion.' Some of you may have seen my reply,-which was entitled 'The Basis of Religion.' The author of 'Natural Religion' tried to show that we could get on very well without the hope of Immortality. But in a remarkable Postscript he practically took back all he had previously said. The passage is as follows -"We may well doubt whether the natural, the material, can suffice for human life. No sooner do we try to think so-no sooner do we try to get rid of the idea of Immortality—than Pessimism raises its head. The more our thoughts widen and deepen, the more accustomed we become to boundless space

and time, the more petrifying is the contrast of our own insignificance, the more contemptible become the pettiness, the shortness, the fragility of the individual life. For a while we comfort ourselves with the notion of self-sacrifice. We say, What matter if I pass? let me think of others. But the other has become contemptible too, no less than the self. Human griefs seem little worth assuaging; human happiness too paltry (at the best) to be worth increasing. The whole moral world is reduced to a point. Good and evil, right and wrong, become infinitesimal, ephemeral matters. The affections die away—die of their own conscious feebleness and uselessness. A moral paralysis creeps over us."

On the other hand, if we believe in Immortality we shall feel that it is worth while to do our duty, and worth while to strive after perfection. Worth while! Do not misunderstand that phrase. I am not referring to the vulgar view of rewards and punishments. There is nothing more contemptible than to regard the working out of Salvation as a business transaction, in which, by the performance of a few irksome acts, we purchase for ourselves the title to a comfortable, easy-going state of existence by-and-by. That is not Salvation. To be saved is to be good. And to be good is to love goodness for its own sake. He who chooses it for the sake of payment, would prefer evil, if he thought it paid better; and consequently he does

16 Importance of the Belief in Immortality.

not really love goodness at all. Of the good man it may be affirmed—

"He asks no isles of the blest, no quiet seats of the just,

To rest in a golden grove, or to bask in a summer sky;

Give him the glory of going on and not to die."

He asks only that the goodness which he has chosen, he may be able to attain and to keep. He asks only that he shall not be stultified by the irrationality of the universe. I have admitted that here and there you may find a man who, even with the hopeless creed of the materialist, will still love goodness and pursue it. Such a man was the late Professor Clifford, whose dismal doctrine I just now quoted. "I have seen," he said, "the spring sun shine out of an empty heaven; and I have felt with utter loneliness that the Great Companion was dead." Yet, even in this state of spiritual desolation. he continued to regard character as the most precious of all possessions. But it is none the less a fact that Clifford's doctrine is a doctrine of despair; and despair, for almost all men, is enervating, depressing, paralysing. Hear what Renan has said on this subject: "Let us deny nothing, affirm nothing, but wait in hope. It is a beautiful custom that, when we pass away, we introduce music and incense, as expressions of our yearning for a higher sphere. The day in which the belief in an after-life shall vanish from the earth will witness a terrific moral and spiritual decadence. Some of us perhaps might do without it, provided only that others held it fast. But there is no lever capable of raising an entire people, if once they have lost their faith in the immortality of the soul"

And just as there is nothing more enervating, more demoralising than despair, so there is nothing more stimulating than hope! Tennyson speaks, you remember, of the mighty hopes that make us men; and the mightiest of all is the hope of Immortality. To believe in Immortality is to believe that there is reason and Righteousness at the heart of things. To believe in Immortality is to believe that there is a Somewhat, a Some One, without us, willing, longing, to answer the aspirations within us. The man who has such a faith will feel that, with every moral effort, he is doing something to hasten the glorious consummation-"the one far-off divine event, to which the whole creation moves."

To him it signifies but little what becomes of that which men call matter. He is certain that Evolution cannot end in an anticlimax. He perceives in it a dramatic tendency—a progress towards a goal. He is confident that before Nature is destroyed—if that should be her final destiny the universe will have been peopled with personalities, indestructible and capable of a life of neverending development.

But it sometimes seems as if the hope of Immortality were in danger of being extinguished. The greatest thinkers in all ages-men like Plato, Hegel, Goethe — have invariably believed in it. But many others, not so great, but great enough to impress and overawe the multitude—men like Haeckel, Clifford, Huxley—have denied or at any rate doubted it. So we are, many of us, perplexed. Belief and disbelief, hope and fear, faith and doubt, are struggling for the mastery. And we know not which will conquer. God grant it may be faith.

"We keep the watch together,
Doubt and I,
In stress of midnight weather,
Doubt and I,
Stand peering into darkness,
Foreboding rock and shoal,
Or shrinking in our weakness
From waves that o'er us roll.

We pace the deck together,
Faith and I,
In stress of midnight weather,
Faith and I,
And catch at times a vision
Of the bright eastern sky,
Where waiteth God to tell us
That we shall never die."

The Mystery of Death.

ONE evening some years ago I watched the sunset from the ramparts of the Alhambra. The spectacle was superb; but it filled me with sadness. The Moors who built that glorious palace, the Moors with their marvellous science and art and civilisation, had gone. But Nature did not care. She remained as beautiful as ever, as serene, as unmoved.

"The years no charm from nature take;
As sweet her voices call,
As beautiful her mornings break,
As fair her evenings fall."

I thought of the clever Pessimist who wrote the Book of Ecclesiastes, and I called to mind his despairing words,—" One generation passeth away, and another generation cometh; generation after generation passeth away, and returneth never more; but the earth abideth for ever." The permanence of Nature renders all the more pitiful the evanescence of Man.

While I was musing thus, the whole field of

existence spread itself out before me. I saw the vast procession of humanity emerge, generation by generation, from the mysterious ocean of birth and flit swiftly across the landscape towards the mysterious ocean of Death. For a time they wore a smile of hope; but the deepening shadows soon began to cause them anxiety, and finally filled them with dismay. As they neared their fatal bourne, I could hear them saying,—"Man giveth up the ghost, and where is he?" With that mournful refrain the mighty host vanished from my sight.

From the very beginning, Man has been thickly encompassed by marvels, wonders, prodigies. At first they seemed absolutely inexplicable; at first they appalled him. But one after another of them he has succeeded in explaining; one after another of them he has succeeded in robbing of its terrors. The ocean was once a fearsome marvel, weltering before him with its endless wash of waves, in which the weary sun plunged at evening, and out of which, in the morning, it bounded forth refreshed. But in his daring ships man has traversed it, visited its various islands, and touched its utmost shores. The Polar Circle was once a terrible wonder, enthroned on mountains of eternal ice, and wearing upon its brow the mystic crown of the Aurora Borealis. But man's hardy navigators have driven back the phantom, league by league, until but a small remnant of its dominion remains to be explored. The midnight Sky was once a boundless prodigy, a maze of capricious motions, a field where

comets played their devilish antics and shook down horror upon the hapless earth. But by the theories of his reason, based on the gigantic sweep of his Calculus, and aided by instruments which his ingenuity invents, man has unravelled perplexity after perplexity, blended discords into harmony, and discovered the laws of the heavens, the orderly system of the Stars.

So, too, in the moral world: man has solved many a problem that appeared insoluble, and has extended the empire of light and love far across the ancient realm of darkness. But—the secret of death remains as of old unfathomable, inscrutable, We are still asking an answer to our cry, "Man giveth up the ghost, and where is he?" For the full answer we must be content to wait. The problem of Death will only be completely solvedcan only be completely solved—by dying. Let me give you an illustration. You have heard of the Mysteries, as they were called in olden time. The Mysteries were recondite institutions, managed. as a rule, by the priesthood in conjunction with the State, and invariably shrouded in solemnity and awe. They were schools of moral and religious instruction. There came a day, it is true, when spurious Mysteries arose, in name, forms, and pretensions resembling the genuine ones, but under the control of unprincipled persons, and in them blasphemy and debauchery ran riot. But the original and authorised Mysteries were intended to foster purity and faith. For admission it was necessary

to be approved by the hierophant, to undergo rites of initiation, and to take an oath of secrecy. Inquiry was always made into the moral character of the candidate. Alcibiades, for example, was rejected on account of his dissolute habits. The mightiest warriors and kings - Philip, Alexander, Sulla, Antony — esteemed it an honour to be welcomed within the mystic pale. Men like Plato, Sophocles, Lycurgus, Cicero, belonged to these societies, and maintained that they wielded a mighty influence for good. The process of initiation, as with Masonry, embraced a number of ceremonies designed to try the mettle of the candidates. If the aspirant was found worthy and received, other ceremonies followed—ceremonies which symbolised some of the more profound doctrines of Religion, and in particular the doctrine of Immortality. The Mysteries were at once funereal and festive, beginning with the insignia of Death and ending with suggestions of eternal life. It was sought, by the splendour and secrecy thrown around these societies, to establish their doctrines in the reverential acceptance of the people, and to enhance the power of the Priesthood and the State. To compass these ends all the resources of the ancient world were called into requisition. Dr Oliver, in his 'History of Initiation,' gives an account of the dramatic ritual which was employed in the Mysteries of Vitzliputzli in South America. The candidate began by descending what was called the Path of Death. He traversed grim caverns excavated under the

foundations of the temple. Phantoms flitted before him; shrieks appalled him; pitfalls and sacrificial knives threatened him. At last, after many bloodcurdling adventures, he arrived at a narrow fissure. through which he emerged into the light of Day, where he was welcomed with frantic shouts, as a person born again, by the multitude, who had been waiting for him during the process of his initiation. And the Eleusinian Mysteries must have been more impressive still. The rites were carried out on the most stupendous scale. The temple at Eleusis was capable of holding 30,000 persons. Under such imposing circumstances, you can imagine how a neophyte might be overawed by a set of men who were acquainted with chemistry and electricity and gunpowder and hydrostatic pressure, with every scientific secret and mechanical invention that had been discovered. Darkness like that of the Mammoth Cave, darkness that might be felt, suddenly gave place to dazzling, blinding light; the floors of the building seemed to heave and the walls to crack; thunder bellowed through the mighty dome; now the ground yawned beneath his feet and revealed the hideous scenes and scenery of Tartarus; and anon the mild beauties of Elysium burst upon his ravished gaze amid strains of celestial music and the sublime apparition of the gods.

Well, you see—do you not?—the force of my illustration. I said for the full answer to our question, "Man giveth up the ghost, and where is he?"—we must be content to wait. Just as

the arcana of the ancient Mysteries were reserved for the Initiate, so the secret of Death belongs exclusively to the dead.

It has been magnificently said,—"The preliminary ceremony of the Mysteries was but a copy of our human experience. The awesomeness of the approach to the sacred audytum was like the awesomeness of the entrance to the Unseen World. Their initiation was but a miniature symbol of the Great Initiation through which every mortal man must pass."

When a fit applicant, after the preliminary probation, kneels (with fainting sense and pallid brow) before the veil of the Unknown, when the last pulsations of his heart tap at the door of eternity, when he reverently asks leave to participate in the secrets that are shrouded from the profane view of mortals, then the Infinite Hierophant orders the curtain to be drawn aside by Death—Death, the speechless steward of the celestial Mysteries. And after the awestruck neophyte has passed within, the blinding bandage of the body is taken from his soul, and he sees the answer to his old pathetic question,—"Man giveth up the ghost, and where is he?"

In the mean time, here and now, may be found,—"darkly hinted in types, faintly gleaming in analogies, softly whispered in hopes, passionately expressed in desires, patiently confirmed in argument, suddenly revealed in moments of inspiration"—here and now may be found suggestions, intima-

tions, foreshadowings, of a life beyond the grave—a life broader, deeper, brighter, better than the present. These various suggestions, intimations, foreshadowings it will be my purpose in the present course of sermons to gather up. God grant that when the course is finished we may be able to repeat—with a more sure and certain hope than we can command to-day—the inspired words of Mr Greg,—

"Slowly—slowly—darkening,
The evening hours roll on;
And soon behind the cloud-land
Will sink my setting sun.

Around my path life's mysteries
Their deepening shadows throw;
And as I gaze and ponder,
They dark, and darker grow.

Yet still amid the darkness
I feel that light is near;
And in the awful silence
God's voice I seem to hear.

The great, unending future,
I cannot pierce its shroud,
But I nothing doubt nor tremble—
God's bow is in the cloud.

To Him I yield my spirit;
On Him I lay my load:
Fear ends with Death; beyond it
I nothing see but—God.

Thus moving towards the darkness, I calmly wait His call, Seeing—fearing—nothing: Hoping—trusting—All!"

The Benignity of Death.

IT is sometimes supposed that if Adam had not sinned he would have been roaming about among the flowers of Paradise to this day. In other words, it is supposed that man was intended to be physically immortal, and that death is the punishment of his wrong-doing. Now this supposition finds no support in the Bible, and it is contradicted by conscience, by common-sense, and by Science. It finds no support in the Bible. We read there that God said to Adam, "In the day thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die." But he did not die-physically. He is declared to have lived 930 years. Consequently it was not physical death with which he had been threatened. The word death is often used in the Bible metaphorically. For instance, "As by one man sin entered into the world and death by sin, much more by one shall all be made alive." That which Adam forfeited, Christ—according to the Apostles restored. But Christ did not restore physical immortality; because it was not physical immortality

which had been forfeited. That which Adam incurred, Christ—according to the Apostles—removed. But Christ did not remove physical death; because it was not physical death which had been incurred. Adam's fall resulted—as our fall results—in strife, despair, misery, degradation—all of which is implied in the word death. Christ's mission was to result, through the restoration of Righteousness, in peace, confidence, and indestructible happiness—all of which is implied in the word life.—We have, then, no warrant in the Bible for the supposition that physical death is the punishment of sin.

Further, such a supposition is contradicted by conscience. Physical Death comes to men quite irrespective of their transgressions. It falls equally on the good and on the bad. It does not permit the best men to live longest; it carries off the innocent babe as well as the abandoned criminal. It depends on the iron laws of the material world; it is not related to the spiritual sphere of justice and conduct and character. It exercises no discrimination; and therefore, as a punishment, it would be unjust.

But spiritual death discriminates with unerring accuracy. Remorse, unrest, degradation — these things come to us strictly according to our deserts. We reap them only as we have sown.

Again, common-sense contradicts the supposition that if man had never sinned, he would have been physically immortal. Common-sense suggests that the Infinite God, after deliberately laying a plan, would not allow a demon to step in and compel Him to change it into a wholly different one. Common-sense suggests that, apart altogether from sin, man must always have been liable, at any rate, to accidental death. If Adam had fallen into the water, without knowing how to swim, he would have been as inevitably drowned before the Fall as after. Common-sense suggests that, unless some individuals died, others could not live. Imagine, for the sake of argument, that Adam had never sinned, and that the human race had been endowed with physical immortality. What would have happened? Why, there would soon have been too many people in the world to find sustenance, too many to find even standing room.

The descendants of Adam, doubling every twenty-five years, would have produced, in less than a hundred generations, many trillions of human beings, whose bodies, packed two or three deep, and conglomerated into one solid mass, would have covered the entire surface of the planet. That surely could not have been the original intention of the Creator.

Scripture, then, conscience, and common-sense alike suggest that sin was not the cause of death. And this suggestion is confirmed—demonstrated—by scientific research. Geology, which is the earth's autobiography, reveals to us the fact that death *preceded* moral transgression. Whole races of animals perished ages before man appeared upon the scene. We come across their remains in strata

deposited millions of years ago. Now, physically considered, man is governed by the same laws, and subject to the same forces, as what we call the brutes. His life, like theirs, is carried on by the waste and repair of tissues. And, in consequence, he must die as inevitably as they. Sin has nothing to do with their death, and it has nothing to do with his. He was made perishable from the first. The succession of generations, one generation passing away to make room for another—this was not an after-result foisted into the world by the devil. The office of death belonged to the primeval plan. The Creator's purpose was, not that man should live on earth for ever, but that, after a time, he should leave his tabernacle of flesh and depart to some other sphere. Of course, his experience in the other sphere would depend upon his moral character in this. If he sinned here, the consequences would follow him there. But sin was not, and could not have been, the cause of his physical death.

The origin of Death is to be found in the laws of life. Death is but the other side of life. Life and death are inseparable factors in every organic process. Organisms, as you know, are built up of cells. Each cell is possessed of a certain modicum of force or energy, and when its force is expended the cell is dead. The formation of a plant is possible only by the continuous dying and replacement of its cells. Similarly the growth and wellbeing of an animal depends on the perpetual change of its

constituent parts. For example, the epidermis, or outer skin, is made up of millions of tiny cells, which, with their dead bodies, form a guardian wall around the inner and more tender parts. And the death of the total organism is just as needful as the death of its component atoms. The mineral elements die—that is, surrender their peculiar powers and properties and enter into new combinationsin order that vegetables may live. Individual vegetables die (1) that other individual vegetables may live, (2) to furnish sustenance for the animal kingdom. The individual beast dies (1) to make room for other individuals of his species, (2) to supply the wants of man. The human being dies (1) for the good of his fellows here, and, (2) as we hope, that he and they may enter into a higher state of being hereafter. Thus, you see, death is a necessary element in the process of life. The wedded laws of growth and decay are revelations of the infinite mercy of God. Death is an echo of the voice of Love, reverberated from the inevitable limitations of material life. It is not a discord marring the happy destiny of man. It contributes to the divine harmony of the universe.

There are two considerations—one of which I have already hinted at—there are two considerations that will bring out in strong relief the benignity of Death: (1) The deathlessness of some would prevent others from being alive at all, and (2) even to those who were endowed with it, deathlessness would be a terrific curse.

- 1. I say the deathlessness of some would prevent others from being alive at all. Death multiplies—infinitely multiplies—the numbers of the living. It calls up fresh generations to the perennial banquet of existence. Instead of being confined within a narrow circle, the boon is endlessly diffused. Instead of smouldering in the motionless grasp of the single age, the torch of life is passed along through many, and so it gains in brilliance. The amount of satisfaction that can be received from any given pleasure by a thousand persons, each of whom experiences it for an hour, is immensely more than one person could receive from it in a thousand hours. And so the Creator has ordained that living creatures shall never monopolise the joy of life.
- 2. Physical Immortality would be a terrific curse, even to those who were endowed with it. Take away death, and you not only exclude men from the possibility of a higher life in the future, but you make the present life completely valueless. Just think. Physical Immortality could only be rendered possible—even supposing the laws of life permitted it, which they do not, but allowing for the moment that they do-physical Immortality could only be rendered possible by a strict limitation of numbers. Since none are to be removed from the earth, no more after a certain time can enter it. The space and material are wanted for those actually in possession. In consequence, not another infant would ever hang from a mother's breast or be lifted in a father's arms. What a

stupendous fragment would thus be struck from the fabric of human happiness! Think, further, of the kind of life which these Immortals would have to live. Century after century, millennium after millennium, they see the same everlasting faces, confront the same ever-recurring phenomena, engage in the same worn-out exercises, or lounge idly in the same unchanging stagnation. They have drained every spring of knowledge. They have exhausted every source of enjoyment. No dim marvels, no boundless hopes, beckon them towards the future. They have no future. They have nothing but a never-ending now. The incessant repetition, the unmitigated sameness, the eternal monotony of things would grow horrible and appalling to them. The world would become a hateful dungeon, and life an awful doom. What would they not give to migrate to some untried existence! They would be thankful even to lie down for ever in the attractive unconsciousness of the tomb.

The whole matter, then, may be summed up in the words of the poet—

"Oh, wondrous scheme devised on high,
At once to take and give:
He that is born begins to die,
And he that dies to live;
For life is death, and death is life,
A harmony of endless strife;
The mode of universal growth
Is seen alike in both."

The Desire for Immortality.

LET me tell you a story which is somewhere told by Emerson. Two members of the United States Senate were accustomed to find, in the midst of their political engagements, as many opportunities as possible for the discussion of speculative subjects. Their favourite topic was the immortality of the soul. But they could never find any satisfactory reasons for believing it. After a time one of them retired from Congress and went to live in a distant place. They did not meet for twenty-five years, and then it was at a crowded reception at the White House. With some difficulty they made their way to one another through the brilliant company. They shook hands long and cordially, but for a while did not utter a word. At last one of them said, "Any light, Albert?" "None," he replied. After a pause the other inquired, "Any light, Lewis?" and the answer again was "None." They looked silently into each other's eyes, gave one more grasp each to the hand he

held, and then parted for the last time.—After relating this story, Emerson says, the impulse which prompted those men to seek proof of Immortality was itself the strongest of all proof. I think that Emerson was right.

Let us see. Man has an instinctive love of life. It is not the love of being here, for he often loathes the scene around him. It is the love of personal existence. We regard our conscious being as above all things precious. We shudder at the very thought of annihilation. We cannot bear the idea of losing ourselves, of disappearing into nothingness, or sliding into the abyss of unconscious matter. This feeling is an inseparable element of our nature. And, mark you, it is not to be confounded with a mere shrinking from bodily death. It is an instinct of self-preservation, of soul-preservation. The most primitive of men cannot help distinguishing vaguely between his thinking soul and his unthinking body. And he soon begins to suspect that when death approaches to destroy the one, it may be possible for the other to escape. This is a very early doctrine of the human race. It is almost always to be found among barbarians. The ordinary savage implicitly believes in a future life. The idea is suggested to him, in all probability, by the phenomena of dreams. In sleep his alter ego, his other self, appears to be independent of the body, and to wander away at will. And the same sort of thing, he imagines, will happen

when he dies. At first, and indeed for a long time, the next life is regarded as less real than this. The soul of the departed is thought to be a ghost-a mere shadow. It was this conception of Immortality, as we shall see another time -it was this conception of Immortality which prevailed among the Jews, among the Greeks, among the Romans. Sheol, Hades, Orcus—each was a realm of shades. And of course people who held such a view looked forward to death with sorrow and dismay. But later on in the Evolution of Man, it begins to occur to him that perhaps the next life may be an improvement upon this—pleasanter, nobler, more real. With eager gaze he looks round him for analogiesanalogies that will throw a hopeful light upon his fate. Seeing the snake glide forth after it has cast its slough, he fancies that he too in dying may but lose a worn - out garment which the spirit no longer needs. Observing the beetle break away from its filthy sepulchre and enter on a new career, he immediately hangs a golden scarabæus in his temples as an emblem of his hope. He perceives that when the winter has passed away, there comes a resurrection of foliage, and of fruit and of flowers; so he dreams of some far-off springtime for his race, when the frosts of their destiny shall disappear, and the costly seeds that have been sown through the ages shall burst out into everlasting life. As he stands at dawn by the ocean-shore, lamenting the death of a shipwrecked friend, he sees the sun arise in glory, though perchance the night before it had set in gloom; and at once the thought occurs to him that his comrade also may rise again. He is told fabulous stories of a bird that in old age surrounds itself with spices and sets light to them, soaring aloft, rejuvenescent from the aromatic fire. Straightway he takes the phænix to be a type of the soul—the soul that shall spring forth immortal from the ashes of his corpse. He watches the silkworm as it weaves the cocoon and lies down in its grave to all appearance dead; he sees it at length come out, a winged moth, clad in the colours of the rainbow, endowed with higher faculties, and fitted for a brighter life. Forthwith he engraves a butterfly on the tombstones, and imagines that he too may by-and-by disentangle himself from the fetters of the flesh and be free to range at pleasure through the entire universe of God. Rightly or wrongly, all such similitudes serve to strengthen man's conviction that there is an existence beyond the grave, to foster in him the hope that he may survive the dissolution of the body, that he may even emerge triumphant from the wreck of the whole material world. And the desire for Immortality is no less persistent than profound. Springing up in the earliest ages, it has survived to this day. It is felt alike by illiterate peasants and by the imperial thinkers of our race. There are some cultivated people, no doubt, who have lost all hope of a future life; but even they cannot eradicate the desire for it. Disbelievers unite with believers in saying—

"Blessed hope that would point in its gladness
To the dreams of a bright world beyond!
Were we sure of its truth in our sadness,
Never more would our torn hearts despond."

Just listen to the words of two eminent Disbelievers. "It is with the utmost sorrow," says one, "that I find myself obliged to accept the conclusion here worked out. I am not ashamed to confess that the universe has lost for me its soul of loveliness, and although from henceforth the precept 'to work while it is day' will doubtless gain an intensified force from the terribly intensified meaning of the words, 'the night cometh when no man can work,' yet when at times I think, as think at times I must, of the appalling contrast between the hallowed glory of the creed that once was mine and the lonely negation of existence as I now find it, it will ever be impossible for me to avoid the sharpest pang of which my nature is susceptible." And another disbeliever in Immortality speaks as follows: "There is consolation, no doubt, in the thought of a heavenly Father who loves us, and who will assign us, in another life, an infinite reward for all the endurances of this. Above all, there is comfort in the reflection, that when we are parted by death, we are not parted for ever; that our love for those whom we have cherished on earth is no temporary bond, to be broken ere long in bitterness and despair, but a possession never to be lost again—a union of souls, interrupted for a little while, only to be again renewed in greater perfection and carried on into far higher joys. All this is beautiful and full of fascination. Why should we deny it? Candour compels us to admit that in giving it up, with other illusions of our younger days, we are resigning a balm for the wounded spirit, for which it would be hard to find an equivalent in all the treasures of philosophy, in all the répertoires of science." These are illustrations, which might be multiplied indefinitely, of the fact, that even when a man has lost all hope of Immortality, he is unable to rid himself of the desire for it. That is ineradicable.

Now a desire so profound and so persistent must have been purposely implanted in our hearts by Him who made us. We may therefore regard it as a prophecy. It is the pledge of its own fulfilment. There is a scientific axiom to the effect, that the existence of an organ implies the existence of a field for its operation. For example, there would be no fins unless there were water to swim in; no wings unless there were air to fly in, and so on. Important discoveries have frequently been made by following up this simple clue. I will give you an example. An explorer, while traversing a desert came across a little saurian with a swim-bladder. He took the hint, and continued his explorations, until he found the shores of a dried-up lake, where

ages before the little saurian had found a home. That which God promises He always performs. And so we may be certain that the dread of non-existence would never have been woven into the inmost fibres of our being, unless we were destined for Immortality. God will keep His word with us. The desire for eternal life, which is felt by every son of man, often develops into confident expectation. There are many—an innumerable company—who have a sure and certain hope that by-and-by they will come into the more immediate presence of God, and be enabled to live in closer communion with Him whom they adore. Could He respond with annihilation?

"Sure—the souls so true and trusting
Shall not trust for aye in vain;
Sure—there must be Something, somewhere,
Which shall meet that trust again.

If poor man, so weak and lowly,
Yet can rise to faith so high,
Sure the eternal God who made him
Will not give his faith the lie,"

The Injustice of Life.

DID you ever come across the following epitaph?—

"Here lie I, John Elginbrod;
Have mercy on my soul, O God!
As I would do, if I were Thee
And Thou wert me, John Elginbrod."

Daring words! but not more daring than Abraham of old addressed to his Maker. Gen. xviii. 25: "That be far from Thee, Lord, to slay the righteous with the wicked. Shall not the Judge of all the earth do right?" He who is going to judge others should Himself be just. And to treat the righteous like the wicked would be wrong. You see, there is a wrong for Deity no less than for Humanity. There are certain things which God ought not to do. He has duties as well as we. And the first, the most paramount, of His duties is to be just. Our deepest moral

instinct is the love of fair-play. We demand of the Creator — we may not venture to say so, but in our inmost hearts we demand of the Creator that He shall treat His creatures—all His creatures—equitably. His doing so to 999 out of 1000 would not satisfy us. If one—even the humblest and meanest—were defrauded of his rights, our sense of justice would be shocked. And instances of apparent unfairness, far from being exceptional, seem rather to be the rule. In fact, justice so often fails in this world, that History is little more than the record of its failure. Just think!

To begin with, there is premature mortality. Nearly one-half of our race perish before the age of ten. Life is given and taken away, to all appearances, without reason or rule. In this respect, as in all others, Nature shows the most absolute want of heart. At one fell swoop are snatched away—infant, sage, hero, martyr, sot. Courage is struck down in battle, whilst cowardice fattens on the spoils of war. A consummate villain may live to a hoary old age, and a man consecrated to the service of his fellows be cut off in his prime—on the eve, perhaps, of some signal discovery or achievement.

Then, again, think of those to whom the gift of life is almost, if not entirely, worthless. Many of our fellow-creatures are sentenced at their very birth to penal servitude. Look at that long procession of weary faces and bowed forms and stunted figures! They are the people who do nothing but stitch, and hammer, and dig, and toil, and who are rewarded for their work by a wage that just keeps them alive, just enables them to continue their weary round. The appalling emptiness of their existence is well described in this little poem:—

"Hushed are the engines of the mill,
Out of its gates the toilers go
Into the twilight, damp and chill,
And down the paths of the sloping hill
To the town below.

There are children there with faces sweet,

But pinched and pale, and worn and thin;
And they hurry on with weary feet
To the wretched home in the dreary street,
Ere the night begin.

Home to the drunken curse and blow,

And the meagre meal of poverty;

To crouch by the hearth where the fire is low,
While golden dreams in the embers glow

That can never be.

And when the early gleam of day
Glitters upon the steeple vane,
Through the streets in the morning grey,
With hurrying steps they haste away
To the mill again.

Back to the ever rolling wheel,
Back to the never-ceasing loom,
To toil till the dazed senses reel,
In the din of the crashing steel
And the smoky gloom,

By-and-by comes the twilight chill,

Then out of the gates again they go
Into the evening dark and still,

And down the paths of the sloping hill

To the town below."

Poor wretches! They know that great things are in the world, that great deeds are doing, in which they can have neither part nor lot. They know—at least they have a vague feeling—that goodness is as much out of their reach as happiness, that there is *something* in them which has never had a chance. Hapless mortals! who die without having really lived.

You may say these are extreme cases. But that does not justify them. Besides, the inequality, the seeming unfairness of life, is exemplified in all of us.

Each on our own strict line we move, and some find death ere they find love. Prosperity and adversity are seldom awarded with any regard to merit. Sunshine and sorrow do not depend either on effort or desert. The good are often destitute, and the bad eminently successful.

Some of the best of men are the victims of disease, and drag out their years in agony. Some of the worst, with seared consciences and nerves of iron, revel in all the enjoyments that health and money can bestow. The virtuous suffer for the vicious; the idle thrive on the industrious. Saints are crucified, and the scum of the earth wag their heads in derision. True, there is sometimes compensation. In the breast of the sufferer

there may reign "the peace that passeth understanding." But even this may be withheld. It was withheld from Christ. Often times, we are told, martyrs on the funeral pyre

> "Would lift their raptured looks on high, As though it were a joy to die."

But it was not so with Him. In the supreme hour of His agony, He felt, not peace, but despair. "My God! my God!" He exclaimed, "why hast Thou forsaken Me?" The greatest of the martyrs was denied the ordinary reward of martyrdom. Jesus of Nazareth passed away in darkness and in doubt.

If, then, this world be all there is for us, its Author is not just. Regarded as a system complete in itself, it is riddled through and through with wrong. And yet we cannot bring ourselves to think that it was made by chance, or created by a Devil. There is too much beauty in it for that, too much rationality, too much happiness, too much progress. We cannot help believing that it has emanated from a Being who is wise and good. And in that case we should find in our present subject the strongest possible argument for Immortality. The inequalities of earth bear unmistakable testimony to a compensating world beyond. The apparent caprice with which happiness is now distributed affords incontrovertible evidence of a hidden sequel. For the future is needed to redeem the present from contempt. Immortality

alone can vindicate the character of God. What if He allowed Christ and Herod, Paul and Nero, Timour and Fénelon, to drop through the blind trap of death into the same condition of unawakening sleep? What if He turned to destruction the whole human race, when so many of them had never tasted the cup of happiness, when so many of them could not but be vile? Why, you and I would be ashamed to act like that, and shall mortal man be more just than God? Shall man, with his dream of Immortality, rise superior to anything his Maker can achieve?

It is impossible. And therefore the inequalities of life need not so much distress us. be explained by-and-by. Even now we can see the necessity for some of them. The world is governed—as any rational world must be governed -by laws; and these laws, though in the longrun working together for good, cannot but entail occasional suffering and disaster. Moreover, men are free to act as they choose, and they often choose amiss. They are hampered, too, by the sins of their ancestors, and by the difficulties of their environment. And so, through the brief span of their present existence, they find themselves in a confused medley of good and evil, of harmony and discord. But the discords are being slowly resolved; the evil is being surely annulled; and eventually the Creator will be justified of all His creatures. There is love somewhere for the desolate. There is goodness somewhere for the

depraved. There is justice somewhere for the slaves of heredity. There is light somewhere for the most darkened. There is a chance somewhere for those who had no chance on earth. And there awaits for all of us somewhere a renewal of departed happiness, a fulfilment of faded hopes, a realisation of vanished dreams, a recompense—a god-like recompense—for the unmerited hardships of our mortal life.

"Some day, but not yet,
Somewhere, but not here,
God shall by thee set
Joys from each past year.

Each sweet bower that shaded Shall again bloom fair; Each sweet flower that faded Once more scent the air.

Light shall on the mountains Clear shine after rain, And the long-dried fountains Well up fresh again.

Old familiar faces
Greet thee as before,
Whilst thy heart rejoices
That ye part no more.

All things true and tender Shall thine eyes behold, In the new light's splendour, Fairer than of old.

And thy soul forget
Grief, remorse, and fear—
Some day, but not yet,
Somewhere, but not here."

The Incompleteness of Life.

HERE, I think, we shall find another argument in favour of Immortality.

Man is the incompletest thing on earth. else—star, ocean, mountain, bird, beast, insect—has a certain perfection. It fits into its own place, it gives no hint that it might have been other than it is. But not so man. His body, it is true, is completely developed. But which of us has reached the plenitude of his mental or his moral capabilities? The body is often compared to a scaffolding, and the spirit to a temple built up within it. If the comparison be a just one, we have the strange anomaly of a mere framework, made so perfect that it could gain nothing by being preserved for ever, while that for the sake of which it was called into existence is left unfinished, is sometimes indeed scarcely begun. The average lion is a type of lionhood. But where will you find the man who is a type of manhood? Why, even the saint, the philanthropist, the hero, the thinker each of these does but represent a single phase of the all-round, full-orbed, ideal man.

If we were meant to be happy, we never thoroughly attain our end. To some the very word is unmeaning, so uniformly wretched is their lot. And to the most fortunate of us there come, now and then, visions of happiness infinitely brighter than anything we have actually known.-If we were meant to be good, that again is an end which we never completely reach. There are souls, to be reckoned by the million, whose circumstances have made it practically inevitable that they should be desperately wicked. And the best of us are precisely those who feel most keenly how much better we might have been. - If we were meant for service, to confer benefits on our fellows, to further the progress of the world, in that case also the end of our being remains unrealised. Here, too, we fail far oftener than we succeed. Our labours are unfruitful and disappointing. Of a thousand seeds sown, and watered with blood and tears, only a few arrive at maturity. Hundreds of soldiers die in the trenches for one that mounts the breach. Half our efforts are in the wrong direction; and the other half are too clumsy and feeble to produce any great effect. Should we be able to say, at the close of life, that we have enjoyed a little happiness and done a little good, we shall be more fortunate than the majority of our race. But assuredly, when we look back upon our career, we shall be unable to regard it with complacency, as a part perfectly filled, or a work thoroughly accomplished. The finer the spirit, the profounder the insight, the more unconquerable will be the sense of incompleteness. You remember that touching soliloquy in the "Passing of Arthur":—

"O me! for why is all around us here
As if some lesser god had made the world
And had not force to shape it as he would?

For I, being simple, thought to work His will,
And have but striven with the sword in vain;
And all whereon I leaned in wife and friend

And all whereon I leaned in wife and friend Is traitor to my peace, and all my realm Reels back into the beast, and is no more."

Even if we have managed to achieve some partial successes, nevertheless the sense of incompleteness will be little, if at all, diminished. Theodore Parker on his deathbed exclaimed,—"I wish I could carry on, carry out, my work. I have only half used my powers."

The fact is, our endowments are altogether out of proportion to a life of threescore years and ten. Reason, Will, Conscience, Love—all these carry with them implications of eternity. Take Conscience. On this alone Kant based his famous demonstration of Immortality. Conscience bids us aim at perfection, and perfection is not to be reached on earth. If, therefore, this life be the only life for us, we are over-weighted in our moral nature. All we required was some sort of instinct, such as that which deters an animal from eating noxious food. Conscience needs an enduring arena for its operation. Giving it to an ephemeral being would be like setting a mighty

engine to propel a rowing-boat, like building an Atlantic liner to steam across—a rivulet.

I remember reading somewhere that Pietro, the tyrannical Duke of Florence, in one of his capricious moods ordered Michael Angelo to mould a statue of snow-a statue that the warmth of an Italian sun would dissolve in a single day. A sad waste of artistic skill! But that is as nothing compared to the prostitution of creative power in making beings like ourselves to rot for ever in the tomb. If it is intended that we should merely cross the petty stage of earth, then our noblest faculties are deceptive and useless excrescences. As soon as we learn to live, we are called upon to die. We spend our allotted span in sharpening our tools, in finding out how to use them, and then we are removed from the workshop. Must there not be some other place in which we shall exercise our craft? Annihilation would be an absurdly incongruous sequel. Nay, it would be worse than absurd. It would be a species of infanticide, committed in the most deliberate manner and carried out on the most gigantic scale. Character continues growing to the end of life. Many of our best qualities—such as humility, forbearance, contentment—are the fruit of weary and protracted discipline. And shall our existence terminate just when we are most fitted to appreciate it, to understand it, to make use of it? Shall the beginning of our success be the signal for our extinction? Is our goal to be our grave? God surely would proportion means to ends. He would see to it that our nature corresponded to our destiny. He cannot have endowed us with faculties entirely out of keeping with our fate.

There is no incongruity when a leaf falls to the ground and dies; for it gave no promise of further development. But it is different with a rosebud; for that implies a rose. When the canker-worm devours it, there is loss, waste, failure. And can it be that in the Garden of Souls every bud shall canker ere it bloom? If so, we are the most pitiable of all created things. As we advance in culture we only obtain a wider survey of possibilities that will never be realised, of glory that does but tantalise and mock us! Each step in our ascent is a preparation for a deeper fall. The more brilliant our endowments, the more terrific is our doom. We can but echo the melancholy sentiment —the saddest that was ever expressed in human speech-

"What good came to my mind I did deplore,
Because it perish must, and not live evermore."

Unless, then, our existence is going to turn out a most astounding, most appalling fiasco, it must be continued beyond the grave. Everything indicates that it will be. Everything indicates that we have been born to an inheritance which fadeth not away. Everything indicates that death only transfers us to a nobler sphere of being. Everything indicates that our threescore years and ten form but a short

chapter in the volume of our life. This is the only adequate interpretation of the facts of experience. And the more persistently we act in accordance with it, the more profoundly are we convinced that it is correct. He who lives as if he were an immortal being, becomes gradually assured of his immortality. The future life, for him, has passed from the realm of belief into that of knowledge. It has ceased to be a hope; it has grown into a certainty.

"Here sits he shaping wings to fly.

His heart forebodes a mystery;

He names the name—eternity."

I will give you an illustration. At the close of his life Victor Hugo spoke as follows: "I feel Immortality in myself. I am rising, I know, towards the sky. The earth gives me its generous sap, but heaven lights me with the reflection of unknown worlds. You say the soul is nothing but the resultant of bodily powers. Why, then, is my soul more luminous as the bodily powers begin to fail? Winter is on my head, but eternal spring is in my heart. There I breathe at this hour, as I did at the age of twenty, the fragrance of lilacs and violets and roses. The nearer I approach the end, the plainer I hear around me the immortal symphonies of the worlds to come. It is marvellous, vet simple. It is a fairy tale, yet a fact. For half a century I have been writing my thoughts in prose and verse; history, philosophy, drama, romance, tradition, satire, ode, song—I have tried all. But I feel I have not said a thousandth part of what is in me. When I go down to the grave I shall have ended my day's work. But another day will begin next morning. Life closes in the twilight; it opens with the dawn."

That is beautiful. And, as I am trying to show you in the present course of sermons, all the facts of our common experience are arguments in favour of its truth.

"The facts of life confirm the hope That, in a world of larger scope, What here is faithfully begun Will be completed—not undone."

The Nature of the Soul.

"Out of the night that covers me,

Black as the pit from pole to pole
I thank whatever gods there be
For my unconquerable soul.

In the fell clutch of circumstance
I have not winced nor cried aloud;
Under the bludgeonings of chance
My head is bloody, but unbowed.

Beyond this place of wrath and tears
Looms but the horror of the shade;
And yet the menace of the years
Finds, and shall find me unafraid.

It matters not how strait the gate,

How charged with punishments the scroll:
I am the master of my fate;
I am the captain of my soul."

WE have among us, barely without the four-mile radius from Charing Cross, the man who wrote these four quatrains, or rather cast them in clanging bronze; yet simply because he is alive, because the voice of our homage could reach him, and to some extent mitigate for him "the fell clutch of circum-

stance," we hesitate to hail him a great poet,—W. E. Henley.

What is the soul? Is it a function of the body, or an independent being? Is it made up of changes in the organism, or is it entirely inorganic? To use the old Platonic similes,—Is the soul related to the body as a tune to a musical instrument, or as a rower to a boat? In the one case, of course, it must die when the body dies. In the other it need do nothing of the kind. A tune would inevitably come to an end, if the instrument on which it was being played were broken up; but a rower may survive a wreck.

At the first superficial investigation of the subject, we may be inclined to take the despondent view. From the physical standpoint a man is made of matter, just like a plant. The vital force in each case is material. It is derived ultimately, no less than chemical or mechanical force, from that great reservoir of power—the sun. Under suitable conditions, life takes possession of certain portions of matter, and raises them to the level of organic existence. In time, after a wavering conflict between waste and renewal, these material organisms decay and fall to pieces. This law holds good throughout the whole organic realm—from the bulb of a weed to the brain of a Cæsar. When the weed dies it is resolved into its component parts, and there is an end of it. And so with Cæsar. In death his body is destroyed; and, if that is not an end of him, at all events he gives no sign to the contrary. Such phenomena not unnaturally *suggest* the idea of personal annihilation; but do they prove it? Let us see.

It has been acutely remarked by Mr Grey that when we look upon the corpse of a friend, we are seized by an irresistible conviction that somehow the body lying there is not he whom we loved. It does not produce the effect of his personality. We miss the picture though we still have the frame. What is present only makes more vivid the feeling of absence. We have seen the eyes as firmly closed, the limbs as motionless, the breath almost as imperceptible, the face as fixed and expressionless, in sleep or in trance, without experiencing the same peculiar feeling. We cannot get rid of the idea that that body, quite unchanged to all appearance, is not, and never was, our friend—the being we were conversant with; that his individuality was not the garment before us PLUS a galvanic current; that in fact the person we knew once and still seek was not that—is not there,"

Pure fancy! perhaps you say. Well, let us look into the subject somewhat more deeply. Let us try if we cannot discover the essential nature of the soul.

Now, I can easily show you this much at anyrate. Mind—by the way, I always use the terms mind, soul, spirit, self, ego, personality, individuality, as synonymous—Mind is the very antithesis of matter. Only hopeless incompetence or deliberate sophistry could possibly confuse things which are

so essentially dissimilar. Sensation, thought, emotion, hope, volition, remorse are unthinking as modes of material substance. There is nothing in common between an aspiration and an atom, between a sentiment and a gas, between an idea of truth in the mind and a mass of matter in space. It is an incredible, inconceivable, nonsensical idea, that our mental experience consists of a shifting concourse of atoms, a plastic combination of particles, a regular succession of galvanic shocks, or any other collection of material phenomena. For the two sets of things are in all respects different; and it is therefore a contradiction in terms to declare that they are the same. Material things are extended, divisible, ponderable. Mental things are unextended, indivisible, imponderable. You cannot measure a thought; you cannot weigh a sensation; you cannot divide an emotion. Your mental life is destitute of all the qualities of material existence, and it possesses all the qualities which the material existence lacks. Matter and mind belong to different realms. They are separated by the whole diameter of being.

Further. Not only are the experiences of the soul dissimilar to anything which can take place in the organism, but the soul itself is a simple, single, identical being. That fact alone would serve to distinguish it for ever from the body and from every other kind of matter. In the material world there is, strictly speaking, no identity. When we say that the same tree has stood fifty years in one

place, we mean only that it is the same for purposes of property and use and ornament. The particles of which it is composed are certainly not the particles of the original tree. But a new element appears when we enter the realm of mind. Here, notwithstanding the fact that the substance of the body is in perpetual flux, the same conscious personality persists, growing ever richer in experiences, but remaining always identically itself. We know that we have been ourselves as far back as memory will reach. Every act of remembrance reveals to us our unity. Every act of remembrance makes us conscious of our personal identity. Every act of remembrance produces in us the conviction that the mind which is experiencing the recollection of a certain fact is the same mind which formerly experienced the fact itself. For instance, I remember an accident that happened to me ten years ago. To me — ten years ago. I therefore must have existed, must have persisted, from then till now. And in the meantime I, one and the self-same ego, a single, indivisible, permanent being, have felt and thought, and remembered and reasoned. and loved and hated, and hoped and feared, and willed and acted, and by means of my IDENTITY have gathered up these varied experiences into the unity of a personal life.

Again, there is another, and still more striking, difference between mind and matter. I have often discussed it, both in my Books and in my Sermons. Any one who is interested in the subject should

read my Essay on Personality. I can only now state the fact—the fact, viz., that while matter is necessitated, mind is free. The soul balances opposing motives; it chooses between rival solicitations: it forms resolutions: it decides on its course of action; it carries out its chosen designs. It can summon to its aid thoughts that will help in the suppression of a base passion, or in the kindling of a noble sentiment. When hurried along by some wild and delirious temptations, it can pause in midcareer and ask itself,—Shall I, ought I, to proceed? Within limits it can make its life what it will. In view of all this, it is imbecile to maintain that the life of the soul is a mere tune—a tune played by the body. How can a tune deliver its own notes? How can an instrument play itself? Until an organ is seen to blow its bellows, mend its keys, move its pedals, and begin to discourse music of its own accord; until a violin is seen to screw up its strings, wield its bow, and give a spontaneous performance, until every Cremona becomes a Paganini, we shall be compelled to believe that the soul is a dynamic, causative entity, a free and independent being, which uses the body as an instrument, and plays thereon the harmony or the discord of its life.

If such be the nature of the soul, it cannot be destroyed by death. No material processes can disintegrate a spirit. Through all the changes of its body, through all the vicissitudes of its experience, the Soul continues to be itself. It survives the flux of phenomena in life, and there is no conceivable

reason why it should not survive the flux of phenomena in death. To remain identical in the midst of change is the essential characteristic of an ego. Muscle, nerve, brain, body belong to a realm of being where the laws of dissolution reign supreme. But the fretful sieges of decay can never reach the soul. It is fortressed in a spiritual sphere.

"The soul, secure in her existence, smiles At the drawn dagger and defies its point. The stars shall fade away, the sun himself Grow dim with age, and nature sink in years, But thou shalt flourish in immortal youth, Unhurt, amidst the war of elements, The wreck of matter, and the crash of worlds."

The Greatness of Man.

IN the light of modern astronomy Man would seem to be inexpressibly little. The more the universe grows upon us, the more petrifying is the contrast of our own insignificance. When we look into the unfathomable abysses of space; when we see worlds scattered everywhere innumerable as the grains of sand upon the ocean-shore; when we remember that this earth of ours is but a tiny, outlying corner of the universe: when we realise the fact that the whole life of our race does not occupy a single tick of the Great Sidereal Clock,—we feel dwarfed into nothingness, and we are seized by an intolerable heartache. Of what consequence, in this infinitude, are we poor atoms with our ephemeral wants and hopes and aims? Is it not preposterous to expect that the Maker and Sustainer of it all will vouchsafe us any notice or support? And as for Immortality, we can but echo the words of Robert Buchanan—"Shall we survive, when suns go out like sparks, and the void is strewn with the wrecks of worn-out worlds?" But this despairing conclusion is a mistake. It is altogether unwarranted by facts.

In the first place, I observe, if you are going to introduce considerations of physical bulk—they have nothing to do with the subject, as I shall explain in a minute—but if you will persist in bringing them into the discussion, it can easily be shown that Man is not so very little after all. There are some things, no doubt, larger than he; but there are *more* things smaller. And it is only fair to look in both directions.

The discoveries of the microscope balance those of the telescope. The animalculæ magnify man as much as the nebulæ belittle him. A single grain of musk contains so many atoms, that it can impregnate a room by their exhalation for a quarter of a century, and at the end of that time it will not have been perceptibly diminished. An ounce of gold may be divided into 432 billion parts, each microscopically visible. Quadrillions of minute animals, dwelling in a drop of water, find room enough and to spare. There is a deposit of slate in Bohemia, covering forty square miles to a depth of 8 feet, every cubic inch of which contains 41,000 million insects. are as much smaller than men as men are smaller than the astronomic heavens. And yet, each of these little creatures possesses a muscular organism as perfect as an elephant's. So, you see, the Creator does not care for things, or

neglect them, according to their bulk. He would be a strange sort of Creator if He did. Forand this is the second point—bulk has nothing whatever to do with worth. It is from the experience going on within a man-not from the firmament without—that his importance and his destiny are to be inferred. The human mindwith its thought and reason, its faith and love, its conscience and aspiration—the human mind is the same in its instrinsic value, whether the universe be as small as it seemed to Abraham. or as large as it appeared to Humboldt. Indeed the value of the mind is continually growing more apparent, as faculties, which were at first but latent, become in course of time actively developed. For instance, the telescope, in rending the veil of distance and laying bare the outer courts of being, has given one of the most sublime proofs of the transcendent greatness of man. Since he has measured the distance and ascertained the weight of Sirius, it is surely more appropriate to kneel in amazement before the inscrutable mysstery of his genius, than to be plunged into despair by the mere size of a star. Shall we humble ourselves before a brute mass of matter? After looking above, let us look within, and then we shall be able to say with the poet-

"I feel
Among these mighty things that, as I am,
I am akin to God; that I can grasp
Some portion of the reason in the whole,

The whole is ruled and founded; that I have A spirit, nobler in its cause and end, More wonderful in plan, far greater in its powers Than all these swift and bright immensities."

Who would not prefer to be the soul that can predict the movements of the heavenly bodies for centuries to come—who, I say, if the choice were given him, would not rather be the astronomer than the whole of that array of revolving worlds? The soul is not made for them. They are made for the soul. They are the dwelling-places of man, and other children of the King. A Prince is of more consideration than a Palace - would be of more consideration, though its foundation pressed the shoulder of Serpentarius, though its turret touched the brow of Orion, though its wings extended from the Great Bear to the Phenix. And similarly, one noble thought is greater than any earthy planet, one divine aspiration is greater than a whole stellar system of dust and gas, a single human soul is greater than the entire material universe.

The more we reflect, the more profoundly do we realise the insignificance and littleness of matter. Let me give you two illustrations. The first will be rather difficult to follow. I am not quite sure that I can make you understand it, but I will try. You have heard, I daresay, of the doctrine of Idealism, a doctrine which has been held by most of the world's ablest thinkers — by Plato, for instance, by Berkeley, by Hegel. According to

these philosophers, mind is the only real, and therefore the only indestructible, existence. Matter is no substantial entity, but a mere phenomenon or appearance, a transient show produced by the continuous volition of the Deity. By-and-by, when that volition is withdrawn, it will at once relapse into nonentity. The stars, the earth, the body, are but so many exertions of divine force, projected into vision, to serve for a season as a theatre for the training of human spirits. When that purpose has been accomplished, in the twinkling of an eye the phantasmal exhibition will disappear, the material universe "will vanish like a ghost before the sun," leaving nothing behind—but souls.

You may say that is only a piece of metaphysical guesswork. Well, a similar conclusion can be reached, if you prefer it, along the Pathway of Physics. Newton, in his 'Principia,' demonstrated the infinite compressibility of matter. Now just think what the infinite compressibility of matter means. It means that all the worlds which roll in space could be condensed into a single globe no larger than a walnut. Suppose they were. Then, it has been superbly said, on that petty lump of apparent substance-still only apparent, for it could yet be reduced into absolute invisibility — on that petty lump of apparent substance, where reposes all that remained of a universe of earths, the enfranchised soul, as it prepared for its immortal flight, might trample in an exultation of magnanimous scorn, the reflected

glory of departed worlds, flashing the while from its deathless wings.

Immortality, then, is implied in the very nature of the soul. That it should be the victim of death is incongruous with its rank in the scale of being. When the energy of stellar systems is dissipated, and the whole of the physical creation has perished, then, according to the forecast of materialism, the soul, like a belated butterfly, will fall frozen on the débris of a lifeless universe. this dismal note of the materialist is refuted by the grandeur of the faculties which enables him to form it. It is an erroneous idea; yet, at the same time, it is an idea which only a powerful mind could conceive. And if we think of all that genius has accomplished from the beginning until now-if we think of the saints, the heroes, the saviours of our race, nay, if we think but of ordinary human love, we shall feel it is impossible to believe that man could have been endowed with such capacities only to be annihilated in the end.

"For ever and for ever
The changeless oceans roar;
And dash their thundering surges
Upon the sounding shore;
Yet this keen soul, this lightning will,
Shall these, while they roll on, be still?

For ever and for ever
The eternal mountains rise,
And lift their virgin snows on high
To meet the silent skies.
Yet shall this soul, which measures all,
While these stand steadfast, sink and fall?

For ever and for ever '
The swift suns roll through space;
From age to age they wax and wane,
Each in his ordered place;
Yet shall this soul, whose piercing eye
Foretells their cycles, fade and die?

For ever and for ever
God willed it, and we are
More wondrous than the ocean wave,
Far greater than the star.
Though suns stand still and time be o'er,
We are and shall be ever more."

Man's Right to Immortality.

IMMORTALITY is a debt which the Creator owes us, and which He is in honour bound to pay. If we were not immortal He would be eternally disgraced. What, you say, the Creator disgraced because a few insects on this tiny speck of a planet are not eternal? Yes, size has nothing to do with it. Questions of right and wrong are not to be decided by a yard-measure and a pair of scales. Should I be justified in cudgelling an infant because it was so small and defenceless? Am I under greater obligations to keep my word with a man who weighs twenty stone, than I should be if he only weighed ten? Don't you see, it is ridiculous even to mention in the same breath the terms morality and bulk. They are incommensurable

Right begins with sensibility or the capacity for feeling. No sentient creature ought to be need-lessly pained. And therefore, on account of the unmerited suffering of animals, a compensating Immortality has often been claimed for the whole

brute creation. Bishop Butler, Francis Power Cobbe, and a host of sympathetic people have hoped, as Tennyson puts it—

"That nothing walks with aimless feet;
That not one life shall be destroyed,
Or cast as rubbish to the void,
When God hath made the pile complete.

That not a worm is cloven in vain;
That not a moth with vain desire
Is shrivelled in a fruitless fire,
Or but subserves another's gain."

Some persons, no doubt, imagine that heaven would be profaned if the soul of an animal were admitted. I confess I do not agree with them. In going through the world I have made the acquaintance of several dogs whom I would much rather meet again than some human beings I could mention. However, De gustibus non est disputandum—there's no accounting for taste. And I am not going at present to discuss the immortality of animals. I shall confine myself exclusively to the Immortality of man. And so it will be possible for any one to accept what I say, and still believe—if it gives him pleasure to do so—that the whole of the brute creation will perish everlastingly.

My subject this morning is man's right to Immortality. His right to Immortality. It is often—perhaps generally—assumed that as regards God we can have no rights, that upon Him we can have no legitimate claims. We must call Him God, forsooth, not because He is good, but simply

because He is the Creator. The fact of His having made us entitles Him to act towards us in any way He may happen to please. There is no reciprocity of obligation between us and Him. He has all the rights; we have all the duties. Though the creature is bound to act well by the Creator, the Creator is not bound to act well by the creature. Because He has made us, therefore He may do with us anything or everything or nothing. Pshaw! Is a father at liberty to neglect, to starve, to degrade, to insult a child simply because it is his own? Why, it is just this-just the fact of the child being his-which would render such conduct pre-eminently heinous. Since he is responsible for the child's existence, he is under the most solemn obligation to do all he can to make that existence a success. Indeed, he ought not to be a father at all unless there is a resonable prospect of his being able properly to provide for the comfort and welfare of his children. Many human beings have refused to marry, for fear of bringing children into the world whose bodies would be inevitably diseased, and whose lives would therefore be inevitably wretched. And do you mean to tell me that an infinite and omnipotent Creator is under less obligation than a weak and finite man? On the contrary, with every rise in the scale of being the sphere of obligation is enlarged. The greater the endowments of a father—the more powerful, the richer, the wiser he is-the happier his offspring should be. The more he can do for them, the more he *ought* to do. What, then, ought not *God* to do for us?

We are not merely His creatures, not merely things that He has made, but beings into whom He has infused something of His own nature and How do I know that, say you? I will explain. Man's faculties — or some of them, at any rate—are God's faculties. Everywhere in this world of His we see signs of Reason, of Conscience, of Will. Take Reason. The laws of nature are rational laws, which our intelligence can discover, appreciate, and express in precise mathematical formulæ. Take Conscience. That is ever bidding us do good and eschew evil. And the Power, not ourselves, also makes for Righteousness. Take Will —the faculty of choice, the faculty which enables us to carry out definite intentions and accomplish preconceived plans. And is not the universe full of adaptations, designs, purposes, methods? and does not each one of them bear witness to the existence of a superhuman will? Our faculties, then, though infinitely less developed, are nevertheless precisely similar in kind to the faculties by which the worlds were framed. Every human being is potentially, latently, in essence, divine—

> "A vase of earth, a trembling clod, Constrained to hold the breath of God."

We are the Creator's children. And will our Father kill us?—kill us before we have fully lived? We find within ourselves a thirst for happiness,

and yet we are seldom happy. We find within ourselves an ideal of perfection, and yet we are miserably imperfect. We find ourselves possessed of faculties that are but meagrely developed during our present life. As I said the other day, man is the incompletest thing on earth. Though from one point of view the most finished of God's works, from another he is the most unfinished—for his best achievements are but beginning when he is called upon to die.

The true end of our existence cannot possibly be realised on this side of the grave, and so we are led inevitably to look for a life beyond. Immortality is implied in our very constitution. Our sense of incompleteness is a prophecy of it. The yearning for it which has been implanted within us amounts *virtually* to a promise.

"Else why this pleasing hope, this fond desire,
This longing after Immortality?
Or why this secret dread, this horrid fear,
Of falling into naught? Why shrinks the soul
Back on herself affrighted at destruction?
'Tis the divinity that stirs within us;
'Tis heaven itself that points out an hereafter—
And intimates eternity to man.'

Is the Creator deceiving us? Will He mock our immortal longings with a paltry threescore years and ten? Will He extinguish our life just as we learn to live? When we are hoping for illimitable progress, will He cast us into unmitigated night? When His children turn to Him with faith and

hope—when they lift up their hearts to worship when, perchance, they have begun to love Himwhen for His sake, that they might be better prepared to meet Him, they have suffered the loss of all things, will He welcome them, as they come into His presence, with annihilation? If so, He is not good. He is not God. There is no God. Upon the throne of the universe is seated a jeering fiend, who finds his devilish joy in torture, cruelty, and wrong. And you surely would not worship a thing like that. You would not call such a creature by the sacred name of God. He deserves only execration and contempt. It is true, our deplorable helplessness might induce us to flatter and cajole him; but to do so would be degradation—the lowest conceivable degradation. If there were any manhood in us we should scout him to his face.

But it cannot be that the Devil reigns supreme. To state such a supposition is to refute it. Is it likely that the Creator has made us better and holier than Himself? Is it possible that conscience should have been implanted in us by a fiend? He who gives us the sense of justice must Himself be just. And therefore we may trust Him, even when we cannot trace Him. In spite of the unsatisfactoriness of the present life, there is, nevertheless, so much beauty in it, and so much sweetness, that we may reasonably believe the promise it holds out of more. In spite of all the unsolved riddles of existence, we have, nevertheless, received sufficient proofs of the Creator's care to warrant our looking

forward with full assurance to that last and greatest gift which He owes it to Himself to bestow—the gift of immortal life. In spite of everything that tempts us to doubt Him, we ought—you and I—to be able to say with the Quaker poet—

"In all the maddening maze of things,
And tossed by storm and flood,
To one fixed state my spirit clings—
I know that God is good."

Clouds and darkness are round about Him; but Righteousness and Justice are the foundations of His throne.

Immortality in the Light of Evolution.

IT was once the fashion to sneer at Evolution. But that time has long since passed. Formerly the ministers of Religion set themselves to denounce Evolution. But even so long ago as the death of Darwin, a eulogistic sermon upon him was preached by no less orthodox a person than Canon Liddon. Evolution is now accepted by all educated men; and in the light of it the doctrines of Religion must be tested. Nothing can be true which is contradicted by facts. At first the fact of Evolution was supposed to be inimical to faith. As the poet puts it—

"When Science from Creation's face Enchantment's veil withdraws, What lovely visions yield their place To cold material laws!"

But if we look longer at these material laws, we shall see they have a beauty of their own—a beauty, indeed, far surpassing any that attached to the old unscientific visions. If we study these material laws carefully, they will at once confirm and

ennoble our faith. For instance—and this is what I am going to speak about—the discovery of the law of Evolution has furnished us with a powerful argument for the Immortality of the soul. Let us see.

We had better begin by contrasting the new idea of creation with the old. You know what the old idea was, I suppose. If you are more than twenty years of age it was probably taught you in your infancy. If, however, you are less than twenty years of age you may not know much about it, for of late it has been rapidly passing into oblivion. So perhaps I had better explain it to the more youthful. It was this. On Sunday, October 23, B.C. 4004, God began to make the universe. The method which He adopted was the method of a man-of a working man. He made it-artisan fashion—just as a carpenter might make a box, or an optician a pair of spectacles. Everything, and every part of everything, was the result of a special act of divine contrivance. Earth, sun, moon, stars were created separately, quite independently of each other; and so were the different species of plants and insects and fishes and birds and beasts. Then man was made, by another distinct and isolated act of creation; and finally—as an afterthought—woman. All this occupied the Deity a week - Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday-yes, the inside of a week, just six days. The universe was intended to last about six thousand years.

And so History was divided into three Epochs: the first two thousand years from the beginning to Noah: the second from Noah to Christ; the third from Christ to the Day of Judgment. By that time the Deity would have grown tired of His handiwork, and would therefore proceed to destroy it. Very curious—is it not?—this childlike quess at the history of Creation.

Especially curious to us, living as we do after the real facts of the case have been discovered. We know that the universe was created by the method of Evolution; and that Evolution has been going on for millions—not of years—but of æons; a time so vast that thought reels in the very effort to conceive it. The evolution of the universe involves, of course, the evolution of worlds and the evolution of life. As to the former—the evolution of worlds-Kant was the first to suggest that the sun and the stars, the earth and the planets, were not created separately, but were produced by a gradual condensation of glowing vapour or fiery dust. This hypothesis—the nebular hypothesis, as it is called — this hypothesis has since been practically verified by telescopic and spectroscopic research. The heavenly bodies we can now actually watch in the making; here an irregular, incandescent nebula, there one which has cooled down and assumed, in consequence, the shape of a sphere; here and there stars of different colours, denoting different stages of chemical development; now planets still partly aflame, like Jupiter and Saturn, then planets like Mars and the earth, with cool atmospheres and solid continents and vast oceans of water; and lastly such bodies as the moon, vapourless, rigid, cold in death. That is the way in which worlds have been, and are being, created.

When they are sufficiently cool life begins to appear, in low forms at first, which are slowly but surely transmitted into higher. This transmutation is the origin of species. It proceeds continuously from age to age, till one kind of living creature is developed into another and totally different kind — that is to say, into an entirely different species.

In science you know the term species implies great dissimilarity. The most similar kinds of living creatures are called varieties, the least similar kinds are called species. Now Darwin's hypothesis—and subsequent discoveries have verified it—Darwin's hypothesis was, that SPECIES have been evolved by natural selection, just as we ourselves are accustomed to produce VARIETIES by artificial selection. For example, our domestic pigeons are all descended from the ordinary blue rock pigeon. By selecting birds with certain peculiarities, and choosing from each successive brood only those which exhibit the peculiarities in the most marked form, the breeder has succeeded in producing varieties as distinct as the fantail, the tumbler, and the pouter. The same method has given us various races of dogs -

races as diverse as the bloodhound, the terrier, and the spaniel. Similarly, in the vegetable world, the various kinds of apples have all been produced from the small sour crab. Now, just as we select the peculiar kind of individual which we want, so Nature selects the peculiar kind of individual which she wants. And the kind she wants is the best kind—the kind best adapted to its environment. Natural selection is the survival of the fittest. The least fit die off; the most fit survive. By the laws of heredity the descendants of the fittest become fitter still; and so there is a continuous production of higher and higher types, and finally of new and distinct species. Since, in the short space of a lifetime, we can produce somewhat dissimilar varieties, there is no difficulty in believing that Nature, with almost infinite time at her disposal, should be able to produce absolutely dissimilar species; there is no difficulty in believing that the single-celled moneron which dwelt in the slimy ooze at the bottom of the sea, after untold æons of Evolution, should have culminated at length in man.

At first, as I said, the fact of Evolution appears to be inimical to faith. The universe is so vast, both as regards space and time, that man seems in danger of being lost in it. Astronomy discloses giant balls of vapour that condense into lifebearing planets and afterwards grow cold and dead. We hear of a time when systems of dead planets will fall in upon what was once a sun, and the whole lifeless mass, in thus regaining heat, will expand into fiery vapour like that with which we started, and the work of condensation and Evolution begin over again. These Titanic events may well appear to our limited vision as meaningless as the weary task of Sisyphus. But, on the surface of our own planet, where alone we are able to survey the process of Evolution in its later and higher details, we find distinct evidences of a meaning, a purpose, a plan, of what Professor Fiske has well called a dramatic tendency. From the first dawn of life—and all that preceded had been but a preparation for life—from the first dawn of life we see all things working together towards a goal, and that goal was the creation of man. As Dryden—with the poetic insight which so often anticipates the discoveries of science—as Dryden finely said,—

> "From harmony to heavenly harmony This universal frame began; From harmony to harmony, Through all the compass of the notes, it ran, The diapason closing full in man."

The more thoroughly we comprehend the process of Evolution, the more fully convinced shall we be that it is throughout a rational process, and that therefore it cannot come to an irrational conclusion, cannot end in an anticlimax. The more thoroughly we comprehend the process of Evolution, the more profoundly shall we feel that to deny the immortality of the soul is to rob the whole process of its meaning. Man is a fruit which it needed all that went before to ripen. He is the last and greatest achievement of Evolution. To suppose that what has been evolved at such a cost will suddenly collapse, is to suppose that the whole scheme of things is self-stultifying. It is to convert the mighty drama of Creation into an imbecile and drivelling farce. No! Man's life, we may rest assured, is but beginning when his existence on earth is closed. What was unfinished here will be completed hereafter. Immortality is the only possible climax to that creative work which has been in all its myriad stages so wonderful, so divine.

I will conclude with the sublime hymn of Evolution composed by the Rev. Mr Savage of Boston:-

> "The one life thrilled the star-dust through In nebulous masses whirled, Until, globed like a drop of dew, Shone out a new-made world.

The one life on the ocean-shore. Through primal ooze and slime, Crept slowly on from more to more Along the ways of time.

The one life in the jungles old, From lowly, creeping things, Did ever some new form unfold-Swift feet or soaring wings.

82 Immortality in the Light of Evolution.

The one life all the ages through
Pursued its wondrous plan,
Till, as the tree of promise grew,
It blossomed into Man.

The one life reacheth onward still:
Some day our eyes will see
The far-off fact our *dreams* fulfil
Of glory yet to be."

The Resurrection.

T.

WE come now to a specially interesting branch of the subject—the Resurrection. This we will consider to-day negatively, noticing what it is not; and next Sunday possibly noticing what it is. My present sermon is purely destructive. Negative and destructive work must always precede the positive and constructive. This morning, therefore, I shall content myself with refuting the theory of a physical Resurrection. It is a travesty, a burlesque, of the Scriptural doctrine of Immortality. And unless we perceive the falsity of the one, we shall be unable to applaud the truth of the other.

The theory of a physical Resurrection, the belief that our flesh will rise again, has existed, at some time or other, in almost all countries. For instance, it is distinctly taught in the Koran. There we are told that when the angel Israfil blows the Resurrection blast, the souls of men will issue from his trumpet like a swarm of bees, and will fly about incessantly till they find their former bodies. The process of embalming was, as a rule, the outcome of this belief. A missionary once asked a Peruvian why his people were so careful to embalm their dead. He replied, "On the day of the Resurrection every one will come forth with such part of his body as remains intact, and there will be no time to hunt around for anything that is missing." The Persians, too, believed in a physical Resurrection. From Persia the doctrine passed into Palestine. It occupies a prominent place in Rabbinical literature. The Christian Teachers took it from the Rabbis: the Schoolmen of the middle ages took it from the Fathers; and so, century after century, it was transmitted from one set of theologians to another, till, even to-day, a good many people imagine that it is a Christian doctrine

But it is not. The Bible, so far from teaching it, most emphatically denies it. There is, indeed, one passage in the Old Testament in which it seems to be asserted; but that is a mistranslation. According to the Authorised Version Job declared, "With my flesh I shall see God"; but in reality he said nothing of the kind. He said just the opposite. It should have been translated, "Without my flesh I shall see God." There is not a single text that speaks of the resurrection of the flesh. The Bible does not even speak of the Resurrection of the body; and if we use the phrase, we must always remember that the reference is to the spiritual and not to the physical body. The Scrip-

ture expressions are "the resurrection of the dead," or "the resurrection of them that slept." St Paul, in that famous chapter of his—the 15th of the 1st Epistle to the Corinthians—does not hesitate to call the man a fool who looks for a physical Resurrection. "Thou fool," he says, "thou sowest NOT that body that shall be."

And apart altogether from the fact that it is unscriptural, there is another objection to the theory of a physical Resurrection—it is intrinsically absurd. Let me tell you some of the things that have been said about it by its defenders.

The Rabbinical theologians talked a great deal of a small almond-shaped bone in the human body. which they called Luz. It was probably the os coccyx of modern anatomists. Well, this bone they declared to be absolutely indestructible. You might pound it on anvils with hammers of steel, you might burn it for centuries in the fiercest furnace, you might soak it for ages in the strongest solvent -all in vain. Nothing would destroy it: for it was intended to be the nucleus around which our flesh could gather on the Resurrection morn. But I need hardly say, there is no such thing in existence as an indestructible bone. Tertullian regarded the teeth as the seeds of the Resurrection body; and he thought—to serve that purpose—they had been providentially made eternal. You and I must have fallen on degenerate times. If immortal life depended on the eternity of our teeth, some of us would stand but a poor chance. St Augustine mentioned that our bodies would be restored in the Resurrection perfect both in quality and quantity. Even the hairs which we shaved, he said, even the nails which we cut, would return to us. A pretty figure we should cut if they did! When you have a few minutes to spare, take down the ponderous tomes of the Schoolmen from their neglected shelves, and you will find chapter after chapter occupied with grave discussions of questions like the following: When men's bodies are raised, will each one instinctively recognise his own, or will God distribute them as they belong? Will our hairs and nails, as St Augustine supposed, really be restored to us? Will the deformities and scars of the present bodies be retained? If we unfortunately grow fat, will our ill-luck follow us throughout eternity? If we should die of a wasting disease. must we flit about for ever as thin as laths? Or will men's bodies all be reduced to one stock size? And so on, and so on, and so on. Hundreds and thousands of such silly questions they asked, and spent their lives in trying to answer.

It has often been said that a physical Resurrection is necessary to complete the punishment of sin. The Rabbis put the matter thus—In the day of Judgment the body will say, "The soul alone is to blame; since it left me I have lain in the grave like a stone." The soul will retort, "The body alone is guilty; since released, I fly through the air like a bird." But the Judge will interpose with this allegory: A King once had a beautiful

garden full of fruits. A lame man and a blind man came to it. Said the lame man to the blind man, "Let me mount on your shoulders and pluck the fruit, and we will divide it." The King accused them both of theft, whereupon the lame man replied, "How could I reach it?" and the blind man, "How could I see it?" But the King ordered the lame man to be placed on the back of the blind man, and in this position had them both whipped. So God in the day of Judgment will replace the soul in the body and hurl them both into hell.

The Council of Trent adopted the same view. In its Catechism it asserted that, as the body had been a partner in man's sin, so it must become a partner in his punishment. What nonsense! You might as well maintain that the pistol or the knife of a murderer should be hanged! The pistol, the knife, are but tools; and the same is true of bones and muscles, of eyes and hands. They are not agents, responsible agents. They can do no wrong. They can, of themselves, do nothing. The body is but the passive *instrument* of the soul.

Besides — and here we come to the crowning absurdity involved in the theory of a physical Resurrection—we have many bodies. Our organisms are constantly changing. Life is a process of waste and renewal. Every few years each of us—so far as his physical frame is concerned—

¹ The theologians have always exerted themselves to explain how God could inflict enough pain, and wreak enough vengeance.

becomes a perfectly new man. If we die at the age of seventy, we shall have had at least ten bodies. And not only does the same man have many different bodies, but the same bodies - or at all events the particles of which they are composed — belong at various times to many different men. There are only a small number of substances that can enter into the composition of the human frame. The elements of nutrition are comparatively limited, and therefore they must be utilised by successive generations. When we die our bodies are dissolved into their constituent molecules. These molecules enter into combination with water and air and earth and gas, and are finally absorbed anew into human organisms. The particles of matter which made up the body of Adam must have already run the circuit of ten thousand of his descendants. Just as money passes from hand to hand, so bodies - or at any rate their component elements - pass from soul to soul.

"'Twas mine, 'tis his, and has been slave to thousands."

If the Resurrection were a physical affair, there would not be matter enough to go round.

All difficulties and objections, however, are sometimes supposed to be got rid of by the assertion that everything is possible with God. Well, in regard to this assertion, I remark—

1. Even if true, it is irrelevant. That God could do a thing is no proof whatever that He

will. He could restore the ashes of all the fuel ever consumed to its original state of coal deposit; but does it follow, therefore, that He will? He could give us wings and the necessary breathing apparatus, so that we might fly about among the planets and the stars, but we have no grounds for believing that He will. In order to discover His intentions about the Resurrection or anything else, we must inquire not only what could be done by POWER, but what would be done by WISDOM. In other words, we must use our reason, if we have any—our common-sense.

2. I observe, the assertion is not true. It sounds religious, but in reality it is blasphemous. We should say of a fellow-creature in whom we had supreme confidence, that he was *incapable* of doing a foolish thing; and shall we say less of God? Now, listen to Young's description of the day of Judgment:—

"Now charnels rattle; scattered limbs and all The various bones, obsequious to the call, Self-moved, advance: the neck perhaps to meet The distant feet; the distant head the feet. Dreadful to view, see through the dusky sky Fragments of bodies, in confusion, fly To distant regions journeying, there to claim Deserted members, and complete the frame."

That is what would happen in a physical Resurrection. Is it likely that God would inaugurate our entrance into the spiritual world by such a ghastly display of physical horrors? Assuredly

not. It would be a transcendent act of folly. And to those who think little of the divine wisdom, and much of the divine power, I say point - blank, He could not if He would. The thing is impossible even for omnipotence. It is a contradiction in terms. "Flesh and blood cannot inherit the spiritual Kingdom of God." To give a man a material body is to prevent him from entering into an immaterial sphere.

Let us, then, hear no more of the vulgar theory of the Resurrection of the flesh. Little as we can guess about the future, we may rest assured that God will do nothing unworthy of Himself. And so with our last expiring breath we may say calmly, hopefully, triumphantly—

"To Him I yield my spirit, on Him I lay my load; Fear ends with Death: beyond it I nothing see but God."

The Resurrection.

II.

THE Resurrection, as I shall try and show you, is a rising not of, but from, the flesh. Immortality is not the resumption of the physical body but the continuity of the spiritual life.

We are all, perhaps without knowing it, inclined to be materialists. We are apt to look upon the soul as something essentially unreal, as a sort of ghost whose very existence it would be difficult to prove. That is rank materialism. If there were any difficulty, its existence could never be proved at all. It is its own proof. "I am conscious, therefore I am." That is the only proof there is for it. And so far from being difficult, it is the simplest of truths. We say a man has a soul. That, again, is rank materialism. He has a great many things—a body among the rest. But he has not a soul. That is not a thing he possesses. It is his very self. He is a soul. He is a being who feels and sees and hears and thinks and remembers, and acts

and communicates with other beings. It is not the body that does these things. The body is only an instrument by which the soul is enabled to do them. When a man dies, we say his soul has left him. That is another instance of rank materialism. His soul has not left him. How could it? It is he, and he is it. The friends of Socrates, just before his death, were talking about his funeral; and he said to them in his quaint way, "Oh yes, you may bury me, if you can catch me." The me cannot be caught, cannot be buried. You may do what you like with the body and other possessions of him whom you call dead. But he has gone.

If you understand this, you will understand the Resurrection—so far as it can be understood on this side the Veil. Death and Resurrection are but different aspects of the same event. The dissolution of the body is the natural side of it, which takes place in this world, and which therefore we can see. Resurrection is the supernatural side of the event. It is the entrance of the departed into a realm which lies beyond our ken. In one word—Death is Resurrection.

Such was the view of Christ. How did He reply to the Sadducees, who said there was no Resurrection? He quoted the passage, "I am the God of Abraham, of Isaac, and of Jacob," and He added, "God is not the God of the dead but of the living." God cannot be said to be the God of that which does not exist; for example, to say Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob were not dead, had never actually

died. Their apparent death had been their real Resurrection. And, you remember, to the penitent thief He said, "This day thou shalt be raised with Me to Paradise."

Such was also the view of St Paul. In that great chapter of his, to which I referred last Sunday, he says, "The body of flesh and blood that is buried cannot inherit the Kingdom of God." And in order to make this plain he uses an illustration. "That which thou sowest is not quickened, except it die. Thou sowest not the body that shall be, but bare grain, it may chance of wheat, or of some other grain; and God giveth it a body as it hath pleased Him, to every seed its own body. So is the Resurrection of the dead. There are terrestrial bodies; and there are also bodies celestial. is sown a natural body is raised a spiritual body. As we have borne the image of the earthly, we shall also bear the image of the heavenly." In other words—when a grain of wheat is sown, it dies; it disappears as a grain of wheat and reappears as a blade of corn. The living power latent within the seed causes it to develop, and to assume a new body appropriate to its new surroundings. Similarly in the case of a human being, the germ that is destined to Immortality is not the corpse but the soul. When a man dies he has done with his old body. For the Kingdom of God a very different body will be required.

No doubt, as St Paul says, there is a spiritual body.

"Eternal form shall still divide The eternal soul from all beside."

About that I may speak another time when we come to the problem of reunion and recognition. Suffice it for the moment to say, the spiritual body must be adapted to the requirements of the spiritual world; it cannot be composed of oxygen, hydrogen, nitrogen, and carbon, with a dash of phosphorus and iron.

Further, all that we can discover of the methods of God, all the analogies of Nature, point to the same St Paul's illustration is but one of Every plant, every animal, attains its perfection by distinct stages of development. the lower stages preparation is always made for the higher; and when the higher is reached, the means that led to it become an encumbrance and are consequently discarded. This is a universal law. All organised beings exemplify it. But perhaps the most suggestive example is a bird in the egg, just before it bursts its prison bars and escapes into the air. Look at an unfledged sparrow. There you find a being organised, as it would seem, quite out of keeping with its conditions. It has wings, but it cannot fly; it has eyes, but it cannot see; it has lungs, but it cannot breathe; it has a throat to sing with, but it cannot sing. Let us imagine this infant sparrow to be thoughtfully inclined, and predisposed to argument and pessimism. In that case he might say - "There is nothing here to content me; but I know of nothing beyond. How

should a poor sparrow know of anything outside his own experience? This shell is the boundary of my universe. If it were to break, I might fall to pieces and be dispersed among the elements." But we could teach him better than that. Why, he was created on purpose to burst his shell. So far from being his destruction, the apparent catastrophe is the making of him. And what we could teach the sparrow, shall we not teach ourselves? As a bird is prepared for the air by a prior existence in the shell, so man is trained for the spiritual realm by a prior existence in the physical. As the organism of a bird points, while yet unused, to a time when it will become available, so man's longings and aspirations, never realised here, are prophetic of that hereafter where they will be realised. And finally, as a bird cannot begin the higher life till it has broken through the trammels of the lower, so man cannot enter heaven till he has left behind him what belongs essentially to earth.

Our mental faculties are limited and restrained by our material bodies. The infant feels this in learning to walk. His foot will not take him to the desired spot; his hand will not grasp the glittering bauble. And even when he has grown up and reached his prime, the feeling of impotency remains. He would mount with the eagle; he would fly with the wind; he would explore the universe to gratify his boundless curiosity, his insatiable ambition. But the body will not let him. Just think what a large proportion of human inventions are intended merely to counteract the inertia (the dead weight) of our physical frame—the steamboat, for example, the railway, the telegraph. Yet, in spite of them all, we are as far as ever from the attainment of our desires, especially our best desires. The nobler a man is, the more he chafes under the entanglements of the flesh. Listen! "In this tabernacle we groan, being burdened. O wretched man that I am! who shall deliver me from this body of death?" No doubt the resistance, the restraints, the temptations of the flesh are necessary. They stimulate our energies, they teach us self-mastery, and in many other ways they assist in the development of the soul. But by-and-by the end will be accomplished, and the means to it will no longer be required. I would as soon believe in the immortality of my pince-nez as in the immortality of my body - sooner. This little instrument has been invariably helpful to me, but I cannot say as much for the other. And to be ready for the spiritual sphere is to be past the need of physical organs. A material body would be worse than useless-it would be fatal. Always to some extent a hindrance, it would then be nothing else. To give it us again in the higher world would be simply to drag us back to the lower. And is man the only thing that shall retrograde? The butterfly never resumes the body it discarded as a caterpillar. The bird never shakles itself with its broken eggshell. Everything in Nature moves forward. The law of the universe is progress—not descent but ascent, not return but advance, not bodily resurrection but bodily emancipation.

Some weeks ago I spoke to you about the benignity of death. Now you see, I hope, that it is not only benignant but sublime. The dissolution of the body is the resurrection of the soul. Physical death is spiritual birth. To be absent from the body is to be present with the Lord. This idea is finely expressed in a little poem by Caroline Southey, with which I will conclude:—

"Tread softly! bow the head—In reverent silence bow!
No passing bell doth toll;
Yet an immortal soul
Is passing now.

Stranger, however great,
With lowly reverence bow!
There's one in that poor shed—
One in that paltry bed—
Greater than thou.

Beneath that beggar's roof, Lo! Death doth keep his state! Enter!—no crowds attend; Enter!—no guards defend This palace gate.

The pavement, damp and cold,
No smiling courtiers tread;
One silent woman stands,
Lifting with meagre hands
A dying head.

No mingling voices sound—
An infant wail alone;
A sob suppressed—again
That short deep gasp—and then
The parting groan!

Oh! change—oh! wondrous change! Burst are the prison bars! This moment there, so low, So agonised—and now Beyond the stars!

Oh! change—stupendous change!
There lies the soulless clod!
The sun eternal breaks;
The new immortal wakes—
Wakes with his God."

Substitutes for Immortality.

VOLTAIRE once remarked that if there were no God, it would be necessary to create one. By which, I suppose, he meant that the idea of God is essential to the perfecting of human life. Similarly, though not put explicitly into words, it has often been said in effect that if there were no real Immortality, it would be necessary to invent an imaginary one.

The spectre of eternal oblivion oppresses the heart with sadness and dismay. In their recoil from the dreary prospect that is opened up by the denial of a future life, men seek to solace themselves with some substitute or other, the worthlessness of which they attempt to conceal by giving it the old sweet name—Immortality.

The term really means the continuity of the individual life. But those who deny such continuity are accustomed to affirm that something as good or better may take its place. Let us look at these substitutes for Immortality. Most of them are the outcome of Atheism or Materialism. But there is one—and this I will notice first—which

has been advocated by men like Amiel and Hinton, who were remarkable for religious fervour. Their substitute is absorption into God. We should be content, they say-more than content-to survive in Him. That is the highest form of Immortality, for-this is their argument-the loss of individuality would really be a gain. It is self which keeps us from God, and therefore we can only be united to Him by the destruction or absorption of self. This may appear plausible. But it derives its plausibility from an ambiguous use of language. You know, of course, that an argument is only sound when the same terms are used throughout in But here one of them—the term the same sense. self-is used in two senses. If we say it is self which keeps us from God, we are thinking of the lower impulses in our nature, which are sometimes out of harmony with the higher. But the higher impulses are ours just as much as the lower. It is only because they both belong to us that we experience the connection between them. And therefore the higher impulses have at least as much right to the title of self. Now, in this sense of the term, when it stands for the higher impulses, self does not keep us from God. On the contrary, among these impulses of the self is one that prompts us to seek for Him. So you see the antagonism to be overcome is not between self and God, but between the lower and the higher self. Take an illustration. Take the case of two lovers. Does their sense of individuality keep them apart?

Why, it is that which pre-eminently binds them together; for each of them, in being aware of his own individuality, becomes also aware of the fact that it is only completed by the individuality of the other. If there were nothing beyond the grave but unconsciousness, so far from being more closely united by death, they would be absolutely and for ever separated. And individuality, personal identity, selfhood, is just as essential to Religion as to Love. The loss of individuality would be the loss of consciousness; and the loss of consciousness would be the loss of everything. The cessation of consciousness would be for us the cessation of God. To be absorbed into the Deity is to be extinguished in Him.

That is the theistic substitute for Immortality. Now, let us look at the atheistic substitute—or rather substitutes. To begin with, there is the idea of absorption into the universe. We must identify ourselves, we are told, with the whole scheme of things. We must lose the thought of our particular evanescence in the thought of the universal permanence. We are inseparable from the totality of being, and in its enduring phenomena we shall have our eternal portion. The particles of matter of which we are composed will mingle with earth and air and sea and sky, and live immortally in their ever-changing but never-dying life.

"If Death seems hanging o'er thy separate Soul, Discern thyself a part of Life's great Whole."

26.

That sounds very well. But think. If life is always dying out and death alone persists, the line should run—

"Discern thyself a part of Death's great Whole."

And that does not sound at all well. In vain do the materialists try to dazzle us with an infinite panorama of eternally whirling suns. Apart from immortality, what are they but eternally whirling sepulchres? Is the sorrowing human heart to be comforted by the eternity of a universe like that? Better far if it were not eternal. Best of all if it had never been.

Then there is another thing which we are sometimes assured would make an excellent substitute for Immortality 1—viz., Absorption into the race. It may be regarded either from the selfish or from the unselfish point of view. The selfish theory is this. The great man, it is said, will survive in the memory of his fellows. He will have an Immortality of fame. "'Be of good cheer, brother,' said John Bradford to his fellow-martyrs while the faggots were kindling; 'we shall be in heaven with the Lord to-night.' 'Be of good cheer, everybody,' cry the army of modern prophets; 'there is no Heaven and no Lord, and death will be the end of us; but the generations that come after will be greatly edified by our beautiful

¹ Things are not always what they sound.

books and our instructive examples." You remember—

"When all the breathers of our time are dead You still shall live (such virtue hath my pen) Where breath most breathes—e'en in the mouths of men."

Napoleon is reported to have said—"My soul will pass into history and the deathless memories of mankind, and thus in glory I shall be immortal." This notion forms the essence of Comte's doctrine of a future life, and he himself was very much pleased with it. He declared that Positivism had greatly improved Immortality and placed it on a firmer foundation. If this were true, it would only concern a few scores, or at most a few hundreds, of the race. What is to become of those who have written no beautiful books and left no great examples? But the theory is false—absurdly false—even in the case of geniuses. Of fame it has been well observed, that though losing it is hell, nevertheless keeping it is purgatory. And if fame will not pay a man for his toil, it certainly will not pay him for his extinction. That proud and gifted natures should have stooped to console themselves with such a toy is as strange as it is pathetic.

I pass on to notice the unselfish theory of absorption into the race—the <u>lampada tradunt</u> theory of Immortality. We are pointed out the fact that generations succeed each other. Life is

for ever passing into new subjects. It is a process of constant renovation, and its ovum is always Men are the mortal cells of immortal humanity. There is an unending creation of different organisms. The individual must comfort himself with the sympathetic reflection that his extinction destroys nothing, since all the elements of his being will be manipulated into the forms of his successors. He must content himself with the Immortality of the race. It must suffice him to survive in the lives of others. We cannot but feel a tender pity for those whose loving natures, baffled of any solid resource, turn appealingly, ere they fade away, to clasp this empty shadow. What consolation is it to think that others will live after us, if they are to be annihilated too? The immortality of the race, apart from the immortality of the individual, is a contradiction in terms. How can the race be immortal, if all its members are mortal? You say, perhaps—Immortality may be attained in the far-off future by some of those into whom our lives are absorbed. I reply—They have no right to eternal life purchased at the cost of our eternal death. And even allowing, for the sake of argument, that they had a right to it, our absorption into them is not survival but extinction. You may call it what you please, but it is extinction none the less. Why, if I were actually resigned to it, I should be as far as ever from mistaking it for Immortality. I will not be deceived by any jugglery of terms. I will not be imposed upon by the mere jangle of a sound.

"If doomed indeed, this fever ceased, To die out slowly like a beast. Forgetting all life's ill-success In dark and peaceful nothingness, I could but say, 'Thy will be done; For dving thus I were but one Of countless million seeds which ne'er In all the worlds shall bloom or bear. I've put life past to such poor use, Well mayst Thou life to come refuse ; And justice, which the soul contents, Shall still in me all vain laments: Nav. pleased I will, while vet I live. Think Thou my forfeit life mayst give To some fresh life, else unelect, And heaven not feel my poor defect. Only let not Thy method be To make that life and call it ME,"

That would be mockery indeed. So, you see, the substitutes for Immortality will not bear a moment's investigation. You may as well get rid of light and expect anything but darkness, as deny Immortality and look for anything but despair.

The Longing for Rest.

MY subject this morning is the Longing for Rest.
I have throughout assumed that the desire for Immortality is natural to man; and so, as a rule, it is. But there are exceptions. We sometimes meet with persons who declare that the prospect of living again has no attraction for them. "Rest," they say; "that is all we want. Give us rest and we shall be content." Professor Huxley's tomb are engraved the words, "God giveth His beloved sleep; and if an endless sleep He wills-'tis best." Borrow relates that he once heard a gipsy exclaim-"What! is it not enough to have borne the wretchedness of one life, but we must also endure another?" James Thomson, the most tragic of the poets of Pessimism, speaks, you remember, "of the restful rapture of the inviolate grave." And just listen to these two verses of his-the one on the Rest of Death and the other on the Rest of Oblivion:-

"Weary of erring in this desert life,
Weary of hoping hopes for ever vain,
Weary of struggling in all the sterile strife,
Weary of thought that maketh nothing plain,

I close my eyes and calm my panting breath And pray for thee, divinely tranquil Death! To come and soothe away my bitter pain."

The other verse is entitled "To our Lady of Oblivion":—

"The weak, the weary, and the desolate,

The poor, the mean, the outcast, the opprest,
All trodden down beneath the march of Fate,

Thou gatherest, loving Sister, to thy breast,
Soothing their pain and weariness with sleep;
Then, in thy hidden dreamland, hushed and deep,
Dost lay them shrouded in eternal rest."

This longing for the rest of unconsciousness is intensified, of course, by the belief in transmigration, which is almost universal in the East, and which is not uncommon now among ourselves. If the thought of another life is burdensome, much more burdensome will be the thought of an endless series of lives. To a spirit already jaded the prospect of incessantly moving on is appalling. It pines for a goal of rest. Exhausted with wanderings, sated with experiences, it prays for the fruition of repose. One must weary at last, it has been finely said—one must weary at last of being even so sublime a vagabond as he whose mighty hostelries are stars. Besides, how will sundered friends and lovers, between whom on the road of existence races and worlds are interposed—how will they ever overtake each other? How can they journey again hand in hand and build a bower together by the way? The Hindus, oppressed by this theory of transmigration, harassed

by a sense of the inevitable limitations and sufferings of the finite form of being, long, not for Immortality, but for extinction. It is their dearest hope that eventually they will escape from the torture-chamber of personality, and merge into the peaceful Impersonality of the Infinite. Among all the great nations of the East this has been at once a metaphysical speculation, a poetic dream, and a common passionate desire. Let me read you what John Stuart Mill says about it in his essay on the Utility of Religion. "Budhism proposes, as the supreme reward for the highest virtue, annihilation—a cessation, at least, of all consciousness or separate individual existence. Oriental legislators and moralists, in their endeavour to supply supernatural motives for the conduct which they were anxious to encourage, could find nothing more transcendent to hold out as the prize, to be won by the mightiest efforts of labour and self-denial, than what we are often told is the terrible idea of annihilation. Surely," he says, "this is a proof that the idea is not really or naturally terrible, that not philosophers only, but the common order of mankind can easily reconcile themselves to it, and even consider it as a good; and that it is no unnatural part of the idea of a happy life, that life itself should be laid down, after the best it can give has been fully enjoyed through a long lapse of time; when all its pleasures, even those of benevolence, are familiar, and nothing untasted or unknown is left to stimulate curiosity, and keep

up the desire for prolonged existence. It seems to me," continues Mill, "not only possible but probable that in a higher and, above all, a happier condition of human life, not annihilation but Immortality may be the burdensome idea; and that human nature, though pleased with the present and by no means anxious to quit it, will find comfort and not sadness in the thought that it is not chained through eternity to conscious existence, which it cannot be assured that it will always wish to retain."

I will also read you a letter which I received some years ago from one of the most brilliant of my girl friends. "To me," she says-"to me the thought of life after life of fiery trial, especially for those whom I love, is overpowering. To feel that my darling mother may soon go I know not where, to suffer I know not what, without me even to stand by and sympathise is unbearable. At times I think, if I could only hope that at death we died right out, I should be happier and able to set my teeth and grind through with these few years, knowing that 'e'en the weariest river finds at last the sea.' The constant discipline of pain is hard enough to endure, but the thought of its being shared by the whole groaning creation is altogether unendurable. Sometimes I wish I had been left to Bain and Clifford and Huxley, and had not come across Caird or Hegel or you. Possibly this is only a passing weariness from the accumulation of worries and troubles I have had

of late; but at present it seems so strange that all my girl friends who think a bit, come to me for assurance of a future life, and I am compelled to help them to believe in what I am myself inclined to look upon as an unmitigated curse."

Now, this is a mood of mind which I have never experienced, and which therefore I am not particularly well qualified to discuss. But it cannot be passed over in an exhaustive examination of the doctrine of Immortality.

One of the arguments for eternal life is the fact that most men desire it; and it must be admitted, this argument is to a certain extent weakened by the fact that some men desire extinction. If, it may be asked—if the desire for Immortality carries with it, by implication, the promise of its own fulfilment, should not the desire for extinction be regarded as equally prophetic? No. For this reason. The desire for extinction is exceptional. The purpose of existence can only be discovered in what is normal. But the pessimistic mood is abnormal — abnormal as blindness or insanity. The vast majority of us regard the cessation of life as an evil, and therefore we are forced to the conclusion that men were not made for death. Even in India the hatred of life was for a long time conspicuously absent. It only arose after the Hindus had left the temperate climate of their early home and descended into the sultry valley of the Ganges. Their subsequent Pessimism may have been caused, partly by the extreme poverty of the masses of the people, partly by the miseries involved in the system of caste; 1 but there can be no doubt that it was especially fostered by the oppressive heat, to which the new settlers were unaccustomed, and which sapped their physical vitality. It is true, world-weariness, Weltschmerz, the longing to escape from life, is not unknown in Europe. But in temperate climes there are few permanent Pessimists. To the average man life is worth living. Though not all he could wish, he would, nevertheless, be sorry to lose it. He finds pleasure in the exertion of his faculties-in breathing, moving, thinking, working. Sleep is welcome to him, but chiefly because he awakes refreshed. Rest is agreeable to him, but chiefly as a preparation for activity. Of course, if he is more than usually tired, sleep will be more than usually welcome. And if his body has been debilitated by climate or disease, if his mind has been abnormally strained and harassed, rest will acquire an abnormal fascination; it will even perhaps to him appear to be the one sole boon of Heaven. But—all the rest we need may come to us as we die into another life. Christ thought and taught that it would. He gave us, instead of death, the sweet word sleep—sleep that removes for a time all worry and care, that links day to day and shuts out the gloom of darkness, that refills the exhausted lamp of life, that begins in weariness and ends in strength, that not only

¹ There is no trace of it in the Vedas.

soothes but stimulates us. If He had done nothing more than this, Christ would have been the greatest of benefactors. It was a Revelation. It was as if He had said—Death is not what it seems. It is not, on the one hand, a horrible thing—a matter of corruption; nor, on the other hand, is it a transition to the placid unconsciousness of non-existence. It is re-creation. Death does for the soul what sleep does for the body—rests, restores, invigorates, RE-CREATES it, for a fuller life on a brighter and happier morrow.

Old Testament Idea of the Future Life.

THE Jews originally had not a notion of Immortality. They believed in a world of glory above the firmament, where Jehovah and the angels dwelt, but they never anticipated that men would be allowed into it.

There are, no doubt, a few passages in the Old Testament in which the doctrine of Immortality is taught. There are three in the Psalms. xvi. 10: "Thou wilt not leave my soul in hell; neither wilt Thou suffer Thine Holy One to see corruption." xlix. 15: "God will redeem my soul from the power of the grave: for He shall receive me." lxxiii. 24: "Thou shalt guide me with Thy counsel, and afterward receive me to glory." There is one in Hosea, xiii. 14: "I will ransom them from the power of the grave; I will redeem them from death: O death, I will be thy plagues; O grave, I will be thy destruction." There is another in the Book of Daniel, xii. 2: "Many of them that sleep in the dust of the earth shall awake, some to ever-

lasting life, and some to shame and everlasting contempt. And they that be wise shall shine as the brightness of the firmament; and they that turn many to righteousness as the stars for ever and ever." Finally, there is the great passage in the Book of Job, beginning, "I know that my Redeemer liveth." But unfortunately our Authorised Version is erroneous and misleading. The reference is not to a Redeemer, but to an Avenger. And by an avenger is meant a near relative of a deceased person, whose business was to take cognisance of any wrongs that had been inflicted on his departed kinsman. God, says Job, who seems now to have forsaken me, will by-and-by take my part. "I know that my Avenger liveth. After worms have destroyed my body, I shall yet see Him, when He comes to vindicate my character."

Now, if we are to accept the dates which we find in the margins of our Bibles, these passages would prove that the doctrine of Immortality formed part of the original Religion of the Jews, or at any rate that it had been distinctly taught by some of their early poets and prophets. But, these dates are always wrong. As a matter of fact, a good deal of the Old Testament is a thousand or twelve hundred years more modern than was formerly supposed. This is the case with most of the texts just quoted. They were not written till a century, or a century and a half, B.C., by which time the Jews, in consequence of their intercourse with the Persians, had learnt to believe in Immortality. The Book of

Job was composed much earlier, and I am myself inclined to think that the magnificent Soliloquy about the Avenger belonged to it from the beginning. With this view, however, the majority of the ablest critics do not agree. They regard it as a late interpolation. But even if I am right, the passage in question was the result of special insight and inspiration. It neither reflected nor influenced the popular belief.

From that popular belief the doctrine of Immortality is conspicuously absent - often, to us, startlingly absent. For example, Lev. xxvi.: "If ye keep My commandments, I will give you rain in due season; your land shall yield its increase, and ye shall eat your bread to the full; I will rid evil beasts out of the land, and your enemies shall fall before your sword;" and so on and so on. "But if ye will not keep My commandments, ye shall sow your seed in vain, ye shall be killed by your enemies; I will afflict you with pestilence and with burning ague that shall consume your eyes; I will send wild beasts among you, which shall rob you of your cattle and destroy your children," and so on and so on. There is not the slightest allusion to the consequences of conduct in a world beyond the grave. Even a prophet like Isaiah, who loved to describe the future reign of Righteousness, never spoke of the personal survival of the righteous. When the sceptics of those days pointed to the fact that the righteous were sometimes in adversity, and maintained that it was therefore of no use

to serve the Lord, what did the orthodox reply? Did they speak of compensation in heaven? Not at all. They declared that matters would be presently adjusted on earth. Physical sufferingcarried in extreme cases to the sudden extinction of life—was the punishment of sin. The happy prolongation of life was the reward of virtue. "The wicked shall not live out half his days; but because thou hast made the Lord thy habitation, there shall no evil befall thee, and with long life shall He satisfy thee." Such is the burden of the Old Testament. Look, for instance, at the 37th Psalm: "Fret not thyself because of evil-doers; for evil-doers shall be cut off. Wait on the Lord. and keep His way, and He shall exalt thee to inherit the earth. I have seen the wicked in great power, and spreading himself like a green bay tree. Yet he passed away, and, lo, he was not. Mark the perfect man, and behold the upright: he shall end his days in peace." Eventually the same fate was in store for all men. Only the righteous met it in a good old age, like a shock of corn fully ripe, while the wicked were hurried away by a terrible and untimely catastrophe.

I will now proceed to explain to you precisely what it was, according to the Hebrew theologians, that happened in death. They distinguished, you must know, between the soul and the spirit. By the soul they understood the animal life, the power of vitality. The spirit was a comprehensive name

for the mental faculties, and this they regarded as an emanation from the Deity. When a man died, his spirit, they thought, was reabsorbed into God, and his soul went to what they called Sheol. Oddly enough, this word is translated in our Authorised Version 31 times hell, and 31 times grave. And, sadly enough, it is 62 times (yes, twice 31 are 62)-62 times translated wrongly. It signifies neither hell nor grave. Not hell, for the Hebrews' had no idea that there was such a place. True, it is said in one of the Psalms (ix. 17), "The wicked shall be turned into Sheol, and all the nations which forget God." But this only means prematurely. Everybody was supposed to be turned into it, sooner or later. We are told in another psalm (lxxxix. 48) that "no man could deliver his soul from Sheol."

It was not a place of punishment, but a common receptacle for good and bad alike. Nor did Sheol signifiy the grave, for that the Hebrews designated by another word. Besides, whatever became of a dead man's body—even when it was buried far away from his family and friends—they always said that he had been gathered to his people and slept with his fathers. Sheol, then, was the gathering-place where the souls of the departed slept—not the refreshing sleep with which, as we now believe, Death prepares us for another life, but the heavy, fatal sleep from which there is no awakening. The Hebrew word for disembodied

souls is Rephaim, which means etymologically weak or relaxed. The inhabitant of Sheol was a mere shadow or ghost, the very emblem of helplessness and impotence. "I am counted," says a psalmist (lxxxviii. 4), "as one of those that go down into Sheol; I am as a man that hath no strength." Occasionally the slumbering shades might be summoned for a few moments to the light of day by a necromancer like the witch of Endor; but otherwise they could have no intercourse either with earth or heaven. for heaven, God left the dead to their fate. "This God," says one of the psalmists, "is our God for ever and ever; He will be our guide even unto death." Unto death. But there the guidance of Jehovah ceased. "My soul is full of trouble," says another. "I am like them in Sheol whom Thou rememberest not." "They shall lie down in Sheol," says a third, "and death shall feed them." Those who had once been God's sheep were now handed over to another shepherd. Sheol was outside the dominion of Jehovah; the King of Terrors was its only Lord. The Hebrews pictured it to themselves as a vast cavern deep down in the interior of the earth, a land of silence and of gloom, where, as Job put it, the very light was as darkness. In this dreary under-world the departed soul continued, in a sense, to exist; but without feeling, without reason, without will, without any of its old faculties, except a bare consciousness of existence, such as may belong to us in dreams-a life not far removed from annihilation. The Jews

called it being no more; and Sheol they designated the land of forgetfulness.

"It was a land of shadows; yea, the land Itself was but a shadow; and the race That dwelt there were but voices, forms of forms, And echoes of themselves."

There, no doubt, the wicked ceased from troubling and the weary were at rest. In extreme anguish men might look forward to Sheol as a relief; but the normal human heart inevitably shrinks from the thought of being consigned for ever to silence, darkness, and dreams. No wonder. then, that the Hebrews generally regarded Death as the supreme evil. The Book of Ecclesiastes concludes thus—"The spirit shall return to God who gave it. Vanity of vanities; all is vanity." In other words, the return of the spirit to God was the last and worst and silliest catastrophe in the wretched drama of human life. True, the man who said that was a sceptical and cynical voluptuary; but his mournful exclamation found an echo sometimes in the hearts of pious psalmists and inspired prophets. "Who," asks one of them, "can deliver his soul from Sheol? Why hast Thou made all men in vain?" Apart from Immortality, they have been made in vain. If the future has nothing in store for us but the Land of Forgetfulness, Creation is a blunder; man, God, ALL is Vanity.

The Greek Idea of the Future Life.

LAST Sunday I discussed the *Hebrew* idea of the future life. I pointed out to you that there are only five or six passages in the Old Testament which make any reference to Immortality, and that most, if not all, of them were written as late as 100 or 150 years before Christ. Up to that time the Jews had been accustomed to think that when a man died his soul went to Sheol, which is translated in our Authorised Version sometimes grave and sometimes hell. It was neither the one nor the other. It was the meeting-place of disembodied soulsgood and bad alike. The Hebrews pictured it as a vast cavern in the interior of the earth—a land of silence and of gloom-where, as Job put it, the very light was as darkness. In this dreary underworld the departed soul continued, in a sense, to exist, but without feeling, without reason, without will, without any of its old faculties except the bare consciousness of existence, such as belongs to us in dreams—a life not far removed from annihilation. The Jews called it being no more, and Sheol they spoke of as the land of Forgetfulness.

The early Greek idea of the future life was very similar. Hades, like Sheol, was the dwelling-place of disembodied souls; and, like Sheol, it was pictured as a subterranean world wrapped in eternal The soul, exhaled with the dying breath, was supposed to be material, but of such a thin and subtle contexture that it could not be felt by the hand nor even transfixed by the sword. It glided along noiselessly like a shadow. It preserved the shape and lineaments of the body, and even the marks of wounds if a man died in battle: so it was immediately recognised on its appearance in the under-world. In the play of Sophocles the dying Antigone exclaims, "I hope to be welcomed by my mother and my father and my brother." The inhabitants of Hades were somewhat more alive than those of Sheol. Companies of fellow-countrymen, knots of friends, talked together of their earthly fortunes, and eagerly questioned each new arrival for tidings from above. Homer tells us that when the soul of Achilles heard of the glorious deeds of his son Neoptolemus, he walked about excitedly, taking mighty steps through the meadows of asphodel. Still, in spite of occasional gleams of comfort, the future life was dreary, uninviting, repellent. The shade of this same Achilles-the princeliest of heroes — declared he would rather serve on earth for hire than be ruler over all the dead.

To the ancient Greek, as to the ancient Hebrew, death was a deplorable doom. Hades he regarded

as the natural destiny, the inevitable fate of universal humanity. But he could not reconcile himself to it. When a friend departed to the dolorous realm he sighed a melancholy, hopeless farewell. When his own summons came, he cast a sad, fond look at the bright day and the green earth. To his dying vision there was indeed a future, but what a future! To leave the glory and the joy of life, and subside into the dark, chill, mournful dwelling-place of ghosts. To become a vapid form, with nerveless limbs and a faint voice, a mere spectre, with just enough energy to bemoan its doom! No wonder that the living shrank from it—save as a refuge from the most intolerable afflictions.

Thus, you see, originally the Greeks and the Hebrews had pretty much the same conception of the future life. In course of time, however, this conception was modified and developed. The Jews, as I said the other day, did not learn to believe in Immortality—properly so called—until they had been brought into contact with the Persians. But the Greeks worked their way to the belief by themselves. That powerful instinct in man, which desires to see villainy punished and virtue rewarded, could scarcely have failed among such a cultivated people to suggest the thought of future retribution and compensation. And consequently, in writers subsequent to Homer, we find a portion of Hades set apart for the wicked. This was called Tartarus.

At first only monsters of iniquity were consigned to it, like the Titans, who had attempted by piling up mountains to storm the heavens and dethrone the gods, or, like Sisyphus, Ixion, and Tantalus, who had personally offended Zeus and been condemned by his direct intervention. Presently the two flagrant sins of blasphemy and perjury, later still all evil deeds, were supposed to be expiated by future suffering. And just as the notion of the wrath of the gods gave rise to a belief in the woes of Tartarus, so the notion of the kindness of the gods gave rise to a belief in the joys of Elysium—the future abode of the good. There the fields were clothed with perpetual green, fanned continually by a refreshing breeze, and perfumed with the delicate fragrance of flowers. In the beginning Elysium was thought of - Calvinistically - as the select abode of special favourites of heaven. To all but a chosen few this part of the under-world was utterly inaccessible. But by-and-by admission into it was declared to be obtainable by merit. The Greeksto their undying glory, let it ever be remembered the Greeks did what has not yet been completely accomplished even in Christendom. They eliminated from their idea of future punishment all traces of vindictiveness, and from their idea of future reward every element of caprice. They came eventually to believe that every human soul, on its entrance into Hades, would pass before Rhadamanthus, Minos, and Æacus—three upright judges —who would deal with it impartially, according to its deserts and the immutable powers of Right.

And there were some who came to regard the future life as more than a continuation of the present—as an actual advance. There were some who learnt to think of death, not as an evil to be accepted with resignation, but rather as a boon to be looked forward to with hope. In that last matchless discourse which Socrates delivered to his disciples he said, "'Let a man be of good cheer about his soul, if only he has arrayed her in her proper jewels - in temperance and justice, and nobility and truth. Thus adorned she will be ready, when the hour comes, to start on her journey to the other world. And there she will dwell in mansions far fairer than these; it will be like going from captivity to home.' With gentle irony the Master reproved them for the weakness of their faith. Simmias and Cebes demanded more proof. 'You are like children,' he said to them, 'who are afraid in the dark. You seem to fancy that when the soul leaves the body, the wind may blow her away—especially if it should be a stormy night.' And when Crito asked how he would be buried, he replied, 'Anyhow you like; only you must catch me first.' Then he turned to the others and said: 'I have been trying to convince you that I am going to the joys of the blessed; but these words of mine, I perceive, have had no effect upon Crito. So you must be surety for me now, as he was surety

for me at the trial, only let the promise be of a different sort: he went bail that I would remain. but you must guarantee that I shall go away. I do not want him to say, Thus we lay out Socrates, or, Thus we follow Socrates to the grave. For false words infect the soul with evil. My dear Crito, you cannot bury Socrates. As for his body, you may do with that what is usual, and what you think best.' At last came the closing scene. The hour of sunset was near. The jailer entered and said to him, 'You know my errand, Socrates. Other men rage and swear at me when, in obedience to the authorities, I bid them drink the poison. But you, I am sure, will not be angry; you are too just. Farewell! Try to bear lightly what must needs be.' After he had thus spoken he burst into tears and went out. 'How charming he is,' exclaimed Socrates; 'he has been very kind to me in the prison here, and you can see that his sorrow is genuine. Let the cup be brought.' 'But,' said Crito, 'the sun is yet upon the hill-tops, and many take the draught late. Do not hasten; there is still time.' Socrates replied, 'I should gain nothing by the delay. My life is already over. Please do as I wish.' So they called the jailer, who soon returned, carrying the cup. Socrates said to him with a smile, 'You, my good friend, who are experienced in these matters, must give me instructions as to how I am to proceed.' The man answered, 'You have only to walk about till your legs are heavy, and afterwards lie down.' Then he

handed the cup to Socrates, who took it without the least hesitation, and without the slightest sign of fear. 'What do you say,' he inquired of the jailer, 'to my making a libation? May I or may I not?' He answered, 'We only prepare just enough.' 'I understand,' said Socrates, 'but I must beg the Deity to prosper my journey from this world to the other.—Even so; be it unto me according to my prayer.' With these words he put the cup to his lips and quite cheerfully drank off the poison. Hitherto"—the narrative, by the way, Plato puts into the mouth of Phædo, who was the Master's favourite, the beloved disciple—" hitherto most of us had been able to control ourselves; but when we saw that he had finished the draught, we could do so no longer. My tears were flowing fast; not for him, but for myself, at the thought of my own calamity in losing such a friend. Crito got up and moved away; and at that moment Apollodorus, who had been weeping all the time, broke out into a loud and passionate cry which made cowards of us all. Socrates alone remained calm. 'Be quiet,' he said, 'have patience.' He walked about a little and then lay on his back according to the directions. After a while the jailer pressed his foot and asked him if he could feel; he said 'No'; and then his leg, and so upwards, and upwards. Socrates felt them himself. 'When the poison reaches the heart,' he murmured, 'that will be the end.' And he wrapped his mantle about him. Presently he uncovered his face. 'Crito,' he said, 'I owe something to Asclepius.' 'The debt shall be paid,' answered Crito. 'Is there anything else?' No answer came."

There was nothing else. The greatest—with one exception-of all human beings had gone, murdered, to his reward in the Unseen.

Primitive Ideas of the Future Life.

NEXT Sunday, and for some Sundays afterwards, I shall be engaged in discussing the modern idea of *Immortality*. We must consider the spiritual body, recognition, heaven, hell, final restoration, and so forth from the standpoint of present-day thinkers.

But before entering on these considerations, it is desirable to have a general notion as to the manner in which men have attempted to solve the problem in bygone ages and in distant countries. So, in addition to what I have already said on this branch of the subject, I will ask you to-day to glance at the savage idea of Immortality, or rather, I should say, of the future life. For, strictly speaking, Immortality implies an advance—especially a spiritual advance—upon our present state of existence. And this the primitive man was quite unable to conceive.

Still, as has been pointed out, it is a strange and startling fact that he should ever have thought of another life at all. The belief in something that lives after death is the most audacious imagination

Primitive Ideas of the Future Life. 129

that could enter into a human mind. The very Dead body gives it—apparently—the lie. That which looked out of the eyes, that which shaped the air into speech, that which was felt in the grasp of the hand—these and a thousand other things have gone. It certainly seems as if all were over. Wonderful, passing wonderful, is it that any one should ever have inquired—"If a man die, shall he live again?" and yet the lowest savage has not only asked the question, but has always answered it in the affirmative. He has always believed there was something within him which death could not touch.

The idea was first suggested to him, in all probability, by the phenomena of sleep. seemed to have an alter ego, a second self, which, while the body lay passive and inert, could shoot and fish, and hunt and fight, which could even depart altogether and pay visits to distant friends. It has been, at one time or other, universally believed that the soul does actually leave the body in dreams. There is a superstition prevailing today in some parts of Europe, according to which it is dangerous to turn a sleeper over lest the soul should miss its way back. And, in consonance with this kind of notion, the primitive man imagined that his other, his dream self, which in sleep left the body for a while, in death simply left it for good.

Hence, not unnaturally, he pictured the future life as exactly similar to the present. When he

was away from the body in sleep, he did pretty much the same as in his waking moments; and this is what would happen, he fancied, when he was away from the body in death. To ensure, as far as in them lay, the similarity of the future to the past was regarded by the dead man's relatives as their supreme duty. Such was the meaning of all the funeral ceremonies of early times. Among the Kayans of Borneo the slaves of a deceased person are killed in order that they may accompany him to the unseen world. They are strictly enjoined to take good care of their master, to shampoo him when he is ill, to be ever ready at his call, and always to obey his behests. From the same sort of motive the Fijians try to prevail upon the widow not to outlive her husband. All the pressure of persuasion and menace is brought to bear upon her. She knows well that if she refuse to die she will henceforth be disgraced; and custom is as tyrannous, as hard to struggle against, in Fiji as it is in London.

When the King of Dahomey died, almost the whole of his Court was massacred, that they might attend him on his entrance into Deadland—wives, eunuchs, ministers, soldiers. Nor is this all. From time to time he was supplied with a fresh body of ghostly followers. And when the new king—the son of the deceased—performed any important act of State, he killed some one, after having previously instructed him to report the action to his father. These murderous scenes are an expression, lament-

ably mistaken, but frequently sincere, of the liveliest filial piety. Other savages, less affectionately disposed, leave their dead relatives to shift for themselves. They seem content-more than content-to be quit of them. The custom of opening a window for the departing soul is even yet very common in France and Germany and England. And the North American Indians, afraid that the deceased may be inclined to linger, beat the air with sticks to frighten him away. A still more curious custom prevailed among the Australian aborigines. When a widow returned home from her husband's funeral she was attended by a person who flourished a handful of twigs like a flyflapper. This functionary was engaged for the purpose of driving off the ghost of the defunct, and leaving her free to marry again.

Savages, moreover, have generally believed that everything had a ghost, and that if they buried or burnt a dead man's property, he would find it again in the future life. The horse and the dog, the weapons and the pipe of the Indian warrior, are laid in the grave beside him. Sometimes dogs have been buried with the dead for another reason. Among the Esquimaux and the Aztecs it was thought-why, I do not know-it was thought that the faithful animals would be able to guide their human companions to the land of souls. Some of the objects interred were pathetically suggestive. They were not always intended to make the next world pleasant. Among the Algonquin tribes, for instance, where the hard work is done by women, these hapless servitors are buried with the carrying-strap, the strap they used in carrying burdens, so that they might resume, beyond the grave, their everlasting toil.

Man's anticipations of the future, you see, have invariably been determined by his habits and surroundings in the present. Piteous, indeed, must have been the condition of those whose imagination lost all rebound, who thought of the next life as poorer and harder than this, and who expected in the land of spirits to be flogged by more cruel taskmasters than any to be found on earth. As a rule, however, men expected to be better off hereafter. The negroes, when first transported to Virginia, imagined that Death would restore them to their pristine freedom and to their native land. They believed it so firmly that hundreds of them, in their dire homesickness, committed suicide. With fiendish ingenuity the owners told them that they possessed estates in Africa as well, that the deserters would be caught as soon as they arrived, and that their work and their hardships would be doubled. The poor wretches took it all for gospel truth, and then they had nothing to hope for-not even from Death.

I need hardly say that when the savage looks forward to a better world, the good times he anticipates are not of an elevated character. How should they be? They are but a copy of

the good times he has had on earth. Some there are so low down in the scale of being as to think that the future will be passed in perpetual drunkenness. To the Esquimaux the best thing in Paradise is the walrus-meat. The American Indian, as he sinks in battle, catches a glimpse of Happy Hunting-grounds, where there is eternal summer, where the woods troop with game, and where the arrows of the sportsman never miss. The inhabitants of Kamtschatka are confident that they will find again, not only their wives, but also their clothes and their huts and their tools, in a land where there are no bogs, no inundations, no impassable snows, no Cossacks, and where neither hunting nor fishing is ever pursued in vain. Heaven to them is but Kamtschatka improved. They do not fear any punishment for wrong-doing. The only rectification they expect is for the poor. Those who have had weak, thin dogs on earth will be furnished in heaven with strong, fat ones.

And when the idea of retribution does occur to men, they always begin by awarding the highest happiness to the virtue of physical courage. For example, in Scandinavia Odin's Hall is reserved exclusively for warriors. Einheriar, they are termed—i.e., the Elect.

Valhalla is roofed with shields, ornamented with coats of mail, and its columns are spears. Each morning at cock-crow the Elect rush forth and pass the day fighting; but however pierced, even though hewn in pieces in these terrible encounters, by evening every wound has healed, and they return to a magnificent banquet, where places of honour are assigned to those who have proved themselves the most valiant. A battle by day and a feast by night—the highest joys which the Vikings knew on earth—were supposed to be the supreme rewards of heaven.

Crude, grotesque, coarse enough, in all conscience, were the savage's opinions of the future life; but not more so than the rest of his opinions. The race, like the individual, grows—grows from childhood to maturity. Men only get right by finding out they were wrong. We do not reach the truth till after centuries and millenniums of error. That is the divine plan.

"For fresh and green, from the rotting roots
Of primal forests, the young growth shoots;
From the death of the old the new proceeds,
And the life of truth from the rot of creeds."

What a contrast between the dying Cherokee, who would leap into heaven with a war-whoop on his tongue and a string of scalps in his hand, and the dying Christ, who sublimely murmured, "Father, into Thy hands I commit my spirit"! What a sweep of thought from the peasant woman who pictures heaven as a place where she and a few others will sit in clean aprons singing psalms, and the philosopher whose loving faith embraces every rank of being, and who conceives of Paradise as a land of eternal pro-

gress for all the inhabitants of all the worlds in space! Yet, great as is the contrast, wide as is the separation between the lowest ideas of Immortality and the highest, it is nevertheless true that the former were *inevitable* in the childhood of the race. Men could not possibly begin with spiritual conceptions. "That is not first which is spiritual, but that which is natural." And among the myriad surmises about futurity which have obtained from age to age, there is not one but bears testimony to the existence in man of a divinely implanted intuition that everything is not ended for him at Death.

The Spiritual Body.

I.

"Some one will say, How are the dead raised up? and with what body do they come? Fool! when thou sowest, thou sowest not the body that shall be, but bare grain; and God giveth it a body as it hath pleased Him. So also is the Resurrection of the dead. Flesh and blood cannot inherit the kingdom of God. What is sown a natural body is raised a spiritual body."—I Cor. xv.

LAST Sunday, you remember, I pointed out that the idea of a future life was most probably suggested, in the first instance, by the phenomena of sleep, and that all the improvement to which they looked forward was material, physical, carnal. And that was all.

Here, as in all early beliefs,—and, for the matter of that, in late ones too,—there is a mixture of truth and error. The savage was wrong in supposing that the soul left the body in sleep, but right in supposing that it left the body in death. He was wrong in supposing that his experiences hereafter would be the same as his experiences here, but right in supposing that he would carry with

him his own essential personality. He was wrong in supposing that his disembodied soul would be material, but right in supposing that it would possess a recognisable form. He was wrong in supposing that the ghosts of material objects would meet him beyond the grave, but right in supposing that it was impossible for any kind of ghost to regain its physical embodiment. He did not believe in the resuscitation of his flesh and blood. And in this respect he was in agreement with St Paul.

There is nothing in the Bible, or anywhere else, plainer, more unmistakable, more simple, than St Paul's denial of a physical Resurrection. The fact that many people have not seen this, that many people still do not see it, shows in what a thoughtless and perfunctory manner they must go through what I suppose they would call their devotional reading. They take up the Bible with their minds full of preconceptions, prejudices, prejudgments—prejudgments, you know, are judgments formed before the proper materials have been got together to form them with. Well, I say people take up the Bible when their minds are full of prejudgments, when they are, as we call it, prejudiced. Instead of going to the Bible to get materials for a judgment, they carry a ready-made judgment with them. They do not try and find out what it actually teaches. They content themselves with reading into it what they already believe. As is well said in Butler's 'Hudibras'-

"The Bible is the Book
Where each his dogma seeks,
And each his dogma finds."

The great chapter from which our text is taken has perhaps more than any other been subjected to this stupid—not to say disrespectful—treatment. It is often supposed to affirm—it is sometimes even quoted as affirming—that which it most emphatically denies. I want you, therefore, this morning to look carefully into the apostle's doctrine of the spiritual body. He compares the sowing, death, and rising of man to the sowing, death, and rising of grain. Even in nature, he says, in your ordinary agricultural processes, you do not sow the body that shall be. You sow bare grain. That grain remains in the earth; but there is a vital element within it which rises above the earth, and in doing so takes to itself-"God gives it"-a new body suited to its new conditions and its new environment.

Now this analogy deserves minute consideration. We are confronted at the outset by a difficulty; but a little reflection will suffice, I think, to conquer it. The difficulty is this: What is meant by the sowing of man? If we go wrong here we shall entirely miss the point of the analogy, and the whole chapter will be rendered meaningless. So let us be sure that we get the right answer to the question, When, where, and how is man sown? If you were to ask this of superficial readers of the Bible, most of them would reply—When he is laid in the grave. They imagine that the sowing

of the seed corresponds to the burial of a dead man's body. But it does not-it cannot. For this reason. Sowing takes place before death; interment after. The sowing of the seed, so far from corresponding to a man's death, corresponds, in point of fact, to his birth. This earth of ours is the seminary—seminary, you know, means a seedplace—this earth of ours is the seminary of Heaven. A man is sown when he is born into the world: just as a grain of wheat is sown when it is placed in the ground. And let me ask you particularly to notice—this is the chief point—the body that shall be is not sown. The body that is sown never rises. The body of the seed is adapted to the soil; the body of the blade of corn is adapted to the realm of air and light. Similarly, man's material body is adapted to a material existence. while his spiritual body is adapted to a spiritual existence. The body sown is suited to one set of circumstances, the body raised to a different set of circumstances. The two bodies—the sown and the risen—are adjusted to distinct phases of being, and differ from one another accordingly.

Secondly, the death of man is compared by the apostle to the death of grain. The seed putrefies, rots, mingles with the earth. And a similar fate befalls the human body. But in each case that which dies is only a husk. Within the seed is a living germ; within the body of man is a living spirit. When the seed decays the germ sprouts; when man's body dies his spirit passes into a

nobler sphere. The seed is sown to die, and so is man. "That which thou sowest is not quickened except it die." And the same is true of what God sows. Death is a necessary step in their development. For through death alone can they enter into the fuller and more beautiful life for which they were created.

And in the third place, the analogy holds equally good of the rising again. The Resurrection of the grain from the soil precisely corresponds to the Resurrection of man from the material world. That which The seed itself remains in the earth. rises is the latent germ; when it does rise, it appears in a new and changed embodiment. The quickening of the seed is not the resurrection of the dead husk, but the bursting forth of its inner life into a more advanced state of being. Similarly the resurrection of man is not the resuscitation of his dead body, but the ascent of his personal spirit into a higher world. The natural body is no more sublimated into the spiritual than the husk of grain is sublimated into the full-grown plant. The shell in each case is dead and done with-dead because done with. The inner life has no further need of the outer envelope. That has now become an encumbrance—an encumbrance which must at all costs be got rid of. Man must rise, not with, but from, his corpse. I will conclude with the little poems—the one by Matthew Prior, the other by Pope. Each of them is a dying man's address

to his soul; but in the first death is regarded as a catastrophe, in the second as a triumph:—

"Poor little pretty fluttering thing,
Must we no longer live together?
And dost thou prune thy trembling wing
To take thy flight, thou knowest not whither?
Thy humorous vein, thy pleasing folly,
Lies all neglected, all forgot;
And pensive, wavering, melancholy,
Thou dread'st and hop'st thou know'st not what."

"Vital spark of heavenly flame!
Quit, oh, quit this mortal frame.
Trembling, hoping, lingering, flying,
Oh, the pain, the bliss of dying!
Cease, fond Nature, cease thy strife,
And let me languish into life.

Hark! they whisper; angels say, 'Risen spirit, come away!'
What is this absorbs me quite?
Steals my senses, shuts my sight?
Soothes my spirit, draws my breath?
Tell me, my soul, can this be death?

The world recedes; it disappears:
Heaven opens on my eyes, my ears
With sounds scraphic ring.
I live anew! I rise! I fly!
O grave! where is thy victory?
O death! where is thy sting?"

The Spiritual Body.

II.

"Some one will say, How are the dead raised up? and with what body do they come? Fool! when thou sowest, thou sowest not the body that shall be, but bare grain; and God giveth it a body as it hath pleased Him. So also is the Resurrection of the dead. Flesh and blood cannot inherit the kingdom of God. What is sown a natural body is raised a spiritual body."—I Cor. xv.

HAVE explained the precise meaning of this passage. We saw that so far from affirming, it most emphatically denied, the doctrine of a physical Resurrection. St Paul compares the sowing, death, and rising of MAN to the sowing, death, and rising of GRAIN. And, 1st, as to the sowing. Man is sown when he is born into the world, just as a grain of wheat is sown when it is placed in the ground. The body that shall be is not sown. The body that is sown never rises. The body of the seed is adapted to the soil; the body of the blade of corn is adapted to the realm of air and light. Similarly man's material body is adapted to a

material existence, while his spiritual body is adapted to a spiritual existence. The body sown is suited to one set of circumstances; the body raised to a different set of circumstances. The two bodies—the sown and the risen—are adjusted to distinct phases of being, and differ from one another accordingly.

2ndly, As to the death. The seed putrefies, rots, mingles with the earth. And a similar fate befalls the human body. But in each case that which dies is only a husk. Within the seed is a living germ; within the body of man is a living spirit. When the seed decays, the germ sprouts; when man's body dies, his spirit rises into a nobler sphere. The seed is sown to die; and so is man. "That which thou sowest is not quickened except it die." And this is equally true of what God sows. Death is necessary to development. Through death alone is there an entrance into a fuller life.

3rdly, As to the rising again. The Resurrection of the grain from the soil exactly corresponds to the Resurrection of man from the material world. The seed itself remains in the earth. That which rises is the latent germ; and when it does rise, it takes to itself—"God gives it," the apostle says—a body suited to its new conditions and its new environment. The quickening of the seed is not the resuscitation of the OLD form below the soil, but the reappearance of its inner life in a NEW form above. Similarly, the Resurrection of man is

not the resuscitation of his dead body, but the passing of his personal spirit — in a new and changed embodiment—to the higher existence of the spiritual sphere.

A new and changed embodiment. "There is a spiritual body." That is all the apostle tells us about it. That is all he had to tell. He makes no attempt to describe it. He knew no more of the nature of the spiritual body than you or I. Even if it could have been revealed to him - and it could not while he remained in the flesh—even if it could have been revealed to him, he would still have been unable to make it intelligible to us. For this reason: we can only picture the future life in the colours of the present; and therefore, so far as the two lives differ, all such pictures will necessarily be false. Can an embryo have any idea of the experience that awaits it, when it has been born into the world and grown up to be a man? Can an acorn, buried in the dark mould, foresee that by-and-by cattle will recline under its shade, and birds sing upon its branches? Can a chrysalis, bound up in its sombre swaddling-clothes, suspect that soon it will be a winged butterfly, clad in all the colours of the rainbow, and free to range through the air at will? A fortiori, it is impossible for an inhabitant of a physical world to conceive the nature of the spiritual body or the experience of the spiritual life. Materials for such a conception are entirely lacking. Eye hath not seen anything, ear bath not heard anything, neither bath anything entered into the heart, which could help us in imagining what God hath prepared.

Two things only we can be sure of in regard to the spiritual body, and they are implied-indeed stated—in the phrase itself. First, it is a body i.e., it has form. Second, it is spiritual—i.e., it is not material. To begin with the second point. It is really a truism to say that the spiritual body is NOT material. But it must be said; and it must be emphasised; because many people maintain the contrary. They will have it that the spiritual body is material. For example, the catechism of the Scotch Church declares that "the self-same bodies of the dead which were laid in the grave shall be raised up at the last Day by the power of Christ, and united to their souls for ever." This theory leaves the poor soul without any body at all from Death to the Day of Judgment. And it further involves the various absurdities and ceremonies to which I have so often referred. Origen truly observed that the doctrine of a physical Resurrection was the foolishness of beggarly minds. Some have thought to diminish the foolishness by supposing that we shall get, not the same, but similar bodies -bodies composed, like our present ones, of oxygen, hydrogen, nitrogen, and carbon, with a dash of phosphorus and iron. But, on this hypothesis, the new bodies would be equally material, and therefore equally fatal to our entrance into the spiritual world. Others have supposed that our physical organism will be sublimated or etherealized. But however much you sublimate or etherealize matter, it remains matter nevertheless. The atmosphere is just as material as the granite rock. And so I might go on with other modifications of the doctrine of a physical Resurrection. But it must suffice for the present to say that they are—one and all of them—unscriptural, ridiculous, impossible.

I would as soon believe in the resuscitation or sublimatisation of—of—of—my pince-nez as in the resuscitation or sublimatisation of my physical body -sooner. This little instrument I have invariably found helpful. I wish I could say as much for the other. You would give it back to me when I have succeeded in getting rid of it? To do so would be like trying to reduce the oak to an acorn, the butterfly to a grub, the hero to an embryo. Nay, it would be sillier, crueller still; for these all live in the same material sphere. But the Kingdom of God is spiritual; and to resaddle its denizens with their "muddy vestures of decay" would be to drag them back again to the limitations, the weaknesses, the sorrows, the sins, from which otherwise they were for ever free.

And, as I said, there is another assertion which may be confidently made in regard to the spiritual body. It also is a truism. But I feel bound to lay stress upon it, because it is so often overlooked. The assertion is this. The spiritual body is a body—i.e., it has form. You remember Tennyson's words:—

"That each, who seems a separate whole,
Should move his rounds, and fusing all
The skirts of self again, should fall
Remerging in the general Soul,

Is faith as vague, as all unsweet:
Eternal form shall still divide
The eternal soul from all beside."

The people who cling tenaciously to the idea of a physical Resurrection imagine, I daresay, that they must either have a material form or else no form at all. But there is not the shadow of a reason for such a supposition. Everything that lives in the material world gathers to itself a body suited to its material environment. And so it must be with everything that lives in the spiritual world.

Unembodied, formless, life is inconceivable. For souls without form, communion would be an impossibility. They would not recognise one another. They would not even recognise themselves. Thev would lose their sense of personal identity. individuality would vanish. They would be merged in the Infinite; and that would be the end of them. You will need form in the future no less than in the present, and therefore you may be sure that you will have it. When a grain of wheat is rotting in the earth, and its latent germ emerges into the light of day, God giveth it a body as it hath pleased Him. And is it possible to believe He will neglect you, when your mortal form dissolves, and you are called upon to enter the spiritual world? If He clothe the grass of the field, shall He not much more clothe you? I will conclude, as I concluded last Sunday, with two contrasted poems. The first—a translation from Heine—represents the death of the body as a loss. I don't know the author of the second. It was sent me by a member of the congregation. In this the death of the body is represented as a gain:—

"My soul doth to the body say—
'I will not leave thee; I will stay.
Thou ever wert my second I,
And round me clingest lovingly.
Alas! I've come to nakedness,
A mere abstraction, bodiless,
Reduced to blessed nullity,
In yon chill realms of light to be.
Oh, 'tis quite unbearable; stay,
Stay with me, dear body, pray.'"

"Nay—but as when one layeth
His worn-out robes away,
And taking new ones, sayeth,
'These will I wear to-day'—

So putteth by the Spirit Lightly its garb of flesh, And passeth to inherit A fairer garb afresh."

Personal Identity.

"Some one will say, How are the dead raised up? and with what body do they come? Fool! When thou sowest, thou sowest not the body that shall be, but bare grain; and God giveth it a body as it hath pleased Him. So also is the Resurrection of the dead. Flesh and blood cannot inherit the kingdom of God. What is sown a natural body is raised a spiritual body."—I Cor. xv.

I HOPE, after the explanation I have already given, you now thoroughly understand the meaning of this passage. Let me run over it again. St Paul compares the sowing, death, and rising of man to the sowing, death, and rising of grain. And, 1st, As to the sowing. Man is sown when he is born into the world, just as a grain of wheat is sown when it is placed in the ground. The body that shall be is not sown. The body that is sown never rises. The body of the seed is adapted to the soil; the body of the blade of corn is adapted to the realm of air and light. Similarly, man's material body is adapted to a material existence, while his spiritual body is adapted to a spiritual existence. The body sown is suited to one set of circumstances, the body raised to a

different set of circumstances. The two bodies—the sown and the risen—are adjusted to distinct phases of being, and differ from one another accordingly.

2ndly, As to the death. The seed putrefies, rots, mingles with the earth; and a similar fate befalls the human body. But in each case that which dies is only a husk. Within the seed is a living germ; within the body of man is a living spirit. When the seed decays, the germ sprouts; when a man's body dies, his spirit rises into a nobler sphere. The seed is sown to die, and so is man. "That which thou sowest is not quickened except it die." It does not rise into a higher life until it has shaken itself free from the body of the lower. And this is equally true of what God sows. Death is necessary to development. Through death alone is there an entrance into a fuller life.

3rdly, As to rising again. The Resurrection of the grain from the soil exactly corresponds to the Resurrection of man from the material world. The seed itself remains in the earth. That which rises is the latent germ, and when it does rise, it takes to itself—"God gives it," the apostle says—a body suited to its new conditions and its new environment. The quickening of the seed is not the resuscitation of the OLD from below the soil, but the reappearance of its inner life in a NEW form above. Similarly, the resurrection of man is not the resuscitation of his dead body, but the passing of his personal spirit—in a new and

changed embodiment — to the higher existence of the spiritual sphere. Man must rise not with, but from, his corpse.

Now let us pass to another point. In each case that which rises, that which exists in different forms, is itself invisible. The germ of life within the seed, the personal spirit within the physical organism, each of these must be carefully distinguished from any and every form which it may assume, and so I am going to speak this morning about personal identity.

Listen. One of my pupils once wrote me as follows: "It pains some of us very much, indeed - more perhaps than you quite realiseto say 'Never again,' as we kiss the cold dead cheek and fold the stiff hands. To resign the hope of a physical Resurrection is hard to some of us, for the body seems so much more real than the soul." This state of mind is, no doubt, very common. It is put still more strongly and petulantly in the following passages. The writer begins by stating a view similar to that which I have endeavoured to expound, then follows his criticism upon it: "What have you to do with flesh, the gross garment in which the spirit hides itself? You shall see her again. But the hand, the foot, the forehead you loved you shall see no more. Higher than she was on earth, as the tree is higher than the seed, so shall you behold her, changed, glorified. High words, ringing well. But they are the offering of jewels to the hungry, of gold to the man who dies for bread. Bread is corruptible, gold is incorruptible; bread is common, gold is rare; but the starving man will barter all the gold-mines in the world for one morsel of bread. Around God's throne there may be choirs of angels, cherubim and seraphim, rising tier above tier; but not for one of them all does the soul crave. Only, perhaps, for a little human woman that it once loved. Rob me of the thoughts and feelings and desires that are my life, and what is there left? Your Immortality is annihilation. Your hereafter is a lie."

This mood is not unnatural, but it results from a confusion of thought. It arises from mistaking the physical organism for personal identity. ROUGHLY, everybody distinguishes between them. If your friend had an arm or a leg amputated, you would not feel that you had lost a part of him. A person who weighed twenty stone would not be regarded as more of a man than one who only weighed twelve. Everybody would agree with Dr Watts:—

"Were I as tall to reach the pole,
Or grasp the ocean in my span,
I must be measured by my soul.
The mind's the standard of the man."

Still, as my correspondent said, the body does seem to most people more real than the soul. Matter appears so stable and permanent; mind so visionary—not to say apocryphal. We feel sure of matter, but we don't feel at all sure of mind. And yet

reflection will show that this view of things is precisely the opposite of the truth.

Matter, in point of fact, has no stability, no identity. It is only the invisible that is really permanent. The things which are seen are transient, temporal. The unseen alone is lasting, eternal. Let me make this clear.

Matter, I say, has no identity. The mist rising from the river is an illustration of a universal process. The lichens upon the granite hills are transforming rock into gas and soil, as really as the sun is changing the river into mist. Neither the rock nor the lichen, nor the gas and dust into which they are transformed, has any actual identity. This earth of ours, and everything within it and upon it, is in a state of perpetual flux. The appearance of material objects may be identical, but their substance is for ever being changed. In common speech, however, we frequently call things the same when we know perfectly well they are but similar. For instance, I go back to a spot where years ago I used to watch the ships on the horizon, and I say, "There are the same white sails." But they are not the same; the only sameness is sameness of impression. In like manner we speak of the same river; but there is no such thing. The particles of the river are changing every minute. Some are going into the sea; some are coming down from the hills; some are rising into the atmosphere as moisture. So, too, with a ray of light, a column of smoke, a flame of fire. These things are the same only in the sense that they give us the same impression. It is this sameness of impression, combined with our lax use of terms, which blinds us to the fact that there is no stability in the material world.

It is not till we leave inanimate Nature and come to organic life that we find anything approaching identity. Plants and animals, it is true, are continually altering their outer forms. But here there is an inner, invisible something a latent germ, an immaterial presence, a vital force, or whatever you please to call it—that remains constant in the midst of change. When an oak is to be produced, its life is committed to an acorn. By-and-by it casts aside this outer wrapping, which is then no more to it than any other extraneous matter; and it shoots up in a new form, adapted, not to the dark mould, but to the light and air of day. When a butterfly is to be produced, there is first an egg, then a larva or worm, then a caterpillar, then a chrysalis, and, finally, from the decay of this enfolding husk springs forth an insect that can fly. There have been, you see, constant changes of embodiment; but the one life has persisted through them all. And it is the same with man. The alterations in the human body, though less perceptible, are every bit as real. When it has grown to maturity, it does not seem to alter - barring accident or disease or old age. And yet, it is altering every second. Nourishment is change, for nourishment is combustion. Chemists tell us that we are ablaze to our finger-tips. Food is the fuel; and the fire runs along the veins like flues, burning up certain particles, which are then replaced by others. The process of change is the process of life. Stop the change, and death will at once ensue. And so it comes about that we are for ever shedding our material organisms. Today we are clothed, you and I, with a body of which not a single molecule formerly belonged to us.

And so, I repeat, though the longing to see again the old, worn-out, dead body of a friend is not unnatural, it is, nevertheless, the result of an illusion. A shoe or a glove or a handkerchief may be dear to you because they belonged to some one whom you loved. But they were not he. Neither was his body. He dwelt far away within it. And in the more transparent form of the spiritual world you will be able to come infinitely nearer to him than you could ever have approached through the coarse barrier of the flesh.

Reunion.

IT is the hope of Reunion that fans the desire for Immortality into a flame. I admit, at the outset, that there are some who possess neither the one nor the other. The dreams of men as to what they want beyond the grave are infinitely varied—ranging from Nirvana to Valhalla.

To some, no doubt, especially to persons of feeble health or overstrained conscientiousness, the notion of final repose is more grateful than that of eternal activity. There are Buddhists of the West, as of the East, to whom life seems a burden; and they extend by anticipation the tedium vitæ which they feel here to any existence that may await them hereafter. Probably if these people understood themselves better they would recognise, even in uttermost lassitude, the truth of Tennyson's words:—

"Tis life of which our veins are scant,
"Tis life—not death—for which we pant,
More life, and fuller, that we want."

But, anyhow, such desire for annihilation, as I pointed out to you several weeks ago, is abnormal —the exception, not the rule. There are others too, it must be admitted, persons of a coldly intellectual temperament, who would echo the sentiments of Martineau, which were these: "I have no objection to being extinguished. I have no wish for any further experience. I feel no solicitude about parting which will bring no pain. I have lived a life so full that its fulness is equivalent to length. There is much in my life that I am glad to have enjoyed, and much that generates a mood of contentment at its close. I am satisfied to have done with life. I have had a noble share of it, and I desire no more. I neither wish to live longer here nor to find life anywhere else." Such cases, again, are abnormal—the exception, not the rule. Whoever feels in this way about the world to come has never loved anybody sufficiently to desire to be reawakened to meet him. Not to desire to meet, at whatever cost, a being whom we have supremely loved is a contradiction in terms. Were there to loom before us centuries of labour and of pain, we should be willing, we should be thankful, to go through them, if only we could confidently say-

"Soul of my soul, I shall meet thee again;
And with God be the rest."

The saddest of all sorrows for those who have hearts to love—*i.e.*, for the great majority of mankind—the saddest of all sorrows are the bereave-

ments of Death. Of all questions that haunt the mind, wringing its faculties for a solution, beseeching the oracles of the universe for a response, none has, for most of us, such absorbing interest as the question of Reunion. Is the farewell of the dying the announcement of lasting separation, or is it but the good-night greeting of those who shall meet on the morrow? Does the grave sunder us for evermore? or shall we, somewhere, anywhere, some time, any time, in the ample creation, in the boundless ages, be reunited to those whom we have loved?

It is of much importance to a man, especially to a man of keen sensibility, which view he takes. For him who believes that beyond this land of tombs there is no Reunion, the death of friends will be an appalling disaster. It will take from earth and sky a glory never to be replaced. It will leave in his heart an aching void which nothing can ever fill. If he is to go on living his own life, he must forget the happy past, and lose himself in the excitement and turmoil of the present. Even then, if he is true to the holiest instincts of his soul, he will find that the fatal separations have *irreparably impoverished* his being.

"For this losing is true dying;
This is lordly man's down-lying;
This his slow but sure declining—
Star by star his world resigning."

But for him who expects soon to be reunited, under fairer auspices and by firmer bonds, to those who have gone before, the death of friends is a message from the great Father—a message, solemn, yet kind, laden, indeed, with sadness, yet brightened by promises of the sweet By-and-by. His tears flow not in scalding bitterness from the Marah fountain of despair, but in chastened joy from the smitten rock of faith. So far from endeavouring to forget the beloved dead, he will cling to their memory with redoubled tenderness, as a sacred trust and a redeeming power. When he is bereft of friend after friend, he will sigh to each departing spirit, "Auf wiederschen"; pleasant, stimulating, sanctifying recollections of them will linger in the daydreams of remaining life; and he will hear their celestial voices pleading from on high to win him to virtue and to God.

It is of much importance to a man, I say, whether he believes or disbelieves in the restoration of the broken ties of earth. The belief is full of inspiration; the disbelief is paralysing. The one is a well of comfort, making an oasis in the dreariest of lives. The other is a withering blast, that brings with it nothing but misery and woe. Danton said to his comrades on the scaffold, "Our heads will meet in yonder sack." The Christian, as he lays his loved one in the grave, says, "Our souls will meet in yonder heaven." Without that soothing and sustaining trust the intolerable wretchedness of the bereaved would often burst through the fortress of the mind, hurl reason from its throne, and trample the affections in the dust of madness. Many a gifted

soul, unknown in the nameless privacy of his life, has been so conjoined with a worthy peer that, rather than be left behind, he has prayed they might die together—together flit across the dolorous strait to the other shore, and

"Together reach the blessed goal,
Where He that died in the Holy Land
Might reach them out a loving hand,
And take them—as a single soul."

Many a faithful mourner, whose love has been blighted so far as this world is concerned, would tell you that without Reunion the highest heaven would be for him as the deepest hell. *Listen*.

"O Father, take her not away!

Let not life's dear assurance lapse
Into death's agonised 'perhaps';

A hope without a promise, where
Less than assurance is despair!
Give me some sign, if go she must,
That death's not worse than dust to dust;
No heaven, on whose oblivious shore
Joy I might have, but her no more!
The bitterest cross, it seems to me,
Of all is infidelity;
And so, if I may choose, I'd miss
The kind of heaven which comes to this."

Moreover, beside those to whom we specially apply, and who specially deserve the name of lovers, there are parents, children, husbands, wives, friends, disciples, who are possessed with an ecstasy of desire for reunion with the beings to whom they owe what was happiest and best in their earthly career. Almost every member of the human race

has an unappeasable yearning to meet again some one whom he supremely loved. I take it, therefore, that the fact of Reunion is established beyond the possibility of dispute. Shall these desires and yearnings be brutally ignored? Will these affections end in so many heaps of dust? Is the anguish of bereavement gratuitous? Can every hope of the smitten heart be false? Does each hour which knits us more closely together only bring us nearer to an everlasting farewell? love a meteor gleam in a starless night? No! declares itself an eternal thing. There is an element of infinity about it—so much so that it never fails to express itself in words transcending time. We speak, for instance, of undying affection, and of immortal love. Love alone can make the next world heaven. And so the desire for Reunion is nothing less than a prophecy—a divine avowal that we are to meet again. The evidence of Love is overwhelming. Reunion is as sure—as sure—as God.

Recognition.

LOVING hearts are often haunted by the fear that their lost ones have gone for ever beyond their ken. A clever pupil of mine once wrote me as follows: "Many people dread—I know I did—that their friends will suddenly at death spring up into glorified beings past all recognition. Even if we hope that we too shall in time be similarly developed, the feeling of present estrangement and aloofness is very bitter. Besides, they have the start of us. Will they not outstrip us in the race of progress? And if so, how can we expect to meet them again?" The same idea is finely expressed in a poem by Mrs Browning entitled "Little Mattie":—

"Just so young but yesternight,
Now she is as old as Death.
Meek, obedient in your sight,
Gentle to a beck or breath
Only on last Monday! Yours,
Answering you like silver bells
Lightly touched! One hour matures:
You can teach her nothing else.
She has seen the mystery hid
Under Egypt's pyramid:
Since her life came to a close
She has learnt what Ramses knows.

Ay, and if she spoke, may be
She would ask you, like the Son,
'What is now 'twixt thee and me?'
Dreadful answer! better none.
Yours on Monday, God's to-day!
Yours, your child, your blood, your heart,
Called . . . you called her, did you say,
'Little Mattie' for your part?
Now already that sounds strange,
And you wonder, in this change,
What He calls His angel-creature,
Higher up than you can reach her.

You, you had the right, you thought,
To survey her with sweet scorn,
Poor gay child, who had not caught
Yet the octave-stretch forlorn
Of your large experience! Nay,
Now your places are changed so,
In that same superior way
She esteems you dull and low'
As you did herself exempt
From life's sorrows. Grand contempt
Of the spirits risen awhile,
Who look back with such a smile!

There's the sting of't. That, I think, Hurts the most a thousandfold! To feel sudden, at a wink—
Some dear child we used to scold, Praise, pet, fondle, kiss, and tease, Teach and tumble as our own, All its curls about our knees, Rise up suddenly full-grown. Who could wonder such a night Made a woman mad outright? Show me Michael with the sword Rather than such angels, Lord!"

The majority of theologians have a theory—as to the means of Recognition—which is quite worth-

less. They assume that nothing is required but the retention or recovery of physical peculiarities. Some insist upon the pure and simple Resuscitation of the natural body. Others think that the spiritual body is a copy of the natural, an immaterial facsimile, which preserves, in shadowy outline, all its essential features. Now, as regards a physical Resurrection, I have shown you over and over again its utter absurdity, its absolute impossibility, so we need not discuss it any further. As regards the second supposition-which was Swedenborg's—it is neither absurd nor impossible, and, for anything I know to the contrary, it may be But such a correspondence between the spiritual body and the physical would not always suffice for Recognition. Indeed it would sometimes be a hindrance rather than a help. Think. If you had not seen a person between childhood and maturity, you could not, from his body alone, even guess that it was he. This difficulty, carried over into the future life, is finely illustrated by Shakespeare. Constance, you remember, on hearing that her son had been cast into prison and would probably be kept there till he died, laments that when she meets him in heaven he will be an old, decrepit man. She says to her confessor:-

[&]quot;Father Cardinal, I have heard you say
That we shall see and know our friends in heaven,
If that be true, I'll see my boy again,
For since the birth of Cain, the first male child,
To him that did but yesterday suspire,
There was not such a gracious creature born,

But now will canker sorrow eat my bud,
And chase the native beauty from his cheek,
And he will look as hollow as a ghost,
As dim and meagre as an ague's fit,
And so he'll die; and rising so again,
When I shall meet him in the court of heaven,
I shall not know him; therefore never, never,
Shall I behold my pretty Arthur more."

The same lament might be made by every mother who leaves behind her a child that is destined to attain old age. Supposing, if you like for the sake of argument, that its resurrection body were, not the worn-out organism committed to the grave, but the body of its prime, even that would not be the body she had known and loved. And to imagine that the Deity would resurrect its childish form is to imagine that He would stultify Himself. What he was going to raise as a child He would not allow to die as a man. So, you see, in this and similar cases, Recognition cannot be dependent either upon the physical body, or upon any resemblance to it which the spiritual body may bear.

There must be a better and a surer means.¹ Let us see now if we cannot discover it by an examination of our present experiences. Well, to begin with, as I have so often said, a man Is not his body, but the soul within it. And we know something — roughly and imperfectly, I admit, through the coarse medium of the flesh, but still

¹ Some people don't give the Deity credit for as much commonsense as they themselves possess—and that's little enough.

we do know something—of one another's souls. It is not only, nor chiefly, by their visible features, by their physical peculiarities, that we recognise our friends. We also, and far more truly, recognise them by the traits of their character, by the trend of their lives, by the effluence of their spirits, by the atmosphere which surrounds them. Even as regards the body itself, that which most clearly distinguishes one man from another is not his shape, but the tones of his voice, the expressions of his countenance, which are immediate reflections of his soul. HERE mental vision, the faculty by which we see invisible, unseeable, qualities - call it insight, intuition, sympathy, what you please - HERE, I say, the sight of the mind plays an important part in Recognition. HEREAFTER it may be all-sufficient. The characteristics of the soul endure through any and every alteration of the body - will endure though the body be resolved into its native dust. And amidst "the multitude that no man can number," friends may be reunited by the operation of this law of insight, acting, through the more transparent medium of the spiritual body, with the ease and precision of chemical affinity. "Is it possible," asks Mr Alger-"is it possible that as we move through the ambrosial air of heaven, we could touch, in passing, the radiant robes of one whom we have supremely loved without a thrill of Recognition?" The following is a poetic, but at the same time a very reasonable, description of what might occur when, for instance, mother and child meet beyond the veil:—

"It was not, mother, that I knew thy face.

The luminous eclipse that's on it now,

Though it was fair on earth, did make it strange
E'en to me, who loved as well as knew thee:

It was my heart that cried out—Mother!"

Students of Dante will remember that he speaks of a similar experience on his first meeting the angel form of Beatrice.

Recognition may be effected, then, through the mysterious attraction of sympathy. If you think this idea fanciful, an overdrawn conclusion of the imagination not warranted by the average realities of daily life, I do not agree with you. However, there is another means, undoubtedly, admittedly terrestrial.

A man's outward form may be so changed by disease, exposure, hardship, privation, or other causes, that he cannot be identified by sight. Yet that does not make identification impossible. Boon companions, after being long separated, have not unfrequently met at some chance spot and talked together as strangers; but after a while, by some accidental allusion, or through the agency of a third person, their identity has been revealed. In the early History of America many instances are recorded of children torn from their parents by the Indians, and recovered after a lapse of twenty or thirty years, when there was nothing but circum-

stantial evidence to identify them. But this did not detract from the sweetness of Reunion. Let any parent, let any friend, ask his heart whether such a discovery of the object of his love would not be just as welcome as though there had been no outward change? Nay, might not the very change itself in some cases, if, for instance, it resulted from the suffering of separation, be but an added bond of union? Similarly, in the life to come, supposing we were unable directly to recognise our earthly companions, either by the eyes of the spiritual body or by the instincts and intuitions of the heart, we might yet identify them, indirectly, by speech.

And if you are still unsatisfied, if you urge that, even admitting the truth of what I have said, you may nevertheless wander for weary ages through the vast unknown without happening to fall in with those whom you have loved, what shall I reply? Why, this. It is ridiculous to imagine that the means of Reunion are limited to those which I can suggest. The Deity, forsooth, could find a way of bringing you together when the right time had come. Reunion is as certain as the very existence of God. Can you not trust the time and the manner of it to Him? Can you not be content to say—

"Soul of my soul, I shall meet thee again,
And with God be the rest"?

The Readjustment of Relationships.

THE readjustment of relationships must be an important factor in any better life. I have been speaking about Reunion—Reunion with those whom we supremely love. The desire for it—which is, perhaps, the strongest instinct in our nature—may be accepted as prophetic. These relationships will undoubtedly be continued because Happiness would otherwise be an impossibility. "O Mutter, Mutter, was ist Himmel? O Mutter, was ist Hölle? Mit ihm, mit ihm ist Himmel, und onke Wilhelm Hölle." I need scarcely say this has nothing to do with relatives as such. I need scarcely say I did not intend to suggest that all the members of every family were going to be brought together in the future. Heaven forbid! REunion is a second union -which of course implies a first. And we are not united—really united—by consanguinity. Union -real union-is not achieved by the mere name of relative. Quite the contrary very often. people seem to think that the special value of relationship lies in the opportunity which it affords them of being specially disagreeable. There are

families where even at the Christmas dinner it is customary to invite a stranger for the purpose of keeping the peace. A relative may be the worst of enemies. "No stranger," says O. W. Holmes, "can get many notes of torture out of a human soul. That requires one who knows it well. Such an one understands the whole gamut of your nervous system, and can touch the naked nerve-pulps as a pianist strikes the keys. A delicate woman is the best instrument; she has such a magnificent compass of sensibility. From the deep inward moan, which follows pressure on the great nerves of right to the sharp cry as the filaments of taste are struck with a crashing sweep, is a range which no other instrument possesses. A few exercises on it daily fit a man wonderfully for his habitual labour, and refresh him immensely as he returns from it. This nerve-playing has its Vieuxtemps and its Thalberg; its most accomplished artists are invariably husbands!" Reunion with a thing like that! why -in comparison, Hell would be a boon! Ties which lacerate, but do not bind, will be for ever snapped asunder by the kindly hand of Death.

There is also in family life a less extreme but much more common flaw—viz., indifference. Let me read you a little poem. It is entitled "The Neglected Child":—

"I never was a favourite,
My mother never smiled
On me, with half the tenderness
She gave her fairer child.

I've seen her kiss my sister's cheek While playing at her knee; I've turned away to hide my tears— There was no kiss for me.

And yet I strove to please, with all My little store of sense;
I strove to please, and infancy
Can give no real offence.
But when my artless efforts met
A cold, ungentle check,
I did not dare to throw myself
In tears upon her neck.

How blessed are the beautiful!
Love waits on them from birth.
O beauty! in my nursery
I learned to know thy worth.
For even there I often felt
Forsaken and forlorn;
And wished—as others seemed to wish—
I never had been born.

I'm sure I was affectionate—
But in my sister's face
There was a sort of something claimed,
A smile or an embrace.
But when I raised my lips to meet
The pressure children prize,
None knew the feeling in my heart;
It spoke not in my eyes.

Alas! that heart too keenly felt
The anguish of neglect.
I saw my sister's lovely form
With gems and roses decked;
I did not covet them; but oft,
When angrily reproved,
I envied her the privilege
Of being so beloved."

For all such aching hearts there must be compensation - ample compensation - in the world to come. With that, however, I am not at present concerned. I content myself with pointing out that the mockery of barren relationships cannot follow them beyond the grave. Relationships which are purely formal—whether of parent and child, brother and sister, husband and wife will be dissolved. There is no reason for their continuance — no means of continuing them. Relatives who were kept together in life only by the accident of birth or other mundane conventionalities, when set free by death from these artificial bonds will naturally, inevitably, mercifully drift apart.

In the third place - I spoke first, you remember, of antipathy, and secondly of indifference -now I observe, thirdly, an earthly relationship may quite conceivably be renewed, even though it was not a particularly happy one, if there were any genuine affection au fond. Then the only readjustment needed would be a better mutual understanding. Take the case of Carlyle. He certainly did not make his wife happy. He seemed at times to be doing his best to make her wretched. But—his remorse! "O for some more of her, to tell her with what love and admiration, as of the beautifullest of human souls, I did intrinsically always regard her." Irritability is sometimes physical. The spirit may be amiable and the body morose. Let me

give you an illustration. The best servant I ever had was afflicted with a terrible temper. Though it puzzled me, I pretended not to notice it; for he did his work so well that I wanted if possible to keep him. Poor fellow! he died suddenly, and a post-mortem examination showed that he had been all the time suffering from a tumour. That at once explained and excused his temper. Similarly, in the clearer light of a better world, you will learn to make allowance for the bygone frailties of the flesh. In some such way, perchance, you may discover that you were loved better than you knew, and you may longingly await Reunion-Reunion with one to whom on earth you scarcely felt united at all.

Finally, relationships which were but fore-shadowed *here*, may *there* be actually realised. This has been superbly illustrated by Browning:—

"Beautiful Evelyn Hope is dead!
Sit and watch by her side an hour.
That is her book-shelf, this her bed;
She plucked that piece of geranium flower,
Beginning to die, too, in the glass.
Little has yet been changed, I think.
The shutters are shut, no light may pass
Save two long rays through the hinges' chink.

Just sixteen summers; and then she died!

Perhaps she had hardly heard my name.

The time had not come to love; beside,

Her life had many a hope and aim,

174 Readjustment of Relationships.

Duties enough and little cares,
And now was quiet, now astir,
Till God's hand beckoned unawares—
And the sweet white form is all of her.

Is it too late, then, Evelyn Hope?
Your heart was gentle, pure, and true;
The good stars met in your horoscope,
Made you of spirit, fire, and dew.
And just because I was thrice as old,
And our paths in the world diverged so wide,
Each was nought to each, must I be told?
We were mere fellow-mortals, nought beside?

Not so, methinks! For the good God above Is great to grant as mighty to make, And creates a love to reward a love:
I claim you, then, for my own love's sake!
Delayed it may be for more lives yet,
Through worlds I shall traverse, not a few:
Much is to learn and much to forget
Ere the time be come for taking you.

But the time will come—at last it will—
When, Evelyn Hope, what meant (I shall say)
In the lower earth, in the years long still,
That body and soul so sweet and gay?
Why your hair was amber I shall divine,
And your mouth of your own geranium red—
And what you could do with me, in fine,
In the new life, come in the old one's stead.

I have lived (I shall say) so much since then, Given up myself so many times, Gained me the gains of various men, Ransacked the ages, spoiled the climes. Yet one thing, one, in my soul's full scope, Either I missed or itself missed me; And I want and find you, Evelyn Hope!

What is the issue? Let us see.

I loved you, Evelyn, all the while; My life seemed full as it could hold: There was place and to spare for the frank young smile, And the red young mouth, and the hair's young gold. So, hush !- I will give you this leaf to keep,

See! I shut it inside the dear cold hand.

There! that is our secret. Go to sleep!

You will wake, and remember, and understand,"

The Continuity of Life.

PEOPLE generally imagine that there is a violent break between this world and the next; they generally imagine that the future life is not only different from the present, but disconnected. Some suppose Death will level everybody up—everybody, at least, who is "saved"; some suppose death will level everybody up to the same standard of perfection; but if you think for a moment, you will see that is impossible. Such a process would destroy personal identity, and would therefore be virtually the annihilating of us. I know myself as one who possesses impulses—bad as well as good, faculties more or less undeveloped, and a character infinitely far from perfect. Suddenly alter all this, suddenly make me perfect, and I should not know myself, I should not be myself. It would not be I, but another being, that entered at my death into the spiritual world. And so the continuity between earth and heaven would be snapped, and life would be reduced to an absurdity.

Others think, on the contrary, that we shall all be *levelled down* to the same standard of mediocrity. These are the people who look forward to an eter-

nity of repose. They are going to sit among the lazy saints, and bask in the sunshine of the divine favour. They will recline on the banks of the heavenly Jordan, recounting to each other their past experiences; and perhaps they will listen to stories from the lips of Adam, of Moses, of David. Lounging and gossiping—these, for sooth, are to be the chief employments of the saved. One little effort, or rather two little efforts, may be occasionally required of them-viz., to wave palm branches and to sing. That is all FOR EVER. Before we could enjoy such a life most of us would need a deal of levelling down. A being of average intelligence would find it very paltry and insipid, while the great thinkers and workers of the world would shrink from it with disgust, unless they had first been shorn of their noblest qualities. At that rate the pleasures of heaven would be infinitely inferior to the pleasures of earth. At that rate the future life would be infinitely meaner than the present. At that rate entering into the spiritual sphere would be sinking to the level of an animal, of a clod. Man now is an instrument of myriad strings. And is he to spend his future eternally thrumming upon one? If so, he will soon wish himself back in the world of toil. Ay, he will think-and rightly think-

> "The world of pain were better, if therein One's heart might still be human, And natural pity drop upon its fires Some cooling tears."

Such silly, drivelling notions of Immortality make it difficult for intelligent men and women to believe in the doctrine—make it impossible for them, even, to wish to believe in it. Just contrast now the following meditation of Richard Jefferies: "Recognising my own inner consciousness so clearly, death did not seem to me to affect my personality. In dissolution there was no bridgeless chasm, no unfathomable gulf of separation. Look at a living person. The soul is not visible, but only the body which it animates. Therefore, merely because after death the soul is not visible, we cannot infer that it does not still live. The condition of being unseen is the same condition which occurs while the body is living; so that, intrinsically, there is nothing exceptional or supernatural in the life of the soul after death. As I stood by a grave, the spirit of the man who had been interred there seemed to me really alive. And this was quite natural—as natural and simple as the grass waving in the wind, the bees humming, or the lark's songs. Only by the strongest effort of mind could I conceive of extinction. That would be supernatural. That would require a miracle. The Immortality of the soul is natural like earth. Listening to the sighing of the grass, I felt Immortality as I felt the beauty of the summer morning." And if you would have the same clear realisation of Immortality, you must have the same clear realisation of the nature of the soul. The soul is the invisible something that sees and hears and feels and thinks and wills and loves, and gathers up these varied experiences into the unity of its personal life. Death, therefore, which is merely the removal of its outer wrapping, leaves it precisely what it was. It is no better than before and no worse. It knows no more and no less. It has neither gained nor lost a single faculty. It has but entered into an environment more favourable for the exercise of its faculties, more adapted for the acquisition of knowledge, more helpful in the struggle to become good. As we leave off here, so shall we begin there.

"We know full well that, in the dim Hereafter,
The thread of that great scheme, whereof this life
Is—as a something tells us—but a part,
Shall not be lost but taken up again,
And woven into one completed whole."

A man takes into the next world nothing but himself. Yet, if he has made the best of himself, what more could he want to take? Think how much the self includes. Think of the capacity, so to speak, which is stored up there for the continuation and development of life. First and foremost there are the treasures of memory. Then there are acquired capacities, disciplined powers, cultivated faculties. The eye, indeed, may lose its sight at death, and the cunning hand of the workman may lose its skill. But the mental enrichment which has been gained through the eye, and the mental training which has resulted from faithful workmanship — these things are not lost. They

have been woven into the man's innermost being. Moreover, all that Providence has gained for him—the quickening of sensibility by sorrow or trial, the stimulating of ambition by the "withheld completions" of the present—these things are not lost. They belong, not to the body, which is left behind in the world of matter, but to the soul, which rises into the world of spirit.

Finally—finally—yes, it was not quite correct to say that a man takes into the next world only himself. There is something else which he may take, or which, at all events, he may count on finding. The good he has done to his fellows—what is it but treasure laid up in heaven? In the happy by-and-by—as his crowning and supreme reward—there will meet him, with glad welcome and fond devotion, every human soul whose life has been made better by his care, by his teaching, by his love.

"'She is dead!' they said to him. 'Come away;
Kiss her! and leave her!—thy love is clay!'

Over her eyes, which gazed too much, They drew the lids with a gentle touch;

About her brows and her dear, pale face They tied her veil and her marriage lace.

And over her bosom they crossed her hands. 'Come away,' they said, 'God understands.'

And they held their breath as they left the room. With a shudder they marked its strange chillness and gloom.

But he—who loved her too well to dread
The sweet, the stately, the beautiful Dead—

He lit his lamp, and he turned the key. Once more alone again—he and she!

He and she; but she would not speak, Though he kissed as of old the quiet cheek.

He and she; yet she would not smile, Though he called her the name that was fondest erewhile.

He and she; and she did not move For all his passionate whispers of love!

Then he said, 'Cold lips! and breast without breath! Is there not any voice, any language of death?

'Dumb to the ear, and still to the sense, But to heart and soul distinct—intense!

'See now-I listen with soul, not ear, What was the secret of dying, Dear?

'Was it the infinite wonder of all That you ever could let life's flower fall?

'Or was it a greater marvel to feel The perfect peace o'er the agony steal?

'And was it the innermost heart of the bliss To find out, so, what a wisdom love is?

'Oh, perfect Dead! Oh, Dead most Dear, I hold the breath of my soul to hear.

'There must be pleasure in dying, sweet, To make you so placid from head to feet!

'I would tell you, Darling, if I were dead, And 'twere your hot tears upon my brow shed.

'You should not ask, vainly, with streaming eyes, What was, in Death, the chiefest surprise;

'The very strangest and suddenest thing Of all surprises that dying must bring.'

Who will believe that he heard her say, With the soft, rich voice, in the old sweet way—

'The uttermost wonder is this: I hear, And see you, and love you, and kiss you, Dear.

'I can speak, now you listen with soul alone. If your soul could see, it would all be shown.

'I should laugh for joy if you did not cry; Oh, listen! Love lasteth! Love cannot die.

'I, now, am your Angel, who once was your Bride; And I know that, though dead, I never have died.'''

The Progressiveness of Life.

T.

LAST Sunday I spoke about the continuity of life. Some people imagine that there is a violent break between this world and the next; they generally imagine that the future life is not only different from the present, but disconnected.

Others think, on the contrary, that we shall all be levelled down to the same standard of mediocrity. According to this theory, the redeemed will be denuded of the best of their earthly faculties. There is no further use for them. In heaven there will be no science, no art, no literature, no philosophy, no work. Its inhabitants will pass their time in gossiping and singing and waving palm branches in honour of their god. What a god! What a life! It would hardly content a fool. As for the great thinkers and workers of the world, they would look upon it with infinite disgust. At that rate, the future life would be meaner—far meaner—than the present. Entering into the

spiritual sphere would be sinking to the level of a clodhopper, of a clod. Heaven would be a preposterous anticlimax to earth.

I pointed out that the best way to rid ourselves of such silly notions is to gain a clear conception as to the nature of the soul. The soul is the invisible, persistent something that sees and hears and feels and thinks and wills and loves, and gathers up these varied experiences into the unity of its personal life. It retains its identity in the midst of a changing world—in the midst of a changing organism. was itself when its body was entirely different. will be itself when its body has been resolved into dust. Souls are the only permanencies. As they have survived the flux of phenomena in life, so will they survive the flux of phenomena in Death. Death, which is but the removal of the soul's outer wrapping, leaves it precisely what it was. It is no better than before and no worse. It knows no more and no less. It has neither gained nor lost a single faculty. Very probably, as Swedenborg says, the dead do not at first realise that they have died. They find themselves exactly what they were. The only difference is in their surroundings. They have entered into another environment—an environment more favourable for the exercise of their faculties. more adapted for the acquisition of knowledge, more helpful in the struggle to become good.

What, then, does the soul take with it into the unseen world? What is the *capital*, so to speak, with which it starts upon its new career? Well,

to begin with, there are all its treasures of memory and of experience. Then, too, there are its acquired capacities, its disciplined powers, its cultivated faculties. The eve, indeed, may lose its sight at death, and the cunning hand of the workman may lose its skill. But the mental enrichment which has been gained through the eye, the mental training which has resulted from years of faithful workmanship—these things are not lost. They have been woven into the man's inmost being. Moreover, all that Providence has gained for him—the quickening of sensibility by sorrow and trial, the stimulating of ambition by the "withheld completions" of the present—these things are not lost. They belong, not to the body, which is left behind in the world of matter, but to the soul, which rises into the world of spirit. And, finally, all the good he has done to others he will take with him, or at all events he will find again. In the happy by-and-by—as his supreme reward—there will meet him, with glad welcome and fond devotion, every human soul whose life has been made better by his care, by his teaching, by his love.

> "My love, we can but think, when we That ampler life together see; Some true result will then appear Of what we were together here.

The facts of life inspire the hope That, in a world of larger scope, What here was faithfully begun Will be completed—not undone." So much for the connection between this life and the next—the one a continuation of the other. To-day and next Sunday we will consider the progressiveness of life, or, in other words, the development which awaits us in the spiritual world.

I admit, I may as well say in passing, that there are many human beings who seem to have no chance of fitting themselves immediately for the higher life. I admit that Reincarnation may be necessary for those who die prematurely, immaturely. Almost all the imperial thinkers of the race have said so. But with that I am not at present concerned. I am going now to speak of the progress which men may eventually make when they are clothed in a spiritual body, when they do live in a spiritual environment. Do you know that magnificent sonnet of Blanco White's?—

"Mysterious night! when our first parent knew
Thee from report divine, and heard thy name,
Did he not tremble for this lovely frame,
This glorious canopy of light and blue?
Yet 'neath a curtain of translucent dew,
Bathed in the rays of the great setting flame,
Hesperus with the host of heaven came,
And, lo! creation widened in man's view.
Who could have thought such darkness lay concealed
Within thy beams, O Sun! or who could find,
Whilst fly and leaf and insect stood revealed,
That to such countless orbs thou mad'st us blind?
Why some, then, shun Death with anxious strife?
If Light can thus deceive us, why not Life?"

Fancy, inspired by fear, generally pictures Death as something horrible and terrific. For instance, the Hindus personified him as a monster with a million mouths, devouring all created things. We, too, are wont to depict him as a grim and loathsome skeleton. But sometimes Pagans—as we call them in our insolent conceit—sometimes Pagans have known better. Not unfrequently they have perceived the true benignity of Death. "Mors janua vitæ," said the Romans—Death is the gate of life. And this belief was illustrated in their mythology by the legends of Tithonus and Apollo. Through the prayers of Aurora, who loved him, it was granted that Tithonus should not die. But after a while, when he grew old and decrepit, this fatal gift of earthly immortality became to him a curse. "Me only," he laments, "me only"—of all mankind—"cruel immortality consumes." Then there is the converse legend of Apollo, who prayed for the best gift of Heaven. The answer he received was this, "At the end of your days it shall be granted." In a week's time he fell asleep and awoke—on earth—no more. The Druids, too that wondrous hierarchy of minstrel priests-taught the same inspired doctrine. They and their disciples were possessed by such a happy conception of the life to come that at funerals they would dress themselves in white and would smile through their tears—upon the mortal remains of those who had passed beyond the veil. And were they not right? Listen. Can you not hear the dead speaking like this?—

"In youth I died, in maiden bloom,
With gentle hand Death touched my cheek,
And with His touch there came to me
A spirit calm and meek.

He took away all wish to stay;
He was so kind, I feared him not.
My friends saw but my slow decline,
And mourned my timeless lot.

They saw but sorrow; I descried The bliss that ne'er shall fade away. They felt the shadow of the tomb; I marked the heavenly day.

I heard them whisper o'er my bed, 'Another hour and she must die.' I was too weak to answer them That deathless life was nigh.

They clothed themselves in robes of black;
Through the sad aisles the requiem rang.
Meanwhile the white-robed choirs of heaven
A gladsome pæan sang."

Or like this ?-

"The dead! Is it you who call us dead?
What! you who wait for the birth,
Who wait to pass hence from the prison of sense,
From the body and brain of earth?
Oh, why do you name the living dead,
Who think and act with the force
Of the LIGHT that from far, that from star to star
Moves on in its wondrous course?"

The Progressiveness of Life.

II.

THE 'Daily News' announced yesterday that I was going to preach on Temperance. What put such an idea into its head I cannot imagine. I am not going to do anything of the kind. Icannot. I may tell you in confidence that before my attention was called to the announcement, I had already prepared to-day's sermon; and there was not time enough to make a new one. So I shall have to go on, as I originally intended, with the subject I began last Sunday. I am afraid this will somewhat damage the 'Daily News' 'reputation for veracity. However, the unfulfilled prediction should serve as a useful warning. When will the papers learn only to prophesy after the event? So much for the imaginary sermon. I will now proceed with the real one.

I am going to direct your attention to-day to the development which Common-Sense would teach us to anticipate in the superior environment of the

spiritual world. Did you ever hear the following quaint quatrain of Tennyson?—

"The Lord let the house of a brute to the soul of a man;
And the man said, 'Am I your debtor?'
And the Lord, 'Not yet; but make it as clean as you can,
And then I will let you a better.'"

"I will let you a better"—a more subtle and delicate organism. There is a pretty story told of the prophet of Islam. An old woman once asked him if she would be saved. "Why," said he, "how can you? there will be no old women in heaven." Whereupon she began to weep. But he quickly consoled her by adding, "There they will be young again." "The Redeemed," Spenser says, "are all beautiful." And if you mean by the Redeemed the good, it must be so. Even here the soul is to some extent the sculptor of the body. As a rule, we have no difficulty in distinguishing at a glance a villain, a philanthropist, a thinker, a blockhead. But in this world the correspondence between soul and body is anything but exact. It is interfered with partly by the coarseness of the material which the spirit has to mould, and partly by other influences, such as heredity and climate, disease and old age. Beauty is often but skin deep. The gentlest, sweetest disposition is sometimes disguised by a face that is almost ugly. Hence the mistakes we are so apt to make in the bestowal of our affections, the mésalliances which are productive of so large a proportion of the misery of life. But in the

spiritual world, doubtless, the body will be the precise index of the soul. Outer form will perfectly correspond with inner development. Beauty in its various degrees will be, without exception, souldeep. This idea is finely expressed by Spenser:—

"Every spirit, as it is more pure
And hath in it the more of heavenly light,
So it a fairer body doth procure
To habit in,
For of the soul the body form doth take.
For soul is form and doth the body make."

"I will let you a better"—a more subtle and delicate organism. This implies, further, the expansion of our senses. We may, perhaps, acquire new ones, just as the embryo when born acquires the faculty of hearing and of sight. Instead of touching the next world at five points—hearing, seeing, smelling, tasting, feeling—instead of touching the next world at five points, we may then touch it at a thousand. Or-what would come to pretty much the same thing-our present senses may be indefinitely developed. We are probably living, Goldwin Smith well says, in a universe of which we know as little as the mole knows of the world of vision. The mole, no doubt, imagines he perceives everything that is perceptible. And we are apt to delude ourselves in the same way. But there is nothing to warrant such a superstition. There is everything to disprove it. Our senses are strangely limited. I had almost said capriciously; but that would be a wrong word. The limitation cannot be

capricious. There must be a reason for it, inexplicable though it seems. Possibly the crude material of the flesh cannot be more finely developed. Possibly a finer development is at present undesirable. Be the reason, however, what it may, the fact of the limitation is plain enough.

Take the case of sight. Examine the solar spectrum. At one end are the red rays; there the ether vibrates 428 million million times a second At the other end are the violet rays; there it is vibrating 727 million million times a second. The remaining prismatic colours lie between, with more vibrations than the red and less vibrations than the violet. Outside these limits we see nothing. Beyond the red lie the heat rays, which we can feel. Beyond the violet lie the chemical or actinic rays, which we can only recognise by their effects. Now it is absurd to suppose that the heat rays or the chemical rays are essentially invisible, simply because we do not see them. It is absurd to suppose that the limit of vision is eternally fixed by the capacity of the human eve. No! The universe must be full of beauty to which we are at present blind.

Or take the case of sound. The range of this faculty varies very much even among ourselves. The suddenness of the transition from perfect perception to a total want of it is very striking. You can try an experiment if you like. Collect a dozen or twenty people and test them with a series of small musical pipes. You will be amused by their expression of discomfiture as the

sounds pass beyond the range of their hearing. Those who have enjoyed a momentary triumph will probably discover, in their turn, to how short a distance their little superiority extends. "Nothing can be more surprising," says Sir John Herschel, "than to find two persons, neither of whom is deaf, the one complaining of the penetrating shrillness of a sound, while the other declares that there is no sound at all." Some people can only just hear a note four octaves above the middle E of the pianoforte, others have a distinct perception of sound full two octaves higher. The chirrup of a sparrow is about the former limit, the cry of a bat an octave above it, and that of certain insects another octave. Professor Tyndall has told us that on one occasion when he was crossing the Wengern Alps with a friend, the grass at each side of the path swarmed with insects which seemed to rend the very air by their chirping; vet his friend heard nothing. This insect music lay probably at the extreme limit of human audition. Sound, as you know, is caused by vibrations of the atmosphere, the number of vibrations corresponding to the shrillness of the note. In the highest note which a human ear can detect there are, according to Helmholtz, 38,000 vibrations per second. Beyond that all is for us silence. For US. But it is absurd to suppose that the limit of the audible is eternally fixed by the capacity of any human ear. No! The universe is resonant with melody to which we are at present deaf. You know what Shakespeare says—

"There's not the smallest orb that thou behold'st But in his motion like an angel sings; . . . Yet while this muddy vesture of decay Doth grossly close us in, we cannot hear it."

"I will let you a better"—a more subtle and delicate organism. This implies, moreover, an expansion not only of the senses, but also of the higher faculties of the mind. Marvellous already have been the achievements of men of genius. Yet to themselves it seems as if they had accomplished practically nothing. You remember Socrates and the Delphic Oracle. You remember Newton and the pebbles. You remember the dying words of Goethe. You remember Tartini and that wonderful production of his which he called the Devil's sonata. It came to him one night in his sleep; but he could not recall it. What he wrote down, he asserted, bore but the faintest resemblance to the music of his dreams. "There is one word," said Lord Kelvin recently, "which characterises all my efforts for the advancement of science, and that word is-failure." But if Life is continuous and progressive, if the kings of the earth—the royal thinkers of the race-take their glory and honour, their knowledge and ability, into that world where the mental faculties become expanded, they will find that what they modestly called failure was in reality the beginning of success.

"I will let you a better"—a more subtle and delicate organism—surrounded by a more helpful and encouraging environment. There is comfort in such an idea, let me point out in conclusion, not only for the man of genius, but also for the average man—ay, for the man, too, who is below the average. There is comfort not only for him who has failed relatively—failed to accomplish as much as he had hoped for the race—but also for him who has failed absolutely—failed to accomplish anything, even for himself. There is comfort for the man whose life, so far, has been a fiasco. Listen.

"Yes, I have failed; that golden prize
Of life—success—ambitious boast,
Which dazzled once my boyish eyes,
I strove for, prayed for, and have lost.

Yet I may not have lost the prize, It only may not yet be won; I see, with dim and tearful eyes, The goal may be still farther on.

That star again, like morning sun,
May rise upon some happier shore,
And where a nobler race is run
My Master bid me—try once more."

Retribution.

I WILL give you four texts. The first from St Paul, "Whatsoever a man soweth that shall he also reap." The second from the Quaker Poet, Whittier—

"The tissue of the life to be
We weave in colours all our own;
And in the field of Destiny
We reap as we have sown."

The third from a lay sermon by Professor Huxley: "The happiness of every one of us, and more or less of those connected with us, depends upon our knowing something of the rules of a game infinitely more complex than that of chess. It is a game which has been played for untold ages; every man and woman of us being one of the two players in a game of his or her own. The chess-board is the world; the pieces are the phenomena of the universe; the rules of the game are the laws of nature. The player on the other side is hidden from us. We know that his play is always fair, just, and putient. But also we know, to our cost, that he never overlooks a mistake or makes the

smallest allowance for ignorance. To the man who plays well the highest stakes are paid, with that overflowing generosity which the strong delight to show; and one who plays ill is checkmated, without haste, but without remorse."

This metaphor will probably remind you of the celebrated picture in which Retzach depicted Satan playing at chess with a man for his soul. Substitute for the mocking fiend in that picture a calm, strong angel, who is playing for love, as we say, and who would rather lose than win, and I should accept it as an image of human life. The fourth, and the shortest, from Dr M., "The Retribution of God is educative."

Retribution means, as you know, paying back. Common usage generally restricts the term to the paying back of evil—a fact which is curiously, sadly suggestive of the badness of the human heart. As if no other payment mattered! An honest paying back will of course include also the due recognition of good. Now, I want to make it clear to you that God's paying back-God's Retribution—is honest; that there is nothing capricious about His rewards, nothing vindictive about His punishments. And I want further to make it clear to you that God's paying back—God's Retribution—is not an end in itself. It is a means. It has an ulterior purpose. It is educative. It is part of the great scheme by which good is brought out of evil, and better out of good, in perfect Evolution.

Ages ago Pythagoras said, "No one but a beast would punish merely because evil had been done." Yet that is the kind of conduct with which it has been customary to credit God. He has been pictured as a little King, seated on a little throne, surrounded by a little crowd of flattering courtiers, employing a little constabulary force to execute his unreasoning edicts. That is not God. God dwells not outside His universe, but within. He governs it not by occasional, erratic, angry interferences, but by absolutely unchanging Laws—Laws which are the expression of infinite wisdom and eternal Love.

Retribution is one of them. It is, in fact, but a particular form of the general law of cause and effect. Retribution—the punishment or reward of an action — is, so to speak, the kick of the gun, not an extra explosion arbitrarily thrown in. The thief, the liar, the misanthrope, the drunkard, the poet, the philosopher, the hero, the saint,—all these find the return for what they do in what they ARE, in the elevation or degradation of their character, and in the consciousness that they are in harmony, or out of harmony, with their environment. is no necessity for adventitious or miraculous accomplishments. To be wise and pure and good and noble is GLORY and BLESSEDNESS. To be ignorant and corrupt and mean and feeble is shame and horror. "In the weary satiety of the idle, in the healthy energy of honest labour, in the irritable temper of the selfish, in the serene peace of the benevolent, in the startling tortures of the soul

where the passions have the mastery, in the calm Elysium which succeeds their subjugation, there is surely sufficient Retribution."

A bad man, I admit, is sometimes so absorbed in his worldly affairs, his conscience is sometimes so seared, that he does not recognise his misery, but actually imagines himself to be happy. That is the worst condition of all. For such a man there is in store a terrible awakening. The longer his remorse is deferred, the more agonising in the end must it become. How else should be be saved? How else should be obtain even a desire for goodness? "Retribution," says Emerson, "is often spread over a long time, and so is not at first distinct." "The excesses of youth," says Cotton, "are drafts upon old age payable with interest about thirty years after date." But whether the full punishment of wrong-doing comes sooner or later, whether it comes in this world or the next, it is as certain as the mercy of God. Yes. Punishment is the last, best proof of Love. "Whom the Lord loveth. He chasteneth. For He desireth not the death of a sinner, but rather that he should turn from his wickedness and live." And the desire of the Almighty is not likely to be frustrated.

Do a wrong deed, and though you flee on the pinions of the lightning, the penalty will chase you through infinite space until you have paid its debt. Or rather, it will be with you—within you—all the while. It is part of the constitution with which God in His mercy has endowed you.

Divine retribution, then, whether of punishment or reward, arises naturally from within us. It is not arbitrarily inflicted from without. This idea is well expressed both by the heterodox Byron and by the orthodox Milton. You remember the words of the dying Manfred to the fiend:—

"Back to thy hell!

Thou hast no power upon me, that I feel;
Thou never shalt possess me, that I know.

What I have done is done; I bear within
A torture which could nothing gain from thine:
The mind which is immortal makes itself
Requital for its good or evil deeds,
Is its own origin of ill, and end,
And its own place and time: its innate sense,
When stripped of this mortality, derives
No colour from the fleeting things without,
But is absorbed in sufferance or in joy,
Born from the knowledge of its own desert."

Similarly Milton:-

"The mind cannot be changed by place or time; The mind is its own place, and in itself Can make a heaven of hell, a hell of heaven."

This is true in the present world, and it will be just as true in the next. Heaven and Hell, as I shall explain to you more fully another day, have nothing to do with place or time. They are as much about us here as they can ever be hereafter. They are conditions of the soul. It is not the outer abode, but the inner spirit, which renders our experience either painful or the reverse. Let me illustrate this—and with the illustration I will

conclude - by an allegory. St Peter was once called away for a moment from the gate of the unseen world. An ecclesiastic undertook to supply his place, and to determine the future destiny of any who might arrive during the absence of St Peter from his post. First there presented himself a man remarkable at once for the brilliance of his scientific achievements and for the gentleness and benevolence of his disposition. But, alas! he was a heretic, and the clergyman ordered him to Hell. Arrived there, he was inexpressibly pained and shocked by the sights which met his gaze, and especially by a group of young children in torment; so he set to work and applied his knowledge of chemistry to the devising of measures which would protect them from the flames. At once the whole scene changed. Beauty and happiness lay around him, and he found himself breathing the pure air, fanned by the gentle breezes of heaven. You understand how it was, don't you? Having forgotten his personal suffering through sympathy with others, having devoted himself to the task of easing their pain, he was enveloped—naturally, inevitably — in the serenity and the joy that emanated from his own loving spirit. Another case came before our ecclesiastic. This time the man had lived a lazy, useless life, had been mean, selfish, cruel. But, as he had believed in the atoning blood and been a regular communicant, he was sent to heaven. And what happened? No sooner was he seated—safely, as he thought—in

bliss than he tried to peep over the golden wall into the pit of perdition, so that he might increase the relish of his favoured lot by contrasting it with the agonies of the lost. In an instant the *celestial* scenery was transformed into the *infernal*, and there radiated from his own evil nature the retributive fires of Hell.

Second Advent.

FROM the time of Christ to the present day it has been a common belief that the Messiah would shortly reappear in the flesh, descending from heaven in the clouds, heralded by squadrons of angels, to the accompaniment of falling stars, showers of blood and fire, and every kind of horror; that He would raise the elect from their graves to share for a thousand years in the splendour of His earthly reign; and that He would summon the whole human race to His judgment-seat, and pass upon each the final sentence amidst a convulsed and dissolving universe.

The literature produced by this millennarian delusion, if heaped together, would make a pile as big as the largest of the Pyramids. Some years ago a man named Millar, with his definite assignment of the date of the approaching consummation, caused quite a panic in the United States. Prophets of a similar order have stirred up transient commotions in Germany. We have had Dr Cumming and Mr Baxter. All these Second Adventists, as they are called, keep their ears perpetually strained to catch

the first blast of the archangel's trumpet. Every earthquake, pestilence, thunderstorm, comet, even an extraordinary brilliance of the aurora borealis, startles them, as a possible avant-courrier of the crack of doom. And as time goes on, and nothing happens, they become

"Impatient with the stars that keep their course, And make no pathway for the coming Judge."

How did this preposterous doctrine arise? To understand that, we must inquire into the origin and development of the Messianic idea. The Jews imagined themselves the favourites of the Almighty. By the covenant of Abraham, Jehovah, as they thought, had entered into a contract to be their Guardian. During the weary years of their captivity they solaced themselves with visions of a glorious future, when some Messiah would arise to overthrow the Gentiles and to establish the Lord's people in the land of Judæa. Such was the original conception of the Messiah. But by the time of Christ it had developed into something very different. It was gradually added to by religious faith, by national pride, by priestly ambition, and by suggestions derived from intercourse with Persia.

During this process of accretion the moral metaphors of prophecy were slowly transmuted into the material dogmas of Theology. Poetic figures came

 $^{^{1}}$ Even this year the negroes in South America were alarmed at the eclipse of the sun.

to be regarded as literal facts. Theologians — Jewish as well as Christian—have generally been a prosaic set of gentlemen. As a rule, they seem quite incapable of comprehending that there is such a thing as a figure of speech. Their craze for limitations is productive of the most fatal results —everywhere, and especially here. The Hebrew prophets were wont to employ the most daring possible imagery. For instance, Joel, in describing the calamities which would overtake the enemies of Israel at no distant date, says, "The day of the Lord cometh I will show wonders in heaven and in earth, blood and fire, and pillars of smoke. The sun shall be turned into darkness, and the moon into blood." These expressions, which were merely intended to typify the appalling nature of the calamities foretold, hardened, under theological influence, into the belief that the coming of the Messiah would synchronise with the end of the world. Ezekiel, again, in depicting the return of the Jews from captivity, uses the metaphor of the coming to life of a heap of dry bones. This expression similarly hardened into a belief in a physical Resurrection, first of the chosen people, and second of all mankind. Thus by degrees the scene of the Messiah's triumph widened, and His jurisdiction was extended from the living to the dead. In the Book of Enoch, in the Talmud, in the Targums, we find the doctrine of the great Day of the Lord, which began with a poetic picture of the overthrow of the Gentiles before the walls of Jerusalem, transformed into an elaborate creed. The Messiah was to hold court in the valley of Jehoshaphat; He was to summon mankind to judgment; He was to install the righteous for a time in an earthly Paradise, and eventually in heaven; and He was to submerge the wicked in a storm of blazing brimstone, while heaven and earth dissolved in darkness and in blood.

This was the creed in which the apostles had been brought up. These were the things which they expected the Messiah to do. They believed Jesus to be the Messiah. Yet He had been put to death. Therefore, they argued, He must come again to complete His unfinished work. You see the Christianity of the early disciples was just Judaism, plus the conviction that Jesus Christ was the Messiah. The first converts to Christianity, like the rest of their countrymen, looked for a Warrior King. And so it was inevitable that they should misunderstand Christ's meaning, that they should fail to realise the spirituality of His aims. When He Himself spoke of the Messiahship, He used the common imagery of the prophets. For example, in foretelling the destruction of Jerusalem, He said, "The sun shall be darkened, and the moon shall not give her light; the stars shall fall, and the powers of heaven shall be shaken." And proceeding in the same figurative style, He added, "Then shall appear the sign of the Son of man in the clouds of heaven. And He shall send His angels with the great sound of a trumpet to

gather together His elect. And He shall sit upon the throne of His glory, and before Him shall be gathered all nations." The apostles interpreted this in the sense to which they had been previously accustomed. But that was not Christ's meaning. Just think, for a moment, what different meanings identical words may have. When a Broad Churchman speaks of the Atonement, the Incarnation, or the Trinity, you do not surely imagine that he is using those terms in the same way as an Evangelical! If any one says that the sun is rising or setting, it would be rash to assume that he had never heard of Copernicus. And why should you think that Christ expected His words to be literally fulfilled? Such a supposition is flatly contradicted by the whole tenor of His teaching and His life, and it is absolutely irreconcilable with the idea of His being in any degree inspired.

First, I say, the supposition that Christ expected His words to be literally fulfilled is flatly contradicted by the whole tenor of His teaching and His life. On one occasion, for example, He perceived that the multitude were going to take Him by force and make him a King. What did He do? Did He hail it as a sign that they had understood Him? No! He departed into a mountain alone. "My Kingdom is not of this world," He said. "The Kingdom of God is," He said—where? In Judæa, where the Jews expected it to be? No! "The Kingdom of God is within you." The Phari-

sees asked Him when the Kingdom of God would come. He replied, "It cometh not with observation." How could He have said more plainly that the language which He had applied to it was figurative; that the darkened sun, the falling stars, the sign in the clouds, the angels, the trumpet, the throne, the great conclave, were metaphors; that the Kingdom of God would come, not in outward, visual wonders, but in inward, spiritual experience?

And secondly, the supposition that Christ expected His words to be literally fulfilled is irreconcilable with the idea of His being in any degree inspired. For they were not so fulfilled. Jerusalem was destroyed, and the new Religion spread over the world. But there was no reappearance of Christ in the flesh. "The time," He said, "is at hand; yea, some of those standing here shall not taste death till all these things come to pass." If His prophecy bore a moral sense, the sequel justified it; if it bore a physical sense, the sequel falsified it. That generation passed away; fifty generations have since passed away; but there has been no literal Second Advent. It follows, therefore, that unless we give His words a metaphorical interpretation, we practically accuse Him of being a false prophet.

By the second coming of the Messiah you are to understand the coming of *His influence* into men's hearts and lives. At times, no doubt, this is preceded by some great calamity, some terrible social crisis. When *selfishness* and *vice* and *tyr*-

anny have grown rampant, there is required a catastrophe to sweep them aside and prepare the way of the Lord. Thus not only the destruction of Jerusalem, but the fall of Rome, the French Revolution, and all similar events have been harbingers of His coming. But whether preceded by such a catastrophe or not, whether occurring to an individual or to a nation. Christ's coming is always spiritual. Every acceptance of His presence, every recognition of His authority, every advancement of His Religion, is an Advent of the Son of man. Jesus is for ever coming again, not in physical horror, but in moral triumph: not in convulsions of nature, but in conversion of heart; not in the ghastly melodrama of a dissolving universe, but in the still small voice of conscience and in the silent growth of love.

"Though Christ a thousand times
In Bethlehem be born,
If He's not born in thee
Thy soul is all forlorn.

The cross on Calvary
Will never save thy soul;
The cross in thine own heart
Alone can make thee whole.

Thus Jesus comes again,
Not in strange, wild alarms
But when His Holy Spirit
Thy wayward spirit charms."

The End of the World.

THE extraordinary delusion that Christ would reappear in the flesh I dealt with last Sunday—so far as it concerns the second coming. To-day we will look at it in its relation to the end of the world. Here, too, we shall find that the mistake arose from a misunderstanding and corruption of the Messianic idea. Let us see.

The Hebrews believed themselves to be the only accepted worshippers of the Almighty. They were thus sharply distinguished, as they thought, from other races—from the uncircumcised idolaters, who were at once the enemies of God and of His people. This contrast and hostility they even carried over into the unseen world. Every nation, they fancied, had its guardian-angel in the Court of Jehovah to watch over its interests; and they flattered themselves that their own angel—Michael—was more powerful and nearer to the throne than any of the rest. In the calamities that befell them they recognised the vengeance of Jehovah for the violation of His commands. In their victories and

deliverances they saw the superiority of their God, and His distinct partiality for Jews. He had, as they piously believed, commissioned them to subdue and govern all the other races of the earth. This sentiment was the very essence of their Religion. When their proud and cherished faith was baffled of fulfilment, they never dreamed of abandoning it. They only supposed that its fulfilment was postponed, and they looked forward with redoubled ardour to the time when their hopes would break into fruition. Misfortunes and woes were heaped upon them. Their city was sacked; their Temple desecrated; they were forbidden to celebrate the rites of their Religion; they were dragged into slavery by the Persians, the Syrians, the Greeks, the Romans; they were slaughtered wholesale. All this did but fan the flame of hope. Their hatred and scorn of the heathen, their faith in their own incomparable destiny, their expectation of a Messiah who would avenge them and vindicate their trust, became more fervent and profound in proportion as fulfilment was delayed. And when at last fulfilment did begin to seem hopeless amid the conditions of the present world, the scene of the future triumph was changed. Instead of a temporal Deliverer, who would overthrow the Gentiles and establish the Lord's people in undisturbed possession of Judæa, the Messiah came to be represented as the central figure of a battle and a judgment, in which the dead as well as the living would take part, and which would culminate in the

destruction of the heavens and the earth and their replacement by a new creation.

A great many of the details in this developed doctrine—especially those which refer to the end of the world-were derived from the theology of the Persians. Once adopted, the Jews tried to find support for them in their own Scriptures. Now, as a matter of fact, the Old Testament does not teach anything of the kind, and yet the Doctors of Divinity made up their minds that it did. I will tell you how this happened. Oriental writers generally, and the Hebrew prophets in particular, were accustomed, when they described any great calamity, to employ the most portentous and appalling imagery. For instance, Isaiah, in foretelling the destruction of Idumæa, says, "All the host of heaven shall be dissolved, the firmament shall be rolled up as a scroll, and the stars shall fall as a leaf falleth from the vine, for my sword shall be upon Idumæa. I will make a great slaughter in the land." Joel, in foretelling nothing worse than a plague of locusts, says, "The earth shall quake, the sun and the moon shall be darkened, and the stars shall withdraw their shining." In process of time these symbols hardened into facts. Their metaphorical meaning was lost sight of, and they were accepted in the baldest literality. In most cases—as in those I quoted — the event to which the words were intended to apply was actually specified, and the time of its occurrence was precisely fixed. Nevertheless, when the Messianic Advent was delayed, when the prospect of its fulfilment receded farther and farther into the distance, when at last the faithful began to think that they must be content to wait for it until the present system of things was about to be swept away, we need not be surprised to find that the prophetic metaphors were detached from their context, denuded of their local and temporal signification, and interpreted as predictions of a dissolution of Nature heralding the approach of the Messiah.

This mistaken interpretation was universally adopted in the time of Christ. And in consequence even the apostles fatally misunderstood Him. When, in predicting the destruction of Jerusalem, He used the old prophetic imagery, they fancied that He was predicting the destruction of the world. Jerusalem fell and the world remained intact; but the apostles continued to live in hourly expectation of the great catastrophe. And so we read, "The Lord Himself shall descend from heaven with a shout, with the voice of the archangel, and the trumpet of God. Then we who are alive shall be caught up in the clouds, to meet Him in the air." And again, "The heavens and the earth are reserved unto fire at the day of Judgment. The day of the Lord shall come as a thief in the night; in which the heavens shall pass away with a great noise, and the elements shall melt with fervent heat, the earth also and all the works that are therein shall be burnt up."

Two thousand years have passed and yet the world survives. When and what will the end be? On this point Science at present has nothing very definite to tell us. The earth is gradually growing cooler, and in course of time life will become extinct.

The same thing will by-and-by happen to the rest of the planets, and even to the sun itself. That might be the end. But since the heavenly bodies are subjected to a slight resistance in their passage through the ether — a resistance which retards them in their course—it is probable that all the members of the solar system will eventually collide, and their collision would fuse them into vapour. That might be the end. But once more, since our planetary system was originally developed out of just such a mass of vapour, the chances are that the whole process of Evolution will be repeated over again, and so the end will be but a new beginning.

What a difference between the ecclesiastical doctrine and the scientific! The one makes the end of the world an arbitrary act of vengeance. The other represents it as resulting *inevitably* from the laws of nature—laws which are the expression of wisdom and of love. The one is a doctrine of horror. At any moment we may be burnt alive. The other can be contemplated without fear. The climax is so infinitely remote that when it comes it can injure nobody. Whatever the end be—whether the planets are frozen, or whether they evaporate in

heat, or whether they are used as the raw material of new planets-long before that they will have ceased to be the abodes of life. And when our own little system has run its appointed course, other worlds will be going through the same process of Evolution—a process which, for aught we know, may be eternal. But if not, if the whole material universe is destined to be eventually annihilated, it will have served its purpose as the seminary of souls. After all, matter, at the best, is but the minister of mind. And there may come a time when its services will no longer be required. The one germ of truth concealed in the ecclesiastical doctrine is latent also in the scientific. It is this: "The things which are seen are temporal;" they are continually changing; for ever assuming new forms, perhaps passing out of existence altogether. The invisible alone is eternal. Souls are the only permanencies.

"Worlds on worlds are rolling ever,
From creation to decay,
Like the bubbles on a river—
Sparkling, bursting, borne away."

What of it! Nature may perish, but human nature shall endure; for when its physical training has been accomplished, the spirit will have entered into the spiritual sphere.

The Day of Judgment.

THE extraordinary delusion that the Messiah would shortly reappear in the flesh I have already dealt with so far as it concerns the Second Advent and the End of the World. To-day we will look at it in its relation to the day of judgment.

Strictly speaking, the divine jurisdiction is latent in the structure of the soul. The mind of man is the Creator's Judgment-seat. Everything we do carries with it its own immediate retribution. The judgments of God are continuous, not catastrophic. They are neither more nor less than the reaction of our conduct upon ourselves. Retribution, as I said the other day, is but a particular case of the general law of cause and effect. The punishment or reward of an action is, so to speak, the kick of the gunnot an extra explosion arbitrarily thrown in. The thief, the liar, the misanthrope, the drunkard, the poet, the philosopher, the hero, the saint — all these find a return for what they do in what they are—in the elevation or degradation of their character, and in the consciousness that they are in harmony or out of harmony with their environment. And since the law of Retribution is instantaneous

and incessant in its operation, there can be no occasion for a final epoch to redress its accumulated disbalancements. It has no disbalancements save in our defective vision. If we could see things as God sees them, we should discover that the deserts and receipts of all men were adjusted between the rising and the setting of the sun. Every day is a day of judgment. There is one day, however, which may be regarded as pre-eminently THE Day of Judgment, and that is the day of death. For two reasons—(1) the sum total of our earthly life is then added up. It cannot be further altered. It passes into history as a collective cause, as a distinct unit of influence. It will for ever tell its good or evil tale of us. And (2) as we leave off here we must begin there. Our character is not changed by the great transition. Immediately after Death it will be just what it was immediately before. Death, therefore, will determine for each of us our position beyond the veil. But in this there is nothing miraculous, nothing adventitious, nothing melodramatic. It is the natural, inevitable result of the laws of the universe—laws which are the expression of a Will in which there is no variableness, not even the shadow of a change. That is the true doctrine of Judgment.

Here—as with the Second Advent and the End of the World—the false doctrine arose from a misunderstanding of metaphor, from taking literally mere figures of speech. The Hebrew prophets were accustomed, as I have already pointed out, in

describing any great calamity, to employ the most portentous and appalling imagery. And Christ, in predicting the disasters that would attend the destruction of Jerusalem, adopted the familiar metaphors. "There shall be great tribulation," He said; "the sun shall be darkened, the moon shall not give her light, the stars shall fall, and the powers of heaven shall be shaken." And He went on, in the same poetic strain, to predict the subsequent triumph of Christianity. "Then," He said, "shall appear the sign of the Son of man in the clouds of heaven. And He shall send His angels to gather together His elect. And He shall sit upon the throne of His glory, and before Him shall be gathered all nations, and He shall separate the righteous from the wicked." It was a symbolical representation of the coming of His spiritual Kingdom. Identifying Himself with the truths which He taught, He declared that He would come again. These truths would eventually find acceptance. His voice would, in effect, be heard from the judgment-seat of conscience. And by the powers which He had enunciated—His golden rule, His new commandment, His law of servicemen would be either self-acquitted or self-condemned. That was Christ's meaning. But no one understood Him. The spiritual signification of the metaphor was lost sight of in its physical setting. The trope was regarded as a truth—even by His own disciples. They had been indoctrinated with the perverted ideas of the Messiahship which were

current in their time. We have seen how these ideas arose. At first the Jews expected nothing more than a temporal Deliverer who would establish them in undisturbed possession of Judæa. But year after year, century after century, He delayed His coming. Misfortunes and woes were heaped upon them; their city was sacked; their Temple desecrated; they themselves were carried into captivity by Persians, Syrians, Greeks, Romans; and at last the faithful began to think that their expectations would never be realised amid the present conditions of the world. Then the time and place of the future triumph were changed. The Messiah came to be represented as the central figure of a battle and a judgment, in which the dead as well as the living would take part; in which not only the local enemies of the chosen people but the whole Gentile world would be put to everlasting shame, while heaven and earth passed away to make room for a new creation—the exclusive abode of the elect. This was the Messiah of the apostles' dreams. These were the things which Jesus, as they thought, had actually promised to do. Yet He had been put to death. Therefore, they argued, He must come again to complete His unfinished work. And when He did not come again, when the world continued in its usual course, when the scenic tribunal failed to be set up, instead of saying to themselves, We were wrong in expecting the literal accomplishment of the Saviour's words, they merely said. We were wrong as to the date,

and they continued to live in hourly expectation of the great catastrophe.

The picture of the last judgment grew ever more lurid and terrific. In the paintings with which Michael Angelo has covered the ceiling of the Sistine Chapel, Christ is represented as a towering, raging athlete, hurling thunderbolts at His victims, who lie huddled together—a seething, writhing mass. In the days of the Inquisition it was customary to depict the judgment under the figure of an auto-da-fé—Christ the Grand Inquisitor, seated on a throne, His familiars standing by with instruments of torture, His sign—the cross—stretching from hell to heaven in the sight of the assembled universe, while the heretics stood tremblingly before him, awaiting their horrid doom.

The heretics? Yes! The heretics. That was the crowning blasphemy. "Was," did I say? Alas! I must say "Is." Men still tell us that our fate will depend on our theology. To the orthodox at the great assize the Judge will say, You may have been dishonest, untruthful, cruel, brutal, but you believed in Me and in My blood, therefore you are blessed. To the heterodox He will say, You may have fulfilled every duty, you may have been kind, self-sacrificing, ready to lay down your life for the world, but you have not believed in My divinity, therefore you are cursed. Such is a fit verdict to be pronounced by the avenging warrior in the Apocalypse, from whose mouth issues a two-edged sword, whose eyes are flames of fire, who

rides forth on his white charger, in a vesture dipped in gore, treading down his enemies in the wine-press of his wrath, until their blood reaches to the very bridle. It was the natural reflection of an age steeped in the most murderous hatred and persecution. But how contrary to the Saviour's teaching! How utterly irreconcilable with the spirit of the meek and lowly Jesus! He came, He said, not to destroy men's lives, but to save them. He modestly deprecated all personal honour, asking, "Why callest Thou me good?" He sat at meat with publicans and harlots. In His death agony He pardoned and prayed for His murderers. His teaching and His life may be summed up in the one word—Love.

Oh, the horror of it! The gentlest, tenderest, sweetest of all human beings has been metamorphosed by Theology into a fiend.

"Face loved of little children long ago!

Head hated of the priests and rulers then!

Say, was not this Thy passion? To foreknow

In Thy death's hour the thoughts of Christian men."

O Jesus! Thou Man of Sorrows! Thou hast been crucified afresh by Thy disciples. Thou hast been put to open shame by those who call themselves Thy friends. Is there no voice to speak in Thy defence? One Thou canst count upon—weak and stammering, but it will be true to Thee—hatred, contempt, persecution, failure, death notwithstanding. Come what come may, though all men traduce Thee, yet will not I.

Heaven.

IN the crude fancy of mankind heaven was conceived of as a material abode - an elysian clime on the surface of the earth, or a happy isle beyond the setting sun, or some halcyon spot above the firmament, curtained in splendour and crowded with—with good things. In this local habitation God was supposed to be visibly enthroned. He was pictured as a sort of Sultan -only more gorgeously apparelled, more powerful, more vindictive, more full of whims. And of course, in primitive theology, the conditions of admittance into heaven were represented as arbitrary and despotic. The plan of Salvation was but a court intrigue. A sultan's favourites are not generally the best of his subjects; on the contrary, they are very often the worst. The surest means of winning his favour are artifice, flattery, hypocrisy, compliance with his caprice. And God, it was thought, must be similarly propitiated—by the performance of ceremonies, by the repetition of shibboleths, by the profession of beliefs, or by some other equally external formality. The infinite Sultan was supposed to be as indifferent to character as His finite prototype. Character! Pshaw! It was the last thing men dreamed of as a passport to heaven.

And at different times the theology of Christendom has been more or less tainted with these savage notions. But surely, on reflection, their absurdity—or rather, should I not say, their blasphemy?—must become apparent. The infinite Spirit is not confined in a local dwelling-place. He is not seated on the apex of the universe, to be encountered only by those who literally journey thither. He is omnipresent, immanent, underlying, pervading, containing all things.

"Closer is He than breathing, And nearer than hands or feet."

The laws of Nature and of human nature are the expression of His will; and by their instrumentality He is for ever administering perfect justice and perfect mercy to every living soul. To conform to His will is to be happy. God is everywhere, and therefore heaven is everywhere—for the spirits who recognise and obey Him. Everywhere may be realised that serenity of life which results from the correspondence of the soul with its divinely appointed destiny. Wherever the inward states and the outward conditions are so adjusted as to produce a sense of harmony, there is heaven. And this adjustment can only be effected by ourselves. Heaven cannot be made-

for us. It must be made by us. It grows out of our own free choice. It is the consciousness that we are fulfilling the purpose of our existence. It is not a locality, but a condition; not an outward environment, but an inward experience. No mere place of abode, however agreeable, could be heaven to a discordant soul; for heaven is harmony. It can only be found within.

"'Tis heaven must come, not we must go."

Christ said, you remember, to the young ruler, "Thou art not far from the Kingdom of God." And He spoke of Himself as the Son of man who is in heaven-not was, not will be, but is. In these and similar passages it is manifest that the Saviour could not have been thinking of heaven as a place. He meant by it a state of character. Man is not to be saved by moral artifice, by sacrilegious subterfuges, by the quibble of imputed righteousness. Paradise will never be gained by a trick. Salvation is obedience: that and nothing more; that and nothing less. True, we are saved through Christ. But how? Not by the technical belief that He shed His blood for us, but by catching His spirit and becoming ready to shed our blood for others. "The same mind must be in us which was also in Christ Jesus." That is the will of God. That is the purpose of our existence, and the realisation of it is heaven.

The realisation of it is heaven—whether before

or after death. Whatever changes await the soul, whatever be the relation of the spiritual entity to its new home, it can be in Paradise, it can command peace and bliss, only by harmonising with the divine system of existence in which it has its being. That is the one essential condition—universally, eternally essential. But, the term heaven may be legitimately applied in a special sense to the spiritual world. Not that God is more present there. Only He will be more apparent. "Now we see through a glass darkly, but then face to face." Understanding Him more perfectly, we shall be enabled to render the more perfect obedience. No doubt the physical body is necessary for the earlier stages of our education. But it often seems to be a hindrance rather than a help. In it we are "cribbed, cabined, and confined." "To will is present with us, but how to perform that which is good we know not." We are capable of higher things than we can ever achieve until we are endowed with a spiritual body and surrounded with a spiritual environment. And, because it is the sphere of that higher achievement, the spiritual world may be emphatically called heaven. There are people who look forward to a future of repose, idleness, irresponsibility. That would not be heaven. No! The life THERE is at once a continuation and a development of the life HERE. Beyond the grave is awaiting us harder work, better service, a nobler career.

Let me read you a little poem. It is about the porter at a House of Mercy in the middle ages, when the plague and leprosy were rife:—

"Piero Luca, known of all the town
As the grey porter by the Pitti wall,
Where the noon shadows of the gardens fall,
Sick and in dolour, waited to lay down
His last sad burden; and beside his mat
The barefoot monk of La Certosa sat.

Unseen, in square and blossoming garden drifted, Soft sunset lights through green Val d'Arno sifted; Unheard, below, the living shuttles shifted Backward and forth, and wove, in love or strife, In mirth or grief, the mottled web of life. But when at last came upward from the street Tinkle of bell and tread of measured feet, The sick man started, strove to rise in vain, Sinking back heavily with a moan of pain. And the monk said, "Tis but the Brotherhood Of Mercy going on some errand good. Their black masks by the palace wall I see.' Piero answered faintly, 'Woe is me! This day, for the first time in forty years, In vain the bell hath sounded in my ears, Calling me with my brethren of the mask, Beggar and prince alike, to some new task Of love or pity-haply from the street To bear a wretch plague-stricken, or, with feet Hushed to the quickened ear and feverish brain, To tread the crowded lazaretto's floors Down the long twilight of the corridors. Moist tossing arms and faces full of pain. I loved the work. It was its own reward: I never counted on it to off-set My many, many sins, or make less my debt To the free grace and mercy of our Lord. But somehow, father, it has come to be In these long years so much a part of me,

I should not know myself if lacking it. But with the work the workers too would die. And in my place some other self would sit, Joyful or sad-what matters, if not I? And now all's over. Woe is me!' 'My son,' The monk said soothingly, 'thy work is done. And, no more as a servant, but the guest Of God, thou ent'rest thy eternal rest. No toil, no tears, no sorrow for the lost, Shall mar thy perfect bliss. Thou shalt sit down Clad in white robes, and wear a golden crown. For ever and for ever.' Piero tossed On his sick pillow: 'Miserable me, I am too poor for such grand company. The crown would be too heavy for this grev Old head: and, God forgive me if I sav. It would be hard to sit there night and day Like statue in the Tribune, doing naught With these hard hands, that all my life have wrought Not for bread only, but for pity's sake. I'm dull at prayers. I could not keep awake Counting my beads. Mine's but a crazy head, Scarce worth the saving if all else be dead, And if one goes to heaven without a heart. Surely he leaves behind the better part. I love my fellow-men. The worst I know I would be good to. Will death change me so That I shall sit among the lazy saints And turn a deaf ear to the sore complaints Of souls that suffered? Why, I never yet Left e'en a dog in danger hard beset, Or ass o'erladen! Must I rate man less Than dog or ass, in heavenly selfishness? Methinks (Lord, pardon if the thought be sin!) The world of pain were better, if therein Our hearts might still be human, and desires Of natural pity drop upon its fires Some cooling tears.'

Thereat the pale monk crossed His brow, and muttering, 'Madman, thou art lost!'

Took up his pyx and fled. When left alone, The sick man closed his eyes, with a deep groan That sank into the prayer, 'Thy will be done,' Then was he made aware, by soul or ear, Of somewhat pure and holy bending o'er him, And of a voice like that of her who bore him, Tender and most compassionate, 'Never fear; For heaven is love as God Himself is love. Thy work below shall be thy work above.' And when he looked, lo! in the stern monk's place He saw the shining of the dear Christ's face."

Hell.

T.

WHAT IT IS NOT.

DEOPLE used to think of hell as a material prison, where the damned would be eternally tormented in flames of fire and brimstone. It was the most terrible of all the superstitions of the world. Even yet it is not quite dead; and at some time or other it has been authoritatively taught by every Church and sect in Christendom. Mr Spurgeon says: "There is a real fire in hell. Thy body shall be suffused with agony; thy head tormented with racking pains; thine eyes starting from their sockets with sights of blood and woe; thine ears tortured with horrid sounds; thy heart beating high with fever; thy pulse rattling with anguish; thy limbs crackling in the flame: every vein a pathway for the feet of fire to tread; every nerve a string on which the devil shall for ever play his diabolical tune of Hell's Unutterable Lament"

There is an awful book—written, sad to say, for children-by the Rev. Father Furniss, and entitled 'A Night of Hell.' "Look at that girl," he says; "what a terrible dress she has on! It is made of fire. She wears a bonnet of fire which is pressed down all over her head. It scorches the skull and melts the brain. See, she is on fire from head to foot. If she were here she would be burnt to death in a moment. But she is in hell, where fire burns but does not kill. Look at that boy. Listen. There is a sound like a boiling kettle. What does it mean? It means this. The blood is boiling in the boy's veins. The brains are boiling in his head. The marrow is boiling in his bones." Having thus dealt with the roasting and boiling processes of hell, the Rev. Father proceeds to give a description of a baby being baked. "Hear how it screams," he says. "See how it twists itself about. It beats its head against the roof of the oven. It stamps its little feet upon the floor. On its face is an expression of the most appalling despair."

Jeremy Taylor, a bishop of our own Church, speaks as follows: "In hell every sense and organ shall be assailed for ever with its own appropriate and most exquisite sufferings. We are amazed at the inhumanity of Phalaris, who roasted men in his brazen bull; but that was joy in comparison with the fire of hell." Another English clergyman—Joseph Traff by name—wrote a poem on the subject, from which I will read you an extract:—

"Doomed to live on and never to expire,
In floods and whirlwinds of tempestuous fire,
The damned shall groan—fire of all kinds and forms,
In rain and hail and hurricanes and storms,
Liquid and solid, livid, red, and pale,
A flaming mountain here, and there a flaming vale,
The liquid fire makes seas; the solid, shores;
Arched o'er with flames, the horrid concave roars.
In bubbling eddies rolls the fiery tide,
And sulphurous surges on each other ride.
The hollow winding vaults and dens and caves
Bellow like furnaces with flaming waves.
Pillars of flame in spiral volumes rise
Like fiery snakes, and lick the infernal skies."

You say, perhaps, people have given up the idea of *literal* fire and of *physical* torture. Well, I don't know that anything is gained by that. *Mental* suffering may be less repellent to the imagination than physical; but it is just as hard to bear—probably harder. Even in this form the popular doctrine of hell will not bear a moment's investigation.

To begin with, it represents men as being damned, not for individual, but for original sin. By original sin is meant sin transmitted from Adam to his descendants. But it is impossible for sin to be transmitted. Guilt is not transferable. One person cannot sin for another. You, for instance, can no more sin for me than you can —eat for me. Wrong-doing, like right-doing, must be the result of deliberate choice. And, therefore, to maintain that God condemned all men to eternal torment for a single act of one

of them is to maintain that He is unjust. Theologians sometimes think to evade this conclusion by supposing that what we inherit from Adam is, not his guilt, but his depravity. This supposition, however, does not mend matters in the least. If Adam transmitted to his descendants an *irresistible tendency* to evil, they are bound to sin. And it would be just as wicked to punish a man eternally for what he cannot help doing as to punish him eternally for what he has not done at all.

However, waiving the silly doctrine of original sin and total depravity, let us assume that men are punished in hell for sins which they have personally committed and which it was in their power Even in this form the doctrine remains There is a pretty oriental apologue to the following effect: God once permitted Zorach to accompany Him on a visit to the dwelling-place of the damned. There the prophet saw a man who had lost his right foot. He asked God the meaning of it. God replied, "He was a king who in his whole life did but a single kind action. One day he passed a dromedary which was tied up and was vainly trying to get at some fodder placed just beyond its utmost effort. The king with his right foot compassionately kicked the fodder within the poor beast's reach. The foot," said God, "I placed in heaven. The rest of him is here." The Almighty cannot be less just than that. But the popular doctrine of hell flagrantly violates the most elementary principles of justice. For (1) it punishes

every man alike; and (2) in each case the punishment is out of all proportion to the sin. First, I say, it punishes every man alike. It inflicts on everybody the same indiscriminating damnation. The degrees of guilt amongst men are infinitely But in the orthodox hell there are no degrees of retribution. A child guilty of an equivocation through fear is put on a par with profligates and cut-throats. Were a human judge to pass the same sentence on a trifling misdemeanour as he passed on the most stupendous crime, he would be regarded as a lunatic and dismissed from the Bench. And is such a travesty of justice to be attributed to the Judge of all the earth? Secondly, according to the popular hell, the punishment is in every case—even in the very worst—out of all proportion to the offence. There is no sort of correspondence between a few years of sin and an eternity of anguish. If a child were commanded to hold its breath for thirty seconds, and failing to do so, were confined in a loathsome dungeon and flogged incessantly for the rest of its life, that would be fair - nay merciful - in comparison with condemning to eternal torment the most abandoned wretch that ever lived for all the sins he could possibly have committed in threescore years and ten. And think of passing such a sentence on a man who had struggled hard against temptation, yielded but rarely, repented in sackcloth and ashes, and died committing his soul to God.

The popular doctrine of Hell, then, is unjust and

234 *Hell*:

immoral. Indeed these are but feeble terms in which to characterise it. Let me read you a few more quotations from so-called Christian theologians. "God is in hell to keep the tortures of the damned freshly plied, and to see that no one escapes for ever. He is furious. He calls out all His forces, of which the leader and general is Rage. God is so provoked with sinners that He will crush their blood out of them, and His garments will be saturated with gore. Reprobate infants are vipers of vengeance which Jehovah will hold over the flames in the tongs of His wrath, till they writhe up and cast their venom in His face. If mortal men kill the body temporarily in their anger, it is but natural that the immortal God should damn the soul eternally in His. You cannot stand an instant even before an infuriated tiger; what, then, will you do when God rushes on you in His wrath?" The last two sentences are from Jonathan Edwards. He compares his Deity, you see, to a tiger and to a murderer. The comparisons are inadequate. The brutality of all the tigers, all the murderers, all the devils in the world pales into insignificance before the brutality of the God of the orthodox hell. He is the very wickedest being which it is possible for the human mind to conceive.

Worship a thing like that! not I. I am not such a craven cur. Be it imaginary or be it real, I will scout it to its face. Do thy worst, thou foul fiend! Thou mayest torture, but thou shalt never

conquer me. Plunge me into thy deepest, hottest hell, and it will but increase my scorn. I warn thee, for every paroxysm of unmerited anguish which thou inflictest, I will hold thee up before the universe as an object of loathing and contempt.

Hell.

TT.

WHAT IT IS.

I POINTED out to you last week that the popular conception of hell was a vulgar and blasphemous superstition. Perhaps you went away asking what I proposed to put in its place. You may have said to yourselves that a doctrine which had played such a tremendous part in the History of the world must contain an element of truth. You may have called to mind the words of Shakespeare—

"There is a soul of goodness in things evil, Would men observingly distil it out."

Quite so. Having, therefore, torn away the frightful envelope of superstition, let us proceed to inquire what is the reality implied in the word "Hell."

Now, it is impossible to believe that God has two systems of government—one for this world, and

another for the next. That would make Him a changeable Being, with varying moods and methods. If we want to know what will happen after death, we must find out what happens before. If we want to know how sinners will be punished hereafter, we must discover how they are punished here. If we want to know anything about the hell of the future, we must study the hell of the present.

Let us ask, then, How does God punish offenders here? And, first of all, who are offenders? What is evil? I will try and make this clear. Supposing that there were only one living creature in existence, and that it possessed only one faculty, its good would consist in the exercise of its faculty, its evil in any interference with that exercise. But the moment a creature is set in relation to other creatures, and made aware of various gradations of importance among its own interior faculties. good and evil become likewise complex. cising its faculties, fulfilling its functions, gratifying its desires, is not enough. This must be done all the while with due regard to their relative importance. Thus alone is it possible to secure the full fruition of life. Now, the lowest function of man is a momentary gratification of sense, as, for example, in eating and drinking. His highest function is surrender to the universal order, sympathetic identification of himself with the wellbeing of the whole. Between these two extremes there are any number of intermediate stages, rising in

worth from the titillation of a pinch of snuff to the thrill imparted by the imaginary contemplation of redeemed humanity a million years from now. And throughout the entire range, whenever the choice of a lesser good involves the rejection of a greater, whenever obedience to a lower motive involves disobedience to a higher, whenever the pursuit of a personal interest involves injury to others—there is evil, and there is Hell.

Hell? Yes. For what is Hell but God's punishment of the guilty? And how does He punish the guilty? Being what He is, there is only one way in which He can punish them. As I have explained before, He rules the world from within. He governs it, not by occasional, erratic, vicious interferences, but by the absolutely unchangeable laws which are the expression of His eternal Will. Retribution is one of them. It is, in fact, but a particular case of the law of cause and effect. The punishment of an action is, so to speak, the kick of the gun, not an extra explosion arbitrarily thrown in. If any one commits a crime, God does not hurl him over a precipice, or strike him dead with lightning, or fling him into a furnace. No! He retains His divine serenity. He never thinks of interrupting His established method of procedure. He just leaves the offender to the reaction of his act, to the consequences of his conduct, to the vileness of his passions, to the reproaches of his conscience, to the torture of his perverted being. The hell of the sinner is the atmosphere of his own degradation. And what fitter Hell could there be?

Here is a man who has an abnormal craving for opium. He vields and vields and vields until the temptation completely masters him. Gradually his nervous system becomes a mass of torment, and his once royal powers of mind give place to imbecility. Is he not body and soul in hell? Look at that miser. He elevates his love of money above a thousand nobler claims. He knows nothing of the grandest ranges of the universe, nothing of the sweetest delights of humanity. Bent, ill-clad, illfed, self-neglected, despised by everybody, dwelling alone in a squalid garret, afraid of men, afraid of God, afraid of death, who could wish, who could imagine, anything worse for him? His odious experience constitutes in very truth a Hell. Or, to take one more illustration, when a burglar breaks into a bank and bears off its treasure, scattering dismay and ruin among innumerable families, heexalts the narrow principle of his selfish desire over the broad principle of public welfare; he sets the petty good of his personal enrichment above the weighty good represented by that respect for property which is essential to the life of the community. What is the result? Why, this: the fear of detection, the condemnation of his conscience, the sense of hostility between himself and society combine to make up an experience that can be described by no milder term than hellish.

Well, then, will you please remember for the

worth from the titillation of a pinch of snuff to the thrill imparted by the imaginary contemplation of redeemed humanity a million years from now. And throughout the entire range, whenever the choice of a lesser good involves the rejection of a greater, whenever obedience to a lower motive involves disobedience to a higher, whenever the pursuit of a personal interest involves injury to others—there is evil, and there is Hell.

Hell? Yes. For what is Hell but God's punishment of the guilty? And how does He punish the guilty? Being what He is, there is only one way in which He can punish them. As I have explained before, He rules the world from within. He governs it, not by occasional, erratic, vicious interferences, but by the absolutely unchangeable laws which are the expression of His eternal Will. Retribution is one of them. It is, in fact, but a particular case of the law of cause and effect. The punishment of an action is, so to speak, the kick of the gun, not an extra explosion arbitrarily thrown in. If any one commits a crime, God does not hurl him over a precipice, or strike him dead with lightning, or fling him into a furnace. No! He retains His divine serenity. He never thinks of interrupting His established method of procedure. He just leaves the offender to the reaction of his act, to the consequences of his conduct, to the vileness of his passions, to the reproaches of his conscience, to the torture of his perverted being. The hell of the sinner is the atmosphere of his own degradation. And what fitter Hell could there be?

Here is a man who has an abnormal craving for opium. He vields and vields and vields until the temptation completely masters him. Gradually his nervous system becomes a mass of torment, and his once royal powers of mind give place to imbecility. Is he not body and soul in hell? Look at that miser. He elevates his love of money above a thousand nobler claims. He knows nothing of the grandest ranges of the universe, nothing of the sweetest delights of humanity. Bent, ill-clad, illfed, self - neglected, despised by everybody, dwelling alone in a squalid garret, afraid of men, afraid of God, afraid of death, who could wish, who could imagine, anything worse for him? His odious experience constitutes in very truth a Hell. Or, to take one more illustration, when a burglar breaks into a bank and bears off its treasure, scattering dismay and ruin among innumerable families, he exalts the narrow principle of his selfish desire over the broad principle of public welfare; he sets the petty good of his personal enrichment above the weighty good represented by that respect for property which is essential to the life of the community. What is the result? Why, this: the fear of detection, the condemnation of his conscience, the sense of hostility between himself and society combine to make up an experience that can be described by no milder term than hellish.

Well, then, will you please remember for the

future three things. First, Hell is not a material locality, but a spiritual condition; not an outward abode, but an inward state; not the place to which we go, but an experience which comes to us. It is the rebound of violated law. It is the misadjustment of life to the true conditions of being. It is discord between the part and the whole. It is the opposition of the individual to the universal order. It is the antagonism of the soul to God. And consequently we may be as much in hell here and now as we ever can be anywhere. Secondly, Hell is a thing of endless varieties and degrees. So far from being the same for all, it is different for each. Its keenness for any man will depend upon the measure of his antagonism to the will of God. Hell is pain in the senses, slavery in the will, confusion in the intellect, remorse in the conscience, doubt, hate, and fear in the heart. There is hell for one in the remembrance of what he was, for another in the thought of what he might have been, for a third in the knowledge of what he is. Every man's hell is as idiosyncratic as his soul and its contents. Thirdly, and this is my chief point, Hell is not vindictive, but educative. It implies not rage, but pity. It is the remedial struggle of nature and of grace to restore a perverted being to its normal state. If you put your finger in the fire the pain which follows is not vengeance. It is a warning, a lesson essential to your preservation. When some frightful disease attacks a man, the agony and convulsions into which he is thrown are but the spring of his constitution upon the enemy, a desperate attempt to shake off its grasp and restore the organism to health. So with the soul. Sin is the dethronement of its authorities, the misbalancing of its energies. And all the varieties of retribution are but the recoil of the injured faculties, the struggle of the insulted authorities, to vindicate and re-establish themselves. Punishment is the inevitable culmination of a discordant state of being. It is not the expression of destructive wrath. There is no malice about it. It is brought about by the self-rectifying mechanism of nature. It is intended to reattune men's discordant conduct with the eternal laws of right; and when the reattunement is effected, hell will be transformed into heaven.

This idea is finely expressed in the following oriental apologue, with which I will conclude: God was sitting on the throne of His glory, surrounded by angels and archangels, by cherubim and seraphim, and by the innumerable host of the Immortals. They were singing, and the anthem of their praise filled the vault of heaven with a pulsing flood of harmony. When they finished, there stole up, as from some far-distant region, a faint Amen. "What is that?" said God. They told Him it was the response of the damned in Hell. Then God summoned Gabriel and ordered him to bring the damned to heaven. The arch-

angel departed joyfully on his errand of mercy. And when, after many days, he reached the lost, he told them that they must come with him to Paradise. At first they could hardly believe it. They said they were not worthy. But Gabriel reassured them, and they started on their journey. Gradually they lost all traces of sin and woe. And when at length they joined the heavenly host, they appeared as glorious—almost more glorious—than any of the rest. God placed them next His throne, and for ever afterwards theirs were the sweetest strains of all the celestial music.

Final Restoration.

T.

"If I make my bed in hell, behold, Thou art there."—Ps. cxxxix. 8.

FINAL RESTORATION. This is the technical term for the theological doctrine that evil will eventually die out and Hell be transformed to Heaven. Are there, let us inquire, any reasons which would justify your looking forward to such a consummation?

There are two. The first, of which I am going to speak to-day, is a reason suggested by the heart. Instinct forces us to the conclusion that the eternity of Hell would be incompatible with the very existence of Heaven. It must be admitted, a large number of nominal Christian writers have held precisely the opposite view. It has often been asserted that one of the principal felicities of Heaven would consist in looking down on Hell; that the joys of the blessed would be deepened

design may one day be fully crowned in the redemption of the whole human race. But what shall solace it, if the sufferings of the lost are not remedial, if they can never, never end, if the smoke and the shrieks of Hell must form part of the eternal constitution of the universe? Listen to a mother's lament over the damnation of her child:—

"His little spirit with imploring eyes
Wanders alone the dreary wilds of space,
The shadow of his pain for ever lies
Upon my soul in this new dwelling-place.
His loneliness makes me in Paradise
More lonely; and, unless I see his face,
E'en here for grief I should lie down and die
Save for my curse of Immortality."

There is a pretty story told of Radbod, one of the early Scandinavian kings. He was about to be baptised. After putting one foot in the water, he asked the priest if he should meet his forefathers in heaven. "No," said the priest; "pagans, unbaptised pagans, would go to hell." The king thereupon drew back his foot and refused the rite, preferring hell with his ancestors to heaven with the priest. Lavater once wrote in his diary: "I embraced in my heart all that is named man; past, present, and future times and nations; the dead, the damned, even Satan. I presented them to God with the warmest wishes that He would have mercy upon all." Who would not say "Amen"? Who that has a heart does not sympathise with

Burns in the quaint and kindly wish with which he concludes his "Address to the Deil"?—

"But, fare ye weel, auld Nickie-Ben!
Oh, wad ye tak a thocht and men'!
Ye aiblins micht—I dinna ken—
Still hae a stake.
I'm wae to think upo' yon den,
E'en for your sake!"

The thought that even a single soul should suffer useless, hopeless, endless woe—

"Would cast a shadow on the throne of God, And darken heaven."

Supposing that an earthly monarch of unlimited power had condemned one man to be stretched on the rack and plied with incessant tortures for fifty years, and supposing that everybody in the world could hear his shrieks by day and by night, would not the whole human race, from Spitzbergen to Japan, from Rio Janeiro to Siberia, implore the king's clemency for the solitary victim? So, if hell had but one tenant doomed to eternal anguish, a petition signed by all the moral beings in the universe, and borne by a convoy of representatives from Sirius, Alcyone, and every star in space, would be laid at the foot of the throne of God, and therein He would read the prayer, "Forgive him, and release him, if it be possible." If it be possible. About that I will speak next Sunday. It does not altogether depend on God. But who can believe that He would be unwilling to forgive? Who can

believe that every created soul is more generous than the Maker and Father of all?

"DIVINE COMPASSION."

"Long since a dream of heaven I had,
And still the vision haunts me oft;
I see the saints in white robes clad,
The martyrs with their palms aloft;
But hearing still, in middle song,
The ceaseless dissonance of wrong;
And shrinking, with livid faces, from the strain
Of sad, beseeching eyes, full of remorse and pain.

The glad song falters to a wail,

The harping sinks to low lament;

And through the still uplifted veil

I see the crownèd foreheads bent,

Making more sweet the heavenly air

With breathings of unselfish prayer.

And a Voice saith, 'O pity which is pain,

O Love which weeps, fill up My sufferings that remain!

'Shall souls redeemed by Me refuse
To share My sorrow in their turn?
Or, sin-forgiven, My gift abuse
Of peace—with selfish unconcern?
Has saintly ease no pitying care?
Has faith no work, and love no prayer?
While sin remains, and souls in darkness dwell,
Can heaven itself be heaven, and look unmoved on hell?'

Then, through the Gates of Pain, I dream,
A wind of heaven blows coolly in;
Fainter the awful discords seem,
The smoke of torment grows more thin;
Tears quench the burning soil, and thence
Spring sweet pale flowers of penitence;
And through the dreary realm of man's despair
Star-crowned an angel walks, and, lo! God's hope is there!

Is it a dream? Is heaven so high
That pity cannot breathe its air?
Its happy eyes for ever dry?
Its holy lips without a prayer?
My God! my God! if thither led
By Thy free grace unmerited,
No crown, no palm be mine, but let me keep
A heart that still can feel, and eyes that still can weep."
—WHITTEE.

Final Restoration.

II.

THIS morning we come to the 35th and last sermon upon Immortality. At first I thought about half-a-dozen, or at most a dozen, sermons would be enough; but when I came to study the subject in detail, it grew on me so that I was loath to leave it. This must have been rather trying to occasional visitors. We have had fewer strangers than usual this year, and I am not surprised. Any one who drops in at the 15th or 25th or 35th sermon on a subject must be somewhat puzzled. He is placed at a considerable disadvantage: so much has to be assumed in later sermons which was explained in earlier ones. To those who have been able to attend pretty regularly I don't think I need apologise. It has probably been more inviting to them than a number of disconnected subjects. However, be that as it may, to-day we reach the end.

Lest any one should say that my sermon this morning is not orthodox, I am going to give you a

text out of the Bible. Ps. cxxxix. 8: "If I make my bed in Hell, behold, Thou art there." If God is in Hell—which undoubtedly He is, for He is everywhere—it must be with some merciful intention. This is recognised in the doctrine of what is called Final Restoration—the doctrine, viz., that evil will gradually die out and hell be eventually transformed into heaven. Are there any reasons which would justify our looking forward to such a consummation?

There are two. The first reason is suggested by the heart. Instinct forces us to the conclusion that the eternity of Hell would be incompatible with the happiness of the redeemed. The thought that even a single soul was doomed to useless, hopeless, endless woe—

"Would cast a shadow on the throne of God, And darken heaven."

I spoke about that last week. The second reason—to which I am now going to call your attention—is derived from experience. It is this. Evil is already beginning to die out; hell is already beginning to be transformed into heaven. Let the process continue long enough and Final Restoration is assured. There is one difficulty, however, which may have occurred to some of you—in fact I mentioned it last Sunday. The difficulty is this. Not that God is unwilling to save us, but that we are unwilling to be saved. Final Restoration does not altogether depend on the Almighty. It rests

ultimately with man. While there is sin, there must be hell. As long as we choose evil we shall be in torment. And men are free. What if some of them should choose it for ever? How—compatibly with their freedom—can God stop it?

Now, that is a genuine difficulty; but I think we may find a solution. Though God cannot compel men to do right, He can persuade them, and Hell is a form of persuasion. It is, as I said last Sunday, a remedial struggle, brought about by the selfrectifying mechanism of nature, to restore a perverted being to its normal state. Sin involves a dethronement of the authorities of the soul, a misbalancing of its energies. And all the varieties of retribution are but the recoil of the injured faculties and insulted authorities. This recoilwhich we call punishment—is intended to bring our discordant conduct into harmony with the eternal laws of right. And this must always be possible while the soul continues to endure. There is not the shadow of a reason for supposing that reattunement is only possible on earth. supposition arose from mistaking Heaven and Hell for localities — localities belonging exclusively to a future state. As we have seen, they are nothing of the kind. Heaven and Hell are around us here and now as much as they ever can be anywhere or rather I should say within us. They are not places to which God sends us; they are conditions which we make for ourselves. If God could send men to heaven, every one would be there now. If

God could keep men from Hell, no one would ever be there at all. It is we that make our heaven, it is we that make our hell, according to the righteousness or unrighteousness of our conduct. The Hell which follows our wrong-doing is God's attempt to persuade us to do right. And He is succeedingslowly but surely succeeding. Some of the worst evils—such as cannibalism and slavery—have almost passed away. Besides, God has other means of persuasion—for example, more helpful surroundings. In this world, owing to the difficulties of Evolution, the surroundings of many are about as bad as they can be. I was reading some time ago in the newspaper of a poor waif who was up before the magistrate. He said there was only one person in the world who cared for him, and that was his father, and his father was almost always in jail. "When he's away from home," said the boy, "I don't have no show." Are you going to restrict that poor creature's probation to a few short years on earth? And such years! Some day, somewhere, he must have what he called "a show." No man can be eternally lost until all the resources of Omnipotence have been exhausted for his salvation. Wait. In the far-off future I think you will discover that God has been entirely victorious in the conflict with evil; and that Hell itself was one of the pathways—the steepest, hardest, saddest, no doubt, but still one of the pathways by which He led humanity to heaven

On the banks of the Amazon there is a bird which has a particularly plaintive note. The natives call it "the cry of a lost soul." I will read a little poem about it.

"In that black forest, where, when day is done, With a snake's stillness glides the Amazon, Darkly from sunset to the rising sun,

A cry, as of the pained heart of the wood, The long despairing moan of solitude And darkness, and the absence of all good,

Startles the traveller with a sound so drear, So full of hopeless agony and fear, His heart stands still and listens like his ear.

The guide, as if he heard a dead-bell toll, Stares, drops his oar against the gunwale's thole, Crosses himself and whispers, 'A lost soul!'

'No, señor, not a bird. I know it well. It is the pained soul of some infidel Or cursèd heretic that cries from hell.

'Poor fool! with hope still mocking his despair, He wanders, shrieking on the midnight air For human pity and for Christian prayer.

'Saints strike him dumb! Our Holy Mother hath No prayer for him, who, sinning unto death, Burns always in the furnace of God's wrath!'

Thus to the baptised pagan's cruel lie, Lending new horror to that mournful cry, The voyager listens, making no reply.

Dim burns the boat lamp, shadows deepen round From giant trees with snake-like creepers wound, And the black water glides without a sound. But in the traveller's heart a secret sense Of nature plastic to benign intents And an eternal love in Providence,

Lifts to the starry calm of heaven his eyes, And, lo! rebuking all earth's ominous cries, The cross of pardon lights the tropic skies!

'Father of all!' he urges his strong plea,
'Thou lovest all; Thy erring child may be
Lost to himself, but never lost to Thee!

'All souls are Thine; the wings of morning bear None from that Presence which is everywhere, Nor Hell itself can hide, for Thou art there.

'Through sins of sense, perversities of will, Through doubt and pain, through guilt and shame and ill, Thy pitying eye is on Thy creature still.

'Wilt Thou not make—Eternal Source and goal!— In Thy long years, life's broken circle whole, And change to praise the cry of the lost soul?'"

Common Failings.

I.

COWARDICE.

"THE ancients," said De Maistre, "showed a profound wisdom in calling by the same name strength and virtue. For there can be no virtue without a victory over ourselves; that which costs nothing is worth nothing." In other words, virtue -especially any high degree of virtue-implies effort, conflict, war. And that is why the original signification of the word virtue was neither more nor less than valour. Latin, like Greek and Hebrew and many other early languages, had two words for man. The one was homo, which stood for a human being, as distinguished from one of the lower animals; the other was vir, which stood for a high type of man as distinguished from a low type. Vir meant a manly man. Alas! the expression a manly man is by no means tautological. The noun refers to the body, the adjective to the soul. It is quite possible to have the body of a man and the soul of a baby; or worse, to have the body of a man and the soul of a beast; or, worst of all, to have the body of a man and the soul of a devil. By the word virtue, then, the ancients intended to designate the quality which was characteristic not of a homo but of a vir, not of a mere human being but of a hero. Virtue was manliness. It was a certain something which would be invariably found in the heroic type of man. And what was that but courage?

Courage is the very essence of heroism; it is heroism. Virtue and valour were therefore, in the opinion of the ancients, identical. Our forefathers thought that without courage virtue was impossible; they thought that the truly courageous man would inevitably possess all the other virtues. And they thought right. Not quite right at first, when by courage they meant merely the courage of a soldier; for physical bravery may sometimes be found in combination with moral cowardice. I do not know exactly how much courage it may require to adventure oneself in the field of battle. I have never tried. But I do know, and I am sure every soldier will agree with me, that there are things which require a great deal more courage. Many a man who is quite indifferent to bullets would shudder at the idea of being ridiculed, would turn pale at the bare thought of becoming unpopular.

There are a good many things more unpleasant to face than cannon-balls or grapeshot. But if the word courage be understood, as it was understood by the Stoics, in its broadest sense—if by courage we mean moral courage; if by courage we understand the capacity for duty - whether physical, intellectual, ethical, or social — then we shall be quite correct in using virtue and courage as synonymous. The man who has courage enough will always do his duty, so far as he knows what his duty is. What is it that makes us shirk our duty? What but fear? We are afraid of pain, we are afraid of work, we are afraid of punishment, we are afraid of discomfort, we are afraid of we know not what. No man will be virtuous, except in a very feeble and conventional sort of way, who has not learnt to conquer fear. The virtue of courage, therefore, is the necessary condition of all the other virtues.

Let me relate to you a Russian legend. I heard it told by Prince Wolkousky at the great Congress of Religions in Chicago.

The Prince said that it might perhaps appear too humorous for the occasion, but he asked, What is humour but an invisible tear behind a visible smile?

Well, any way, this is the legend.

There was an old woman who for many centuries had suffered tortures in the flames of hell, since she had been a great sinner during her earthly lifetime. One day she saw far off, almost beyond the reach of vision, an angel taking his flight through the clear azure sky. She summoned up all her strength and shrieked. Her cry was so despairing that the angel paused in his flight and came down to ask her what she wanted. "Will you tell God," she said, "that there is a miserable creature here who has suffered horribly for ages, and that she implores deliverance from these tortures."

The angel promised to do as she wished and flew away. He told God. And God said, "Ask her whether she ever did any good to any one during her life-time." The angel went back and delivered his message. The old woman ransacked her memory in search of a good action. Then all at once she exclaimed joyfully, "It's all right. I've found one. Once I did a good deed. One day I gave a carrot to a hungry beggar."

When this answer was reported to the Almighty, He said, "Take a carrot and stretch it out to her. Let her grasp it; and if the plant is strong enough to draw her out of hell, she shall be saved."

The angel did as the Lord had commanded.

The poor old woman clung in desperation to the carrot, and as the angel pulled she began to rise. But when her body was half out of the flames, she felt a weight at her feet. Another sinner was hanging on to her, in the hope that she too might escape. The old woman tried to kick her away, but in vain. The angel continued to pull, and both women rose together. By-and-by another and

another and another caught hold, and at last there might have been seen a long chain of lost souls rising slowly out of hell.

The angel kept on pulling. The combined weight seemed no heavier than the carrot was capable of supporting. But the old woman became scared: she was afraid the carrot would break, and her fear—as fear always does—made her selfish: so many people were availing themselves of her last chance of salvation. It was too bad. She kicked and pushed more vigorously than before, shouting, "Leave me alone—it's my carrot." No sooner had she uttered these words than the carrot broke, and the people fell back—old woman and all—into hell for ever.

Cowardice may be regarded as the fundamental and all-inclusive vice. The word is derived from cauda, a tail. Cowardice is turning tail. The coward is the man who retreats when duty bids him advance. If there is anything to be afraid of, the coward turns tail. Fear is the strongest of the passions. It can get the better of all the rest—it can conquer even reason itself. "The thing I am most afraid of," said Montaigne, "is fear; because it is a passion which supersedes and suspends all the rest.

'The mind which fear has once oppressed Is of all judgment dispossessed.'

Such as have been soundly thrashed in some skirmish may yet, all bruised as they are, return next

day to the charge; but those who have once conceived a dread of the enemy will never be brought so much as to look him in the face. They who are in fear every day of losing their estate, or of banishment, or of being made slaves, live in perpetual anguish, without appetite or rest; whereas such as are naturally poor or slaves or exiles often live as merrily as those in better condition. And many people, not being able to bear the terrors of fear, have hanged or drowned themselves or thrown themselves from precipices. Fear is thus seen to be even more insupportable than death." No wonder that virtue is impossible for the coward. Let us think for a few moments to-day of the demoralising effects of cowardice.

To begin with, cowardice has been at the root of all the false Religions of the world; and thus morality has been weakened by the very thing that should have made it strong. In early ages, as I have often explained to you, men believed that the gods were vindictive beings, and therefore Religion consisted in the attempt to propitiate them. In this there might have been no harm, if the attempt at propitiation had not included worship. Offering sacrifices to get out of the clutches of an angry god is just as legitimate as paying a ransom to escape from the hands of banditti. Supposing, however, the banditti were not satisfied with a money ransom, but required us to declare that in our heart of hearts we believed them to be good and honourable gentlemen; supposing they required not only our money, but worship, in that case none but the coward would yield to their demands. The courageous man would rather die first. Now, all through the religious history of the race—from fetishism down to Calvinism—immoral gods have been claiming the homage of mankind, and men have worshipped them, or pretended to worship them, out of fear. But here and there has been found a man of valour, a manly man, a hero, who has steadily refused to bow the knee to Baal. The true man will always attack the false god. This is illustrated in the old classic legend of Prometheus.

That prehistoric hero was said to have taught mankind the use of fire, and made them acquainted with architecture, mathematics, writing, medicine, navigation, and other arts and sciences. In all this he had been acting contrary to the will of Jove, who would have preferred men to remain in ignorance. Prometheus was therefore chained to a rock, and an eagle commanded to prey perpetually on his heart. But he remained undaunted, refused to acknowledge himself in the wrong, refused to say that Jove was right. The man looked the god in the face and told him that his evil was not good. "Weaker than a simple human thought." Yes, on reflection the old immoral gods turn out to be purely fictitious, the offspring of man's morbid imagination. There is no proof whatever of the existence of such beings. But supposing it were otherwise, that we believed that the strongest power in the universe was wicked, cruel, and unjust, do you think that the manly man would worship it? No, indeed, he would never consent to call such a Power good. He would suffer for ever, if need be, the torments of the damned rather than pretend to honour what his conscience taught him to despise. But the majority of men are not heroes. Hundreds of thousands have called even the god of Calvin good. And what forced them into this almost incredible immorality was nothing but the fear of hell.

One of the worst of the false gods, and a god that has yet to be dethroned, is—Conventionality. Few idols have done more to demoralise their worshippers. One of the commandments of the god Conventionality was enunciated long ago by the cynical voluptuary who wrote the Book of Ecclesiastes—"Be not righteous overmuch." Many persons are unwilling to appear as good as they really are, merely from the fear of being unconventional. Of this you have perhaps the best illustration in the case of slander. It is the commonest of social vices, and the most inhuman—the most unworthy of a human being. Some of us perhaps feel this, but what do we do to mend matters? We may refrain from adding to the torrent, but do we ever try to stem it? When others are talking scandal, we are generally, against our better judgment, drawn into the vortex; we add our little contribution, or at any rate we join in the uncharitable titter. We dare not protest, we dare scarcely even remain silent, for fear of seeming priggish, singular, unfashionable, overmuch righteous.

And the god Conventionality is no less a tyrant in the sphere of thought. It would have all men always not only act alike but think alike. Any radical change of thought of course necessitates a radical change of conduct; and Conventionality would have all things remain as they are. This false god has succeeded in persuading the majority of mankind that inquiry is dangerous and that progress is a crime. Every mental disposition essential to research has been branded as a sin, and the most deadly intellectual vices have been deliberately inculcated as virtues. It was a sin to doubt the opinions in which one had been brought up; it was a virtue to hold them in spite of all evidence to the contrary. It was a sin to attend to any objections that might be raised to them; it was a virtue to refuse to listen to the objector. It was sinful to study both sides of a question, sinful to give but a qualified assent to indecisive arguments; sinful to remain poised in doubt between conflicting views, sinful to resolve on following the light of evidence wherever it might lead. Opinions sanctified by Conventionality were the only opinions which it was lawful to adopt. Till quite recently this applied to every department of thought; and it still holds good at least in one. Many of those who have

sought and obtained their freedom in physical science, continue, nevertheless, to hug the chains of theological slavery. There is nothing that alarms them so much as a thinker, especially a thinker who has the power of making others think. There is nothing of which they complain so bitterly as of being startled.

"They grow pale, lest their own judgments should become too bright, $\,$

And their free thoughts be crimes, and earth have too much light."

It needs a brave man, then, to give the world a new idea. Woe betide the thinker if he differ from his fellows on a subject which Conventionality regards as settled! Every prophet, every philosopher, every apostle, every reformer, has been execrated. Scared by the novelty and unconventionality of his teaching, people have vociferated that he was trying to turn the world upside down. The very truisms of one age were often regarded in the preceding generation as heresies or blasphemies which could only be expiated by death.

"For all the past of time reveals
A bridal dawn of thunder-peals,
Whenever thought hath wedded fact"—

the thunder-peals of outraged Conventionality. A man, therefore, who has anything of importance to communicate to his fellows needs the strength, the daring, the courage of a hero. Misconception, misrepresentation, calumny, ridicule, abuse, the loss

of money, of friends, of reputation, of power of advancement, of all that the world calls good,that is the prospect which lies before him. if he is not a coward, he will face it. On pain of eternal infamy he must face it. He has been chosen a servant, a prophet of the Lord. Necessity is laid upon him to deliver the Lord's message. He will never be false to it, come what may. As he thinks of the long and illustrious line of his predecessors he will take heart. With a feeling almost akin to joy, he will resign self unreservedly to the service of mankind. In their ignorance and blindness they may make him suffer for it, but the suffering is not worthy to be compared with the grandeur of the mission upon which he has been sent. He may stand alone, but his loneliness is fellowship with God. He may die apparently a failure; but he will rise again—in the hearts and memories of men-victorious. By-and-by in the far-off future—it may be very far off, but sooner or later the time will come when he will see of the travail of his soul and be satisfied.

"Once to every man and nation
Comes the moment to decide,
In the strife of Truth with Falsehood,
For the good or evil side;
Some great cause—God's new Messiah—
Offering each the bloom or blight,
Parts the goats upon the left hand
And the sheep upon the right;
And the choice goes by for ever
'Twixt that darkness and that light.

Then to side with Truth is noble
When we share her wretched crust,
Ere her cause bring fame and profit,
And 'tis prosperous to be just:
Then it is the brave man chooses,
While the coward stands aside,
Doubting in his abject spirit—
Till his Lord is crucified,
And the multitude make virtue
Of the faith they had denied.

Count me o'er earth's chosen heroes:
They were men who stood alone,
While the men they agonised for
Cast the contumelious stone;
Stood serene, and down the future
Saw the golden beam incline
To the side of perfect justice,
Mastered by their faith divine,
By one man's firm truth to manhood
And to God's supreme design.

By the light of burning heretics
Christ's bleeding feet I track,
Toiling up new Calvarys ever
With the cross that turns not back;
And these mounts of anguish number
How each generation learned
One new word of that grand *Credo*
Which in prophet hearts has burned.
Far in front the cross stands ready,
And the crackling faggots burn,
While the hooting mob of yesterday
In silent awe return.
To glean up the scattered ashes
Into History's golden urn.

268 Common Failings: Cowardice.

Careless seems the god Avenger;
History's pages but record
One death-grapple in the darkness
'Twixt false systems and the Word.
Truth for ever on the scaffold,
Wrong for ever on the throne,
Yet that scaffold sways the future,
And, behind the dim Unknown,
Standeth God within the shadow,
Keeping watch above His own."

Common Failings.

IT.

INDOLENCE.

THE value of time is often spoken of, but seldom realised. "It is in everybody's mouth, but in few people's practices." The old-fashioned sundials generally bore an inscription on the subject. You have seen, I daresay, the motto on the dial at All Souls', Oxford—"Pereunt et imputantur"—The hours perish and are laid to our charge. Most people in their childish days were accustomed to write in their copy-books sentences of similar import; yet how few of them ever took the maxims to heart. Penuriousness—where time is concerned -would be a virtue; and yet it is just there that men are guilty of the most reckless prodigality. They are generally pretty careful of their money; but that which it is beyond the power of money to purchase they fling away with disregard and contempt. All that some men think of doing with time is to kill it; they invent every kind of device for making it pass away imperceptibly and to no purpose. Others, upon whose hands it does not hang quite so heavy, are content with what they call passing it—passing it in nothing more profitable or enjoyable than frivolity. Some of us—I suppose the majority—use part of our time and waste the rest. You rarely meet with a man who utilises it all.

It is quite possible to be indolent and yet to fancy oneself very busy. There are many persons whose bodies move while their minds are motionless. They say what they hear others say; they do what they see others do; they repeat what has got fixed in their memory; they believe -or believe they believe-anything they have been taught; and throughout the whole of these processes their minds are absolutely inert. If they were to ask themselves at night what they have done during the day, they would find that they had done nothing. They have perhaps read for half an hour or an hour-mechanically, without attention, and consequently without either retaining what they read or reasoning upon it. They could hardly tell you the name of the author, and it would be quite hopeless to inquire of them in what relation he stood to other authors. They may have gone into society, but they have not observed the characters of those whom they met; they have not contributed anything of value to the conversation, nor have they brought anything

away. Half the time they were probably thinking of something else; or more probably still, they were not thinking at all. If you were to ask them what the talk had been about, they would not be able to remember. In the evening, perhaps, they go to the play, where, as Lord Chesterfield puts it, they gape at the company and the lights, but without minding the very thing they went to—the play. If you asked them to describe it, they would be completely floored.

It is impossible to exaggerate the mischievousness of such a condition of the mind. It is probably at the bottom of nine-tenths of the misery and wickedness of mankind. The old poet John Heywood said—

"No heart can think, nor tongue express The harm that groweth of idleness."

He was quite right. All I can attempt to do this morning is to point out—not in detail, but in general terms—the more patent injuries which it is constantly inflicting upon its victims.

In the first place, we lose a great deal of pleasure by it, and get a great deal of pain. Doing nothing is the hardest and most unpleasant work conceivable; and next to that is doing something—if it can be called doing—without attention, without interest, without enthusiasm. When Napoleon was at St Helena he was informed that one of his officers had died. He inquired what he had died of. "Of having nothing to do,"

was the reply. "Quite enough," sighed Napoleon, "even had he been an Emperor." "Idleness," says quaint old Burton, "is the bane of body and mind, the chief source of melancholy, the mother of all mischief. An idle dog will be mangy, and how can an idle person escape? This much I dare boldly say, he or she that is idle, be they of what condition they will, never so rich, well allied, fortunate, let them have all things in abundance that heart can wish, so long as he or she or they are idle, they shall never be pleased, never well in body or mind, but weary, sickly, vexed, weeping, sighing, grieving, suspecting, offended with the world, wishing themselves dead." "I hope," says Lord Chesterfield, writing to his son, "that you employ your whole time. Idleness is the holiday of fools. There is nothing which I more wish that you should know, and which few people do know, than the true use of time. Every moment may be put to some use, and more enjoyment will be got out of it in this way than if it had been left unemployed. Do not imagine that by the employment of time I mean uninterrupted application to serious studies. No; pleasures are, at proper times, both as necessary and as useful. I call going into society, walking, riding, &c., employing one's time - and, upon fitting occasions, very usefully. But what I cannot forgive in anybody is sauntering, doing nothing at all with a thing so precious as time. Many people, from laziness of mind, go through both pleasure and

business with equal inattention, neither enjoying the one nor doing the other; imagining that they enjoyed themselves because they went where others found enjoyment; imagining that they were men of business because they had business to do, though they did not do it." That is well put. Even from the somewhat low standpoint of pleasure, indolence appears to be extremely impolitic. For the lazy man life has no zest. You know what zest means? The word was employed originally for the chip of lemon-peel used in flavouring drinks. Industry is like that chip of lemon-peel. It gives flavour to existence. An indolent life is absolutely flavourless.

But further, indolence, as Malebranche says, opens the door to all the vices. Men learn to do ill by doing what is next to it-nothing. "An idle man," said good old Bishop Hall, "is like stagnant water—he corrupts himself. If I do but little good to others by my work, there is great good in it for me, since I thereby keep myself from harm." An idle brain is the devil's workshop. Indolence is more insidious, and therefore more dangerous, than any violent passion. know when we are in a rage, or when we are thirsting for revenge; and we may, by an effort, restrain ourselves. But indolence is a stream which flows slowly, steadily, almost imperceptibly along, undermining in its course the foundation of every virtue. Or, to vary the metaphor, it is like rust, which contaminates all it touches. This rust of the mind tinctures every action of one's life. We may have within us the seeds of a thousand good qualities, and yet they may never come to anything, for want of the industry and energy necessary to their development; whereas the ill weeds, which are ever ready to supplant them, grow apace. They need no cultivation. It is significant that one of the profoundest students of human nature—Hogarth—in his portraiture of the career of a great criminal, represents him, in the first picture, as a boy lolling on the tombstones of a churchyard.

Even if indolence do not lead us into what are called crimes, there is one great evil which is manifestly involved in it—it is incompatible with self-development. For the growth of the mind, as of the body, movement, effort, work is absolutely essential. "Were he never so benighted, there is always hope for a man who actually and earnestly works. In idleness alone is there perpetual despair. A man perfects himself by working. Foul jungles are cleared away; fair seed-fields rise in their stead, and stately cities; and withal the man himself first ceases to be a jungle and foul unwholesome desert thereby. Consider how, even in the meanest sorts of labour, the whole soul of a man is composed into a kind of harmony the instant he sets himself to work. Doubt, desire, sorrow, remorse, despair itself—all these, like helldogs, lie beleaguering the soul of every man; but when he lends himself to his task they are stilled, they shrink murmuring far off into their caves. The man is now a man. The blessed glow of labour is in him; and that is a purifying fire, wherein all poison is burnt up, and smoke itself is made into a bright and blessed flame. itself has no other way of cultivating us. less Chaos, once set it revolving, grows round and ever rounder, ranges itself by mere force of gravity into strata, is no longer a Chaos but a compacted World. Hast thou looked on a Potter's Wheel—one of the venerablest objects? Rude lumps of clay, how they spin, spin themselves up, by mere quick whirling, into beautiful circular dishes. And fancy the most assiduous Potter, but without his Wheel, reduced to making dishes, or rather amorphous blotches, by mere kneading and baking! such a Potter were Destiny with a human soul that would rest and lie at ease. Of the idle, unrevolving man the kindest Destiny can make but a vessel of dishonour. Let her spend on him what expensive colouring, what gilding and enamelling she will, he remains nothing but a bulging, crooked, shambling Thou that braggest of thy life of idleness, complacently showing thy bright gilt equipages, sumptuous carriages, appliances for folding of the hands to sleep! Look up, look down, around, behind, before; discernest thou-if it be not in Mayfair alone—any idle hero, saint, god, or even devil? Not a vestige of one. In the heavens above, in the earth beneath, in the waters under the earth, is none like unto thee. Thou art an original

figure in this creation. A day is ever struggling forward when he who has no work to do, by whatever name he may be named, will not find it good to show himself in our quarter of the solar system, but may go and look out elsewhere, if there be any *idle* Planet discoverable."

Work, then, is needed to make men of us. I have read somewhere of a race of people who adopt a curious method of teaching their children the use of the bow. They suspend the breakfast of the young people from the bough of a tree and make them shoot it down. Providence treats us in somewhat the same fashion. Everything we require for our development lies just beyond our present reach. "My sword is too short," said a Spartan boy to his mother. "Add a step to it," she replied. Providence says the same to us. The sword with which we have to fight the battle of life is long enough if we will but, from moment to moment, add a step to it. From moment to moment! That is the chief thing. Even a moment is too precious to waste. Something or other may be done in it. Indeed, if we take care of the moments, the hours will take care of themselves. Pliny in one of his letters says, "When I go hunting I carry with me a pocket-book, that whilst my servants are busy preparing the nets and other matters, I may employ myself with something that will be useful in my studies, and so, if I miss my game, I may at least bring some of my own thoughts back with me, and not have the mortifica-

tion of catching nothing all day." And further, as I need hardly point out, what you do from moment to moment, whether it be hunting or making notes in your pocket-book, must be thoroughly done. You must do it with a will; you must put your heart and soul into it. "Whatsoever thy hand findeth to do, do it with thy might." That is the only kind of work that tells. "Approfondissez" (as Lord Chesterfield used to say)—Go to the bottom of things. What is half done or half known is not really done or known at all. The lazy mind will not take the trouble of going to the bottom of anything; but, discouraged by the first difficulty, stops short, and contents itself with easy, and consequently superficial, knowledge, preferring a great degree of ignorance to a small degree of trouble. Such people think most things impossible, whereas scarcely anything is impossible to industry and energy. Watt taught himself chemistry and mechanics and German while following the trade of a mathematical instrument-maker. Stephenson learnt mensuration when he was a working engineer. In the intervals allowed for meals he would jot down his calculations with a piece of chalk upon the sides of the colliery waggons. Kirke White mastered Greek as he walked to and from a lawyer's office. Diagesseau, a celebrated French Chancellor, wrote a bulky and able volume in the successive intervals of waiting for dinner. Elihu Burritt earned his living as a blacksmith, and at the same time made himself proficient in twenty or thirty languages. To thorough-going industry there is practically nothing impossible. It is the philosopher's stone which transmutes difficulty into triumph.

Finally, by indolence we defraud mankind of the services which we owe them. It is only by developing ourselves that we can contribute our rightful share to the development of the race. Every earnest worker is in reality a co-worker with God. Happy are we if we realise this. Happy are we if we take pleasure in our work, not only for the benefit it confers on ourselves, but for the benefit it confers on our fellows. In that case work becomes Religion. "Properly speaking," said Carlyle, "all true work is religion. Whatever Religion is not work may go and dwell among the Brahmins, Antinomians, spinning dervishes, or where it will; with me it shall have no harbour. Man, Son of earth and of heaven, lies there not in the innermost heart of conscience a spirit of activity, a force for work, giving thee no rest until thou write it down in beneficent facts around thee? What is unmethodic waste thou shalt make methodic, regulated, obedient, productive. Wheresoever thou findeth disorder, there is thine eternal enemy; attack him swiftly, make order of him, the subject not of chaos but of intelligence, of divinity. thistle that grows in thy path, dig it out, that a blade of useful grass may grow in its stead. Above all, where thou findest ignorance, stupidity, brutemindedness, attack it, I say; smite it wisely, unwearily, and rest not while thou livest and it lives; but smite, smite in the name of God. In all true work (were it but true hand labour) there is something of divineness. Labour has its summit in heaven. Sweat of the brow, sweat of the brain, sweat of the heart, which includes all Kepler calculations, Newton meditations, all sciences, all heroisms, all martyrdoms, up to that agony of bloody sweat which all men have called divine. If this is not worship, I say the more pity for worship; for this is the noblest thing yet discovered under the heaven of God."

If ever there was a time when it was needful to preach the gospel of work, it is to-day. I was speaking, a Sunday or two ago, of the morbid pessimism of this fin-de-siècle age. We are deluged with books about the miseries of existence and the rottenness of life. We are deluged with books in which it is asserted that we can never know anything about our origin or destiny. There is one reply to them all, and it has been given by Ella Wheeler Wilcox:—

"I know not whence I came,
I know not whither I go,
But the fact stands clear
That I am here
In this world of pleasure and woe;
And out of the mist and murk
Another truth shines plain—
It is in my power,
Each day and hour,
To add to its joy or its pain.

I know that the earth exists—
It is none of my business why.
I cannot find out
What it's all about—
I should but waste time to try.
My life is a brief, brief thing,
I am here for a little 'space;
But while I stay
I should like, if I may,
To brighten and better the place.

Cease wondering why you came;
Cease looking for faults and flaws;
Rise up to-day
In your might and say,
I am part of the first great Cause.
However full the world,
There is room for an earnest man;
It had need of me,
Or I would not be—
I am here to strengthen the plan."

Of this highest kind of work we have a magnificent illustration in the career of him who has just passed away—Mr Plimsoll, the seaman's friend. You have heard of the coffin-ships, as they were justly called—rotten, unseaworthy vessels into which sailors were lured and exposed to almost certain death, that the unscrupulous owners might reap the profit of their over-insurance. And you have noticed, I daresay, the white disc which is now to be seen on the side of every English merchantman. That is the Plimsoll mark. It means that the coffin-ships have been abolished, that the old traffic in human lives has been suppressed, and that the sailor is at last protected from the

dangers to which he was subjected by diabolical

cupidity.

That little disc will remain an everlasting memorial of what can be accomplished for humanity by the energy and determination of a single human will.

Common Failings.

III.

INTOLERANCE.

"BE ye kind to one another." And we are not even tolerant.

There is an affection of the brain which the doctors call loss of co-ordination. The result of this disease is, that the two hands will not act in concert, neither will the two feet; each hand, each foot, each member of the body acts independently of, and inconsistently with, the rest. The human race has been afflicted throughout the whole course of its existence with a similar disease—with the loss of social co-ordination. Humanity is an organism; we are members one of another. But, judging from our behaviour, no one would ever suspect it. And, strangely enough, what we are pleased to call our Religion only serves to emphasise and intensify our mutual estrangement.

People who can tolerate differences of opinion in

ordinary affairs, even perhaps in politics, nevertheless grow furious at any departure from their own standard of religious orthodoxy.

"They meet and they talk where the four roads join Four men from the four winds come,

And they talk of the horse, for they love the theme, And never a man is dumb.

And the man from the North loved the strength of the horse, And the man from the East his face,

And the man from the South loved the speed of the horse, The man from the West his grace.

So those four men from the four winds came, Each paused a while in his course,

And smiled in the face of his fellow-man, And lovingly talked of the horse.

Then each man parted and went his way
As their different courses ran,

And each man journeyed with peace in his heart, And loving his fellow-man.

They met next year where the four roads join, Four men from the four winds come,

And it chanced, as they met, they talked of God, And never a man was dumb.

One imagined God in the shape of a man, A Spirit did one insist;

One said that Nature itself was God, One said that He didn't exist.

And they lashed each other with tongues that stung, That smote as with a rod;

Each glared in the face of his fellow-man, And wrathfully talked of God.

Then each man parted and went on his way,
As their different courses ran,

And each man journeyed with war in his heart, And hating his fellow-man." The average Christian is no exception to the rule. He is intolerant of all other Religions; their votaries he calls contemptuously pagans, heathers, savages. And of course he is equally contemptuous of every party in his own Church except that to which he himself belongs. "An enemy of Religion," said Dr Channing, "if asked to describe a Christian, might with some show of reason depict him as an idolater of his own opinions covered with party badges; shutting his eyes on the virtues, and his ears on the arguments of his opponents; arrogating all excellences to his own sect, and all saving power to his own creed; sheltering—under the name of pious zeal—the love of domination, the conceit of infallibility, and the spirit of intolerance, and trampling on men's rights under pretence of saving their souls." Archbishop Whately said, "If you should go through St Paul's description of Love, reversing every point, you would have no incorrect account of party-spirit as it has appeared in almost all ages of the Church," And Bishop Thirlwall said, "The dogmatical intolerance of Churchmen is fraught with mischief and danger. Things in themselves indifferent are made into shibboleths, to which one side clings more tenaciously because they are vehemently disliked by the other. The contests to which this gives rise waste the Church's strength, shake the confidence and chill the affections of her most intelligent members, and afford not only matter of exultation, but real advantage, to her adversaries"

Now, what is the cause of this unchristianity, this irreligious Religion? Well, it is partly due, no doubt, to the natural conceit and selfishness of the human heart. But it is partly due also to the honest conviction that, if God is to be honoured. He must always be honoured in the same kind of way. This conviction is none the less mischievous for being honest. It is the most disastrous fallacy that ever took possession of the mind, and yet it is not unnatural. At first sight we can discover no unity, nothing in common between the various forms of religious belief; we can discover no unity, nothing in common between the various forms of Religious ritual. At first sight it seems as if men were seeking completely different gods, as if they were actuated by completely different motives. We find worship conducted with the most gorgeous ceremonial, and we find also worship characterised by the baldest simplicity. We find elaborate liturgies, extempore prayers, and voiceless communion with the Unseen. We find a professed acquaintance with all the purposes of the Deity, and we find altars erected to the Unknown God. We find men frequently-many times a-day-helped by the ministry of the clergy; and we find others who are helped more by the ministry of Nature. Yet, underlying all this divinity, the thinker discovers a single instinct—the desire to do honour to Him who is regarded as the impersonation of goodness.

The Red Indian, before setting out on the chase, blows a few whiffs of tobacco towards heaven,

because he believes the Great Spirit delights to inhale its vapour. A grotesque act of worship this may seem to us, but it is the outcome of the same desire to please God which leads to the use of incense in some of our modern churches. It was the self-same instinct which induced the Aztecs to place seats by the roadside for the gods to rest upon, which inspired King David with the wish to build a house for the Lord, and which impelled St Paul to declare that the Almighty dwelleth not in temples made with hands. It was the self-same desire to give the Deity of their best which led men in Mexico to the lavish offering up of human victims, and in Judæa to the expression of the sentiment that the sacrifices of God are a broken and a contrite heart. All these worshippers, though differing so much superficially, nevertheless, if they were prompted by love for what they regarded as morally superior to ourselves, were members of the general assembly and Church of the first-born, and were written in Heaven. "In every nation he that worketh righteousness "-i.e., he who does right, he who does his best—"is accepted."

The idea of righteousness underlies every possible variety of genuine Religion. The late Professor Hatch has finely said, "In the splendid ceremonial of Eastern and Western worship, in the blaze of lights, in the separation of the central point of the rite from common view, in the processions of torchbearers chanting their sacred hymns, there is the survival of what I cannot find it in my heart to

call a pagan ceremonial; because, though it was the expression of a less enlightened faith, yet it was offered to God from a heart that was not less earnest than our own in its effort after holiness."

Thinkers, I say, are beginning to recognise the unity that underlies religious diversity. But, alas! most of us are not thinkers, and that is the chief reason why we are so intolerant. In diversity we see nothing but diversity; and this we generally exaggerate into discord. People must believe as we do, people must worship as we do, or we begin to despair of their salvation. What nonsense! Why, even ordinary emotions may be variously expressed. Some, for example, mourn for the departed in black raiment, others in white; some, when they would pay respect, uncover their head, others uncover their feet; some, if they are strongly moved, pour forth their sentiments in torrents of words, while with others nothing short of silence can express the intensity of their emotions. And yet we cannot bring ourselves to believe that two men, equally anxious to honour God, may adopt different—nay, opposite—modes of doing so!

We are everlastingly dwelling on our religious differences. What we ought to look for, what we ought to think about, what we ought to love, is the unity of purpose that underlies them. If you go into any little church in Italy you will find that the special object of adoration is a bambino, a holy infant, made of wax and covered with cheap and tawdry ornaments. If you are an ardent Protes-

tant, you may feel inclined to seize the idolatrous figure and dash it in pieces. But wait. Live a little while among those simple-minded peasants and you will discover what the poor waxen figure means for them. The purpose of this idol, as you might call it, is to fix the attention of the worshippers. Year after year, from their earliest infancy, it has called forth in them devotional thoughts and devotional feelings. Nay, if you inquire a little further you may find that it has helped them to live a more pious and upright life than you with all your boasted Protestantism.

And so of Ritualism in our own Church. Dean Stanley once said, "Vestments and ornaments are things of absolute indifference when compared with matters of serious Religion. It is high time to see whether we cannot, once for all, dispel the idea that the kingdom of heaven consists in the colour of a coat, or the shape of a cloak, or the use of a handkerchief. To speak of them as of no significance is the true translation of the apostolic maxim, 'Circumcision availeth nothing, nor uncircumcision." That is true. But Dean Stanley would have been the last man in the world to persecute or prosecute the Ritualists. If vestments, ornaments, ritual, help anybody to worship, who are we, what is the Church Association, that we should laugh at him, and command him to dispense with them? I wish we could all say with Frederick Robertson, "I can lay my hand on my heart and declare that I regard with unfeigned toleration

every opinion which I deem erroneous, except those which find their necessary expression in acts of malevolence."

Most people seem to imagine that there is something very meritorious in the possession of the particular stock of opinions with which they find themselves endowed. Nothing could be more ridicu-The opinions even of the most thoughtful have, generally speaking, been made for them, not by them. Though slight differences in men's creeds may be due to voluntary effort on the one hand, or to wilful negligence on the other, the greater and more important differences always result from existing causes which it was quite impossible to alter or resist. We might all of us at this moment be Buddhists, Mohammedans, fire-worshippers, or fetishists, but for the accident of birth. Unless existing influences be brought to bear upon a man, especially the influence of education, he will never be able to improve his creed to any considerable extent. If God wanted or expected men to hold the same opinions, He would not have placed them in circumstances where such uniformity was impossible. A poor creature born in the wilds of Africa or in the slums of London deserves neither reproach nor contempt for holding opinions inferior to ours. However excellent our opinions may be as compared with other people's, that is no reason why we should give ourselves airs. These opinions, upon which we so pride ourselves, may, after all, be the very worst which, under the circumstances, it was

possible for us to hold. All that is good in them may have come to us by inheritance, without any exertion of our own; we may not have made the slightest effort to get rid of what is bad in them; while some of our neighbours whom we look down upon with contempt may have struggled unceasingly to seek and to find the truth. If we would only remember our own shortcomings as truthseekers, we should have no difficulty in tolerating those whom we believe to be in error. Tolerating them, did I say? That is not much. It is a curious thing that the very word which we use to express intellectual humility is full of intellectual pride. Tolerate, indeed! As good old Bishop Hall said, "I do not like the word. If the Lord can tolerate me, shall I account it a marvellous act of virtue if I can stand my fellow-creatures?" Let me relate to you a pretty oriental legend. Abraham was one day sitting at his tent door when an old man appeared. Abraham invited him in and set meat before him; but observing that he did not say grace, he asked him why he did not worship Jehovah. "I worship the sun," the old man said. Abraham became very angry and drove the poor fellow away. Then God called to Abraham and asked him where the stranger was. "I thrust him out," said Abraham, "because he did not worship Thee;" and God answered, "I have suffered him and his ancestors hundreds of years, and couldst thou not endure him for an hour?"

If we once learnt to look out for the unity that

underlies diversity, we should be surprised to find how much in reality men were, after all, agreed. The fact is, their differences are glaring, but often superficial; their agreement is unapparent, but very frequently profound. "A wise man," said Bacon, "will sometimes hear two ignorant persons disputing, and know within himself that their meaning is the same, though in words they cannot agree about it." We may well suppose, then, that God above seeth in some men's consciences that they intend the same thing, and accepteth of both.

I wonder whether any of you were present at the Great Congress of Religions held some years ago in Chicago. If so, I am sure you will agree with me that it was the most remarkable event in the history of the world. Beforehand it seemed an impossibility. The idea of getting together the representatives of the various Religions of the world, and persuading them to talk over their views calmly, dispassionately, kindly—surely, people said, it cannot be done; the Congress is bound to end in a fiasco; the reverend representatives are certain to quarrel before a single day has passed. I must confess I went myself with grave doubts and misgivings. But in that wonderful city—and not for the first time—the impossible was accomplished.

The Congress was opened by a Cardinal Archbishop of the Romish Church, and it was closed by a Jewish Rabbi. For three weeks there sat together on the platform Roman Catholics, Greeks,

Buddhists, Mohammedans, Unitarians, Shintoists, Trinitarians, Methodists, Episcopalians—the representatives of Churches and sects which for ages had despised and reviled one another: there we sat as brethren, and every day learnt to understand, to respect, to love one another more. audiences assembled to listen to us, and they listened with sympathy and admiration even to those whom they had been taught to regard as hopelessly lost; and it seemed to us that the Hallelujah Chorus, chanted at the closing session by a choir of a thousand voices, heralded the dawn of a new era in human history, when toleration, sympathy, kindliness will reign everywhere supreme.

> "The germs of soul that God hath set In frames of silver, gold, and jet, Tinged by their tegument of clay, May shed a varicoloured ray— Yet, like the rainbow's motley dyes, Unite and mingle in the skies. Man, like the other plants of earth, Takes form and colour from his birth; And since, in various countries, each, Praying in a different kind of speech, Why may not God delight to view Variety of worship too, All to one loving Source addressed, Although in different ways expressed? The vast orchestra of the earth Millions of instruments displays; But when its countless sounds go forth To hymn the same Creator's praise, The mighty chorus swells on high In one accepted harmony."

Animals.

MAN'S contempt for animals would be amusing if it were not sad. He denies them minds, he denies them souls, he denies them immortality. Everything on which he prides himself he imagines to be wanting in them. "A rational animal" he calls himself, as if, forsooth, he could reason and they could not; while, in point of fact, they reason very frequently a great deal better than he does. Milton says they reason not contemptibly, but I should be inclined to say they reason uncommonly well: in regard to sensuous and concrete matters, at any rate, their conclusions are more often right than ours. If we lose our way, our horse or our dog will take us home. In some parts of the desert where there is no sign of a track it is not a man but a camel that leads us across. People say, Oh, that's instinct. Of course they are not going to admit that anybody in the universe could reason better than they do. But this attempt to deprive animals of the credit they deserve is futile: for instinct itself is but inherited reasoning. The ancestors, therefore, must have

reasoned, or the descendants would never have acquired the instinct. And when we think of what is achieved by insects such as bees and ants, we must feel convinced that their reasoning powers are in some respects superior to our own.

"The most wonderful piece of matter in the world," said Darwin, "is an ant's brain." It is true that animals are not given, so far as we know, to abstract reasoning. But this keeps them out of a great deal of mischief. In our generalisations we are mostly wrong. As George Eliot wittily said, man is distinguished from the lower animals chiefly by the power of making false generalisations.

We are told, again, that animals have no souls. But if the soul is the seat of the affections, if it has anything to do with self-sacrifice, devotion, fidelity, the soul of many an animal might be envied by many a man. You remember the epitaph on Byron's dog at Newstead. He possessed beauty without vanity, strength without insolence, courage without ferocity, and all the virtues of Man without any of his vices. You remember what Mr Greg says in his 'Enigmas of Life': "I have seen on the same day brutes at the summit and men at the foot of the Great St Bernard with regard to whom no one would hesitate to assign to the quadruped superiority in all that we call good." You have heard of Greyfriars' Bobby, the dog who passed his life by his master's tomb. Several times he was taken away and fed on dainties, petted and spoiled; but at the earliest opportunity he always went back to the grave he loved. There he lived for several years. They gave up trying to remove him, but brought him his food every day and allowed him to mourn in peace. At last he fell ill, and was taken somewhere to be nursed. Once again he endeavoured to return, but the effort was too much for him, and so—he died. Which of us could love like that? Near the entrance to Greyfriars' Churchyard the Baroness Burdett-Coutts erected a fountain to Bobby's memory. I do not know his epitaph, but it might well have been that with which another dog has been commemorated:—

"Round this sepulchral spot

Emblems of hope we twine:

If God be Love, my friend, I wot,

Hath too a spark divine."

And people have tried to justify their contempt for animals, not only by denying them minds and souls, which they evidently have, but also by denying them immortality, which they, as evidently, may have.

We need not be surprised, perhaps, that Calvinistic Christendom, which has regarded with complacency the future destruction of the great majority of the human race, should contemplate without distress the future destruction of all the rest of the sentient creation. But this is an idea against which the kindest hearts have always re-

belled, against which many poets and thinkers have vehemently protested. There is a pretty poem to that effect by Bostwick:—

"When a human being dies, Seeming scarce so good or wise, Scarce so high in scale of mind As the horse he leaves behind, 'Lo,' we cry, 'the fleeting spirit Doth a newer garb inherit, Through eternity doth soar, Growing, greatening, evermore.' But our beautiful dumb creatures Yield their gentle, generous natures, With their mute, appealing eyes, Haunted by earth's mysteries, Wistfully upon us cast, Loving, trusting, to the last; And we arrogantly say, 'They have had their little day: Nothing of them but was clay.' Has all perished? Was no mind In that graceful form enshrined? Can the love that filled those eyes With most eloquent replies, Can the mute intelligence, Baffling oft our human sense With strange wisdom, buried be Under the wild cherry-tree? Is there aught of harm believing That, some newer form receiving, They may find a wider sphere, Live a larger life than here? Or, that in the ripened prime Of some far-off summer-time, Ranging that unknown domain. We may find our pets again?"

I might quote from Tennyson, Southey, Lamartine, and a host of others; but I will content myself

with giving you the opinion of the orthodox Bishop Butler. He points out that no valid argument has ever been brought forward against the immortality of animals, and that most of the arguments which favour human immortality are equally applicable to theirs; and all difficulties," he concludes, "as to the manner in which they would be disposed of are so wholly founded in our ignorance, that it is wonderful they should be insisted on by any but such as are weak enough to think that they are acquainted with the whole system of things."

Supposing, however, for the sake of argument, that there is no immortality for animals—supposing that they have no minds or souls-supposing that there were no animals in existence but the lowest, the least interesting, the most objectionable, even they would deserve our consideration. capacity for suffering constitutes a claim on our regard. It has been said—notably by Horace Bushnell - that animals are merely things, and have therefore no moral right against pain. But this is manifestly nonsense. Consciousness raises them, as it raises us, into a higher plane than that of things—into a plane where morality begins. The moral right against pain lies in the capacity for feeling it. Whoever is capable of suffering ought to be exempted from suffering-except so far as suffering may be necessary, reasonable, and just.

Whoever is capable of suffering has a right to our pity and our care. We ought to sympathise with everything that feels. It is easy to love a clever dog or a beautiful horse; but that is not enough - we must extend our sympathy to the bottom of the scale. It is no merit to be kind to men or to animals if we love them. We must learn to be kind to those in whom at first sight we can see nothing lovable. You remember Sterne's account of Uncle Toby and the fly. "'Go,' says he, one day at dinner to an overgrown fly who had buzzed about his nose and tormented him cruelly, and which, after infinite attempts, he had caught at last; 'I'll not hurt thee,' says my Uncle Toby, rising from his chair and going across the room with the fly in his hand; 'I'll not hurt a hair of thy head. Go,' says he, lifting up the sash and opening his hand as he spoke, to let it escape; 'go, poor devil, get thee gone; why should I hurt thee? This world surely is wide enough to hold both thee and me." You remember Sterne's sympathetic chapter on a donkey. "I was stopped at the gate of the inn by a poor ass with a couple of large panniers on his back, who stood dubious with his forefeet on the inside of the threshold and his hindfeet towards the street, as not knowing very well whether he was to go in or no. Now, 'tis an animal (be in what hurry I may) I cannot bear to strike. There is a patient endurance of suffering wrote so unaffectedly in his looks and carriage, which pleads so mightily for him, that it always disarms me. Meet him where I will, I have ever something civil to say to him; and as one word

begets another (if he has as little to do as I), I generally fall into conversation with him: and surely never is my imagination so busy as in framing his responses from the etchings of his countenance, and where these carry me not deep enough, in flying from my own heart into his, and seeing what is natural for an ass to think (as well as a man) upon the occasion. 'Come, honesty,' said I, seeing it was impracticable to pass betwixt him and the gate, 'art thou for coming in or going out?' The ass twisted his head round to look up the street. 'Well,' replied I-'well, wait a minute for thy driver.' He turned his head thoughtfully about and looked wistfully the opposite way. 'I understand thee perfectly,' answered I; 'if thou takest a wrong step in this affair he will cudgel thee to death. Well, a minute is but a minute, and if it saves a fellow-creature a drubbing it shall not be set down as ill spent." And so the conversation proceeds.

The following is an oriental legend translated by Mr Alger. It represents a crowd gathered round a dead dog:—

"'See! Look at his torn hide,' sneered a Jewish wit;
'You could not even cut a shoe from it.'
And he turned away. 'Behold his ears that bleed!'
A fourth chimed in; 'An unclean wretch indeed,'
'He hath been hanged for thieving,' they all cried,
And spurned the loathsome beast from side to side.
Then Jesus, standing by them in the street,
Looked at the poor spent creature at His feet,
And bending o'er him, said unto the men,
'Pearls are not whiter than his teeth'; and then

The people at each other gazed, asking,
'Who is this stranger pitying this vile thing?'
Then one exclaimed, with awe, abated breath,
'This surely is the Man of Nazareth,—
This must be Jesus; for none else but He
Something to praise in that dead dog could see.'"

Remember—in the name of all sentient things, I beseech you—remember that Christianity is kindness, and that kindness is especially needed by the lowly and Despised.

"He prayeth well, who loveth well Both man and bird and beast.

He prayeth best, who loveth best
All things, both great and small;
For the dear God, who loveth us,
He made and loveth All."

Broad Churchism.

SERMON PREACHED ON SUNDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1900, PORTMAN ROOMS.

HIGH Church, Low Church, Broad Church—how do they differ from one another? thus. The Low Churchman puts first-attaches supreme importance to-belief. The High Churchman puts first—attaches supreme importance to ceremonies. The Broad Churchman puts firstattaches supreme importance to-conduct. Though Low Churchmen are generally call Evangelicals, the name properly belongs to us; for it is we-we Broad Churchmen—who follow most closely the teaching of the Evangelists. Broad Churchism is undoubtedly the Gospel of our Lord. At the close of His career, according to St John, He summed up the whole of His injunctions in the new commandment. In His description of the last judgment He asserted, according to St Matthew, that the only question to be asked of us was this, Had we, or had we not, been kind? In conformity, therefore, with the direct teaching of the Evangelists, Broad

Churchmen put first—attach supreme importance to—conduct.

Listen to the wise words of Ruskin: "We are taught to sing psalms when we are merry, and to pray when we want anything; and in our perversity we get to think that praying and psalm-singing constitute service. What nonsense! If a child finds himself in want of anything, he runs and asks his father for it; but does he call that serving his father? If he begs for a toy or a piece of cake, does he call that serving his father? That, with God, is prayer. And He likes to hear it: He likes you to ask Him for things when you want them. But He does not call that serving Him. Begging is not serving. God likes mere beggars as little as you do. He likes honest servants, not beggars. So when a child loves his father very much, and is very happy, he may sing little songs about him; but he does not call that serving his father: neither is singing songs about God serving God. It is enjoying ourselves, if it is anything. Most probably it is nothing; but if it is anything, it is serving ourselves, not God. And yet we are impudent enough to call our beggings and chantings 'divine service.' We say divine service will be performed—that is the word—at such an hour." Ruskin is right. Unless we perform divine service in the daily acts of daily life, we never perform it at all. Acts alone are service. There is one thing we can do for God, and only one. We may help to make His children glad. Divine service is to be performed, not in the restful seclusion of the house of prayer, but in the jostle and turmoil of the world. The service of man is the only *real* service of God.

Curiously enough, Broad Churchmen are supposed to be heretics. Nothing could be further from the truth. They are the most orthodox of all Churchmen, for they cling the closest to the teaching of the Master. Curiously enough, Broad Churchmen are called Latitudinarians, and are supposed to have very lax ideas in regard to religious obligations. Nothing could be further from the truth. Broad Church Religion consists, neither in belief to be once for all professed, nor in ceremonies to be from time to time performed. It is a life—to be daily, hourly, momentarily lived. Where will you find so severe a standard, so noble an ideal? All that is valuable in Low Churchism belongs also to us. We admit the importance of every belief that is capable of calling forth emotion. We admit that there is one belief - viz., belief in Jesus - which, if it finds its way into the heart, may be infinitely inspiring. Only, we say, don't mistake belief for religion. Belief has nothing whatever to do with religion unless, through the medium of the affections, it prompts us to act aright. All that is valuable in High Churchism belongs also to us. If going to an early celebration makes you kinder and more sympathetic during the rest of the day, then in God's name we bid you go to it. Only, we say, do not imagine, when you get home, that

the service of God is ended. It should be about to begin.

If beliefs and ceremonies were thus relegated to their proper place, Low Churchism and High Churchism would be transfigured — transfigured into Christianity, pure and simple. To bring about this transfiguration is the Broad Churchman's dearest wish. He will give himself no rest until the Church which he loves becomes, in fact as in name, the Church of Christ. When professing Christians have become christianised it will be comparatively easy to christianise the world. When Christ is lifted up, not as a dogmatic theologian, not as a master of ceremonies, but as the Inspirer of Righteousness, He will draw all men unto Him.

Broad Churchism is not popular. The most eminent representative it ever had was the late Dean Stanley, who was abused in his day quite as much as I have been. When it was proposed to make him a select preacher at Oxford, both High Churchmen and Low Churchmen united in voting against him. And not only is the Broad Churchman unpopular, but he is often declared to be no Churchman at all. In my own case, for instance, it is frequently said—either in ignorance or malice—that I have left the Church. Only the other day a Calcutta newspaper was sent me in which this statement occurred. Whenever the charge is made against me in print, I write at once to the Editor of the paper, and demand its retraction. But the same

charge is often made verbally, when I am not there to contradict it. Now, it is the most damaging report that could possibly be circulated about me. It is bound to interfere very seriously—until I can succeed in effectually annihilating it—with my work in and for the Church. I beg leave, therefore, to call your attention to the printed slips which you will find at the doors. On those slips you will read, "With the sanction of the Bishop of London and the rector of the parish, the Rev. Momerie preaches every Sunday morning in the Portman Rooms." And if you ever mention this service to your friends, I shall esteem it a favour if you will say that it is neither more nor less than a Church of England service, and that it has the explicit approval of the Bishop of London.

But some people may say—there is a certain class of persons who, as soon as you have answered one objection, always have another ready to spring on you—people of this sort may say, If the man has not really left the Anglican Communion, why on earth does he not have a proper church? Well, one great difficulty is this, I must be near the centre of London. The members of my regular congregation come from South Kensington, West Kensington, North Kensington, Addison Road, Fitz-john's Avenue, Hampstead Heath, Barnes, and all parts of the metropolis; and as for the occasional visitors, they come from America, Australia, and all parts of the world. So that a church in the suburbs, or in the country, would not do for me.

I was in hopes, however, that I had succeeded in getting one which would have been exactly suitable, and that we should have held our first service there to-day. I may as well tell you all about it now. You have heard of what are called proprietary chapels. These are Churches of England, only instead of having an endowment, instead of being. livings, the incumbent has to rent them. used to be a good many such buildings. The late Archbishop of York-Magee-made his name in a proprietary chapel. Most of them have long since been pulled down. But there was one recently to let-viz., Berkeley Chapel, Mayfair-which was held for many years by Teignmouth Shore. When he was appointed to his canonry he sold Berkeley Chapel to a clergyman named Cart. Mr Cart's health failed, and he was obliged to go abroad; so he let the chapel to Father Adderley. When Father Adderley retired I made arrangements to take up the incumbency. I should have had to pay a higher rent than I pay here; but I should, of course, have had at the same time a much larger congregation. And I imagined that, though no one might be inclined to give me a church, I should at all events be allowed to hire one. It was necessary to obtain the sanction of the Bishop of London, and also of the Rev. David Anderson, Rector of St George's, Hanover Square. The Bishop wrote me a kind letter on the subject, and said he would be very pleased to see me settled there. I then applied to the Rev. David Anderson. He refused

his consent. My views, he said, did not suit him. So that settled the matter. But for the Rev. David Anderson we should have been this morning in Berkeley Chapel. Well, we must just make the best of it. We must content ourselves with the Portman Rooms until we can get a church. And in the meantime let us try, like good Broad Churchmen, to live in love and charity with all men—even with the Rev. David Anderson.



WORKS

BY THE LATE

REV. ALFRED WILLIAMS MOMERIE,

M.A., D.Sc., LL.D.,

SOMETIME FELLOW OF ST JOHN'S COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE; SELECT PREACHER
BEFORE THE UNIVERSITY, CAMBRIDGE; PROFESSOR OF LOGIC AND
METAPHYSICS IN KING'S COLLEGE; AND MORNING PREACHER
AT THE FOUNDLING HOSPITAL, LONDON; ETC.

WILLIAM BLACKWOOD AND SONS EDINBURGH AND LONDON

And all Booksellers



PERSONALITY;

THE BEGINNING AND END OF METAPHYSICS,

AND A NECESSARY ASSUMPTION IN ALL POSITIVE PHILOSOPHY.

Fourth Edition, revised. Crown 8vo, 3s.

"This is a little book, but it contains more sound philosophy than many pretentious treatises..... In an admirably lucid way the author scatters to the winds the baseless assumptions of the sense philosophy."—British Quarterly Review.

"It is not often that we have to complain of the brevity of a sermon or of a treatise on philosophy; but in the case of a little book of the latter kind, recently published anonymously, we have found the argument so cogent, the style so clear, and the matter at issue so important, that we heartily wish that the writer had allowed himself room for the fuller treatment of his subject......We confidently refer our readers to this well-reasoned volume."—

Modern Review.

"The work under our notice will well repay the careful reading of those who wish to have at their command plain answers to modern positivism."-

Ecclesiastical Gazette.

"His discussion of these questions stamps Dr Momerie as an acute metaphysician, a philosophical scholar, and a powerful dialectician."—Glasgow Herald.

TT.

THE ORIGIN OF EVIL:

AND OTHER SERMONS.

Eighth Edition. Crown 8vo, 5s.

"Professor Momerie has done well to publish his sermons; they are good reading.....A real contribution to the side of common-sense religion."-Saturday Review.

"We decidedly recommend them to persons perplexed by the speculations

of modern science."-Spectator.

"This is a remarkable volume of sermons. Though it consists of only about 300 pages, it contains an amount of thought and learning which might have been expanded into a bulky folio."—Glasgow Mail.

"These sermons are some of the very best produced in this country within

the last hundred years."—Inquirer.
"The author is an original thinker, whose sympathies are very wide."— "Out of the common run, they give one a refreshing sense of novelty and

power."-Glasgow Herald. "Die Vorträge zeigen allenthalben eine schöne Harmonie zwischen Schrift-

wahrheit und Lebenswahrheit."-Deutsches Litteraturblatt.

"Der Verfasser behandelt in diesen Vorträgen wichtige Fragen aus dem Gebiet des christlichen Lebens. Wir haben besonders die über das Leiden hervor, in denen der Verfasser tiefe beherzigenswerthe Gedanken ausspricht. Wir nehmen keinen Anstand, diese Vorträge zum Besten zu rechnen, was über diesen Gegenstand gesagt worden."-Christliches Bücherschatz.

DEFECTS OF MODERN CHRISTIANITY;

AND OTHER SERMONS.

Fifth Edition. Crown 8vo, 5s.

"Throughout Mr Momerie's attractive little volume the morning air of the new world breathes through the dry leaves of the old theology."—Westminster Review.

"There is an intellectuality, spirituality, and a simplicity in Mr Momerie's sermons, that should make them models for young preachers."—Christian Union.

TV.

THE BASIS OF RELIGION:

BEING AN EXAMINATION OF 'NATURAL RELIGION.'

Third Edition. Crown 8vo, 2s. 6d.

[&]quot;As a controversialist, Professor Momerie is no less candid than he is remorselessly severe."—Scotsman.

[&]quot;As a revelation of the pretentiousness of that philosophy [Positivism], Dr Momerie's powerful essay is very valuable."—Fifeshire Journal.

[&]quot;The result of profound study and earnest thought......This attempt to sketch out a basis for rational theology is fitted to the needs of the times......Professor Momerie has won for himself a name as one of the most powerful and original thinkers of the day."—Globe.

AGNOSTICISM.

Fourth Edition, Crown 8vo, 5s.

"To readers who do not demand that 'the scheme of salvation in its fulness' should be enunciated in every sermon, this volume, which is happily free from rhetoric, and for the most part from any ostentation of the reading which it indicates, will be interesting from its acuteness, learning, and insight."—Saturday Review.

"This is a really good book. It is profound in thought, large and comprehensive in view, liberal in spirit, and delightfully clear and simple in style. We wish that theologians and philosophers in general would write in Professor Momerie's manner...... Following the chapters on Agnosticism, there are ten other chapters on the book of Ecclesiastes. They form an admirable and scholarly analysis of that strange and melancholy book."—The Inquirer.

"We are thankful for so masterly, so comprehensive, and so complete a vindication of the principles of Christian Theism, with its powerful refutation of the main positions of Agnosticism. The book meets a real and wide spread need, in a style as trenchant and effective as it is popular."—Freeman.

VI.

PREACHING AND HEARING:

AND OTHER SERMONS.

Fourth Edition. Crown 8vo,

"The author, himself one of the most eloquent preachers of the day, is eminently qualified to do justice to his subject. He has brought to it an experience and scholarly proficiency which few men could have done."—Christian Union.

"For such preaching as this, and for all the ample learning and wise thought by which it is fortified, the Church of God has every reason to be thankful."—Literary World.

"His sermons are unlike any sermons we can call to mind."-Guardian.

"If such sermons were often to be heard from the pulpit, preachers would not have to complain of empty pews or inattentive listeners."—Rock.

BELIEF IN GOD.

Fourth Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s.

"One of the most brilliant arguments for the Divine existence."—Christian World.

"In some respects Professor Momerie is the ablest preacher of his day. He is ever endeavouring to present recondite problems in the simplest, clearest language, and in this he is eminently successful. It is not too abstruse even for mere smatterers in philosophical discussion. Considering its scope, it is indeed astonishingly lucid."—Dundee Advertiser.

"No preacher need be ashamed to face the most scientific sceptic with this

little book in his hand."-Literary World.

"'Belief in God' was originally written for the 'Helps to Belief' Series, but the editor, thinking it too abstruse, recommended considerable alterations. We are devoutly thankful the gifted Professor refused to mutilate his work, and withdrew it from the series."—Nonconformist.

VIII.

INSPIRATION;

AND OTHER SERMONS.

Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 5s.

"Canon Liddon, preaching last Sunday afternoon in St Paul's Cathedral, declared that it would be difficult to maintain the authority of Christ as a teacher of religious truth if the Book of Daniel were written in the second, and not in the sixth century B.C. Statements of this kind are as deplorable as they are unwarranted.... A happier and a wiser method of dealing with the relations of science and criticism to the Scriptures has been adopted by Professor Momerie in his new volume."—Christian World.

"The gifted Professor has broken at many points with rigid orthodoxy. He is a Broad Churchman of the Broad Churchmen. But his very breadth and catholicity of view, the unswerving steadfastness of his search after truth, and his gift of powerful expression, make him an ally whom even the narrowest sticklers for the faith without change cannot be blind enough to throw over."—Fifeshire Journal.

"The sermons on 'Pessimism' constitute a treatise in themselves."—Irish Ecclesiastical Gazette.

"The abilities of Dr Momerie, and his services with respect to those questions in which the spheres of religion and philosophy touch, are well known; and there is much in the present volume that will repay attentive perusal. The treatment of pessimism leaves nothing to be desired."—Saturday Review.

CHURCH AND CREED,

Third Edition. Crown 8vo, 4s. 6d.

"I cannot say that I am in the habit of reading many sermons. But I did read 'Church and Creed,' and I can recommend them to all those desirous of allying religion with common-sense."—Truth.

"A remarkable book, and a sign of the times which will be noted with

different feelings by various classes of thinkers."—Life.

"Original, fearless, reverent criticism."—Yorkshire Post.

"Simple yet masterly."-Lincolnshire Free Press.

"His lessons on self-sacrifice are well worth careful attention."—Ecclesias-

tical Gazette.

"It is this cheerful and healthful view of life, combined with a hatred of all bigotry and narrowness, that makes Professor Momerie's sermons the inspiration that crowded congregations find them. The man who can persuade people in these pessimistic days that God meant them to laugh and enjoy the life He has given them, and yet be in the truest sense of the word religious, is doing a service to the age which it greatly needs."—Literary World.

X.

THE FUTURE OF RELIGION;

AND OTHER ESSAYS.

Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

The reader will find in the longer essays the dashing thought and vigorous language characteristic of the author. Many idols of the religion of the past he casts down and breaks to atoms without mercy. Many a noble ideal of the religion of the future he sketches with eager enthusiasm."—Manchester Canadian

"Lucidity and the gift of condensation, or the power to put into a crisp sentence what many clever men require a few pages to express, are two of Dr Momerie's special gifts......It is not necessary to go over the essays contained in this volume in detail. No one who takes it up will put it down unfinished."—Lady's Pictorial.

XI.

JUST PUBLISHED.

IMMORTALITY;

AND OTHER SERMONS.

Crown 8vo, 5s.

WILLIAM BLACKWOOD & SONS, EDINBURGH AND LONDON.

And all Booksellers.



Catalogue

of

Messrs Blackwood & Sons'

Publications

PERIODS OF EUROPEAN LITERATURE: A Complete and

CONTINUOUS HISTORY OF THE SUBJECT. Edited by PROFESSOR SAINTS-BURY. In 12 crown 8vo vols., each 5s. net.

II. THE FLOURISHING OF ROMANCE AND THE RISE OF ALLEGORY. (12th and 13th Centuries.) By GEORGE SAINTS-BURY, M.A., Hon. LL.D., Aberdeen, Professor of Rhetoric and English Literature in Edinburgh University.

III. THE FOURTEENTH CENTURY. By F. J. SNELL.

IV. THE TRANSITION PERIOD. By G. GREGORY SMITH.
V. THE EARLIER RENAISSANCE. By THE EDITOR. [In the press.
VI. THE LATER RENAISSANCE. By DAVID HANNAY,
VIII. THE AUGUSTAN AGES. By OLIVER ELTON.

XI. THE ROMANTIC TRIUMPH. By T. S. OMOND.

The other Volumes are :-

I. THE DARK AGES . . Prof. W. P. Ker. VII. THE FIRST HALF OF THE SEVENTEENTH CENTURY . Prof. H. J. C. Grierson. IX. THE MID-EIGHTEENTH

CENTURY . . J. Hepburn Millar.

X. THE ROMANTIC REVOLT Prof. C. E. Vaughan. XII. THE LATER NINETEENTH CENTURY The Editor.

PHILOSOPHICAL CLASSICS FOR ENGLISH READERS.

Edited by WILLIAM KNIGHT, LL.D., Professor of Moral Philosophy in the University of St Andrews. Re-issue in Shilling Volumes.

Descartes, Prof. Mahaffy.
BUTLER Rev. W. L. Collins.
BERKELEY, Prof. Campbell Fraser.
FIGHTE, Prof. Adamson.
KANT, Prof. Wallace.
HAMILTON, Prof. Veitch.
HEGEL, The Master of Balliol.
LEIBNIX, John Theodore Merz.

. Prof. Flint. Hobbes, . Prof. Croom Robertson. HUME, Prof. Knight SPINOZA, . . Principal Caird BACON: Part I., . Prof. Nichol BACON: Part II., . Prof. Nichol Locke, . Prof. Campbell Fraser Oct.

FOREIGN CLASSICS FOR ENGLISH READERS. Edited by

Mrs OLIPHANT. CHEAP RE-ISSUE. In limp cloth, fcap. 8vo, price 1s. each.

DANTE, by the Editor. — VOLTAIRE, by General Sir E. B. Hamley, K.C.B. — PASCAL, by Principal Tulloch. — PETRARCH, by Henry Reeve, C.B.—GOETHE, by A. Hayward, Q.C.—MOLIÈRE, by the Editor and F. Tarver, M.A.—MONTAIGNE, by Rev. W. L. Collins.—RABELAIS, by Sir Walter Besant. — CALDERON, by E. J. Hasell.—Saint Simon, by C. W. Collins.

CERVANTES, by the Editor. - CORNEILLE AND RACINE, by Henry M. Trollope. — MADAME DE SÉVIGNÉ, by Miss Thackeray. — LA FONTAINE, AND OTHER FRENCH
FABULISTS, by Rev. W. Lucas Collins,
M.A.—SCHILLER, by James Sime, M.A.
—TASSO, by E. J. Hasell.—ROUSSEAU,
by Henry Grey Graham.—ALFRED DE
MUSSET, by C. F. Oliphant.

ANCIENT CLASSICS FOR ENGLISH READERS. Edited by

the REV. W. LUCAS COLLINS, M.A. CHEAP RE-ISSUE. In limp cloth, fcap. 8vo, price 1s. each.

Contents of the Series .- HOMER: ILIAD, by the Editor .- Homen: Odyssey, by the Editor.—HERODOTUS, by G. C. Swayne.— CESAR, by Anthony Trollope.—VIRGIL, by the Editor. — Horace, by Sir Theodore Martin. — Æschylus, by Bishop Copleston. ——Xenophon, by Sir Alex. Grant. — Clcero, by the Editor. — Sophocles, by C. W. Collins .- PLINY, by Rev. A. Church and W. J. Brodribb.—ÉURIPIDES, by W. B. Donne.— JUVENAL, by E. Walford. - ARISTOPHANES, by the Editor .- HESIOD AND THEOGNIS, by J. Davies.—Plautus and Terence, by the Editor. — Tacitus, by W. B. Donne.—Lucian, by the Editor.—Plato, by C. W. Collins. — GREEK ANTHOLOGY, by Lord Neaves.—Livy, by the Editor.—Ovid, by Rev. A. Church. — CATULLUS, TIBULUS, AND FROPERTIUS, by J. Davies.—DEMOS-THENES, by W. J. Brodribb.—ARRISTOTLE, by Sir Alex. Grant.—THUCYDIDES, by the Editor.—Lucretius, by W. H. Mallock.— Pindar, by Rev. F. D. Morice.

CATALOGIE

OF

MESSRS BLACKWOOD & SONS' PUBLICATIONS

ALISON.

History of Europe. By Sir Archibald Alison, Bart., D.C.L. 1. From the Commencement of the French Revolution to

the Battle of Waterloo.

LIBRARY EDITION, 14 vols., with Portraits. Demy 8vo, £10, 10s. Another Edition, in 20 vols. crown 8vo, £6. People's Edition, 13 vols. crown 8vo, £2, 11s.

2. Continuation to the Accession of Louis Napoleon. LIBRARY EDITION, 8 vols. 8vo, £6, 7s. 6d.

Propers's Edition, 8 vols. crown 8vo. 34s.

Epitome of Alison's History of Europe. Thirtieth Thou-

sand, 7s. 6d. Atlas to Alison's History of Europe. By A. Keith Johnston. LIBRARY EDITION, demy 4to, £3, 3s. PEOPLE'S EDITION, 31s. 6d.

Life of John Duke of Marlborough. With some Account of his Contemporaries, and of the War of the Succession. Third Edition. 2 vols. 8vo. Portraits and Maps, 30s.

Essays: Historical, Political, and Miscellaneous. 3 vols. demy 8vo, 45s.

ACROSS FRANCE IN A CARAVAN: Being some Account OF A JOURNEY FROM BORDEAUX TO GENOA IN THE "ESCARGOT," taken in the Winter 1889-90. By the Author of 'A Day of my Life at Eton.' With fifty Illustrations by John Wallace, after Sketches by the Author, and a Map. Cheap Edition,

demy 8vo, 7s. 6d.

ACTA SANCTORUM HIBERNLÆ; Ex Codice Salmanticensi.

Nunc primum integre edita opera Caroli de Smedt et Josephi de Backer, e JOANNE PATRICIO MARCHIONE BOTHAE. In One handsome 4to Volume, bound in half roxburghe, £2, 2s.; in paper cover, 31s. 6d.

LO. A Sketch of the Natural History (Vertebrates) of the British Islands. By F. G. Aflalo, F.R.G.S., F.Z.S., Author of 'A Sketch of the Natural History of Australia, &c. With numerous Illustrations by Lodge and Benneth. Crown 8vo. 6s. net. AFLALO.

AFRICANDER - ENGLISH, ENGLISH - AFRICANDER. Handy Vocabulary for the use of English-Speaking people in South Africa. In 1 vol. small 8vo. [In the press.

IAN. Manures and the Principles of Manuring. By C. M. Aikman, D.Sc., F.R.S.E., &c., Professor of Chemistry, Glasgow Veterinary College; Examiner in Chemistry, University of Glasgow, &c. Crown 8vo, 6s. 6d.

AIKMAN. Farmyard Manure: Its Nature, Composition, and

Treatment. Crown Svo, 1s. 6d.

Christ the Protestant; and other Sermons. By ALMOND. HELY HUTCHINSON ALMOND, M.A. Oxon., Hon. LL.D. Glasgow; Head-master of ANCIENT CLASSICS FOR ENGLISH READERS.

Edited by Rev. W. Lucas Collins, M.A. Price 1s. each. For List of Vols. see p. 2.

AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF A CHILD. Crown 8vo, 6s.

AYTOUN.

Lays of the Scottish Cavaliers, and other Poems. By W. EDMONDSTOUNE AYTOUN, D.C.L., Professor of Rhetoric and Belles-Lettres in the University of Edinburgh. New Edition. Fcap. 8vo, 3s. 6d.

CHEAP EDITION. 1s. Cloth, 1s. 3d.

An Illustrated Edition of the Lays of the Scottish Cavaliers.

From designs by Sir Nost. Paron. Oheaper Edition. Small 4to, 10s. 6d.
Bothwell: a Poem. Third Edition. Fcap., 7s. 6d.
Poems and Ballads of Goethe.

Aytoun and Sir Theodore Martin, K.C.B. Third Edition. Fcap., 6s.

Memoir of William E. Aytoun, D.C.L. By Sir THEODORE MARTIN, K.C.B. With Portrait. Post 8vo, 12s.

BARBOUR. Thoughts from the Writings of R. W. BARBOUR. Pott 8vo, limp leather, 2s. 6d. net.

BEDFORD & COLLINS. Annals of the Free Foresters, from

BEDFORD & COLLINS. Annals of the Free Foresters, from 1856 to the Present Day. By W. K. R. Bedford, W. E. W. Collins, and other Contributors. With 55 Portraits and 59 other Illustrations. Demy 8vo, 21s. met BELLESHEIM. History of the Catholic Church of Scotland. From the Introduction of Christianity to the Present Day. By Alphons Bellesheim, D.D., Canon of Aix-la-Chapelle. Translated, with Notes and Additions, by D. Oswald Hunder Blair, O.S.B., Monk of Fort Augustus. Cheap Edition. Complete in 4 vols. damy 8vo, with Maps. Price 21s. net.

BENTINCK. Racing Life of Lord George Cavendish Bentinck, M.P., and other Reminiscences. By John Kent, Private Trainer to the Goodwood Stable. Edited by the Hon. Francis Lawley. With Twenty-three full.

wood Stable. Edited by the Hon. Francis Lawley. With Twenty-three full-page Plates, and Facsimile Letter. Third Edition. Demy 8vo, 25s.

BLACKIE. John Stuart Blackie: A Biography. By Anna M. STODDART.

POPULAR EDITION. With Portrait. Crown 8vo, 6s.

BLACKWOOD.

Annals of a Publishing House. William Blackwood and his

Sons; Their Magazine and Friends. By Mrs OLIPHANT. With Four Portraits. Third Edition Demy 8vo. Vols. I. and II. £2, 2s.

Annals of a Publishing House. Vol. III. John Blackwood. By his Daughter Mrs Gerald Portre. With 2 Portraits and View of Strathtyrum. Demy 8vo, 21s. Cheap Edition. Demy 8vo, 7s. 6d.

Blackwood's Magazine, from Commencement in 1817 to March 1901. Nos. 1 to 1025, forming 168 Volumes.

Tales from Blackwood. First Series. Price One Shilling each, in Paper Cover. Sold separately at all Railway Bookstalls.

They may also be had bound in 12 vols., cloth, 18s. Half calf, richly gilt, 30s.
Or the 12 vols. in 6, rozburghe, 21s. Half red morocco, 28s.

Tales from Blackwood. Second Series. Complete in Twenty-

four Shilling Parts. Handsomely bound in 12 vols., cloth, 30s. In leather back, roxburghe style, 37s. 6d. Half calf, gilt, 52s. 6d. Half morocco, 55s.

Tales from Blackwood. Third Series. Complete in Twelve Shilling Parts. Handsomely bound in 6 vols., cloth, 15s.; and in 12 vols. cloth, 18s. The 6 vols. in roxburghe, 21s. Half calf, 25s. Half morocco, 28s.

BLACKWOOD.

Travel, Adventure, and Sport. From 'Blackwood's Magazine.
Uniform with 'Tales from Blackwood.' In Twelve Parts, each price 1s. Handsomely bound in 6 vols., cloth, 15s. And in half calf. 25s.

New Educational Series. See separate Educational Catalogue.

New Uniform Series of Novels (Copyright). Crown 8vo, cloth. Price 3s. 6d. each. Now ready:—

WENDERHOLME. By P. G. Hamerton. THE STORY OF MARGRÉDEL. By D. Storrar REATA. By E. D. Gerard. Meldrum.

MISS MARJORIBANKS. By Mrs Oliphant. THE PERPETUAL CURATE, and THE RECTOR By the Same.

SALEM CHAPEL, and THE DOCTOR'S FAMILY. By the Same.

A SENSITIVE PLANT. By E. D. Gerard. LADY LEE'S WIDOWHOOD. By General Sir E. B. Hamley.

KATIE STEWART, and other Stories. By Mrs Oliphant.

VALENTINE AND HIS BROTHER. By the Same. Sons and Daughters. By the Same.

BEGGAR MY NEIGHBOUR. By the Same. THE WATERS OF HERCULES. By the Same. FAIR TO SEE. By L. W. M. Lockhart. MINE IS THINE. By the Same. DOUBLES AND QUITS. By the Same. ALTIORA PETO. By Laurence Oliphant. PICCADILLY. By the Same. With Illustrations. LADY BABY. By D. Gerard. THE BLACKSMITH OF VOE. By Paul Cushing.

MARMORNE. By P. G. Hamerton.

MY TRIVIAL LIFE AND MISFORTUNE. By A Plain Woman.

Poor Nellie. By the Same.

in size and binding.

Standard Novels. Uniform complete in one Volume.

FLORIN SERIES, Illustrated Boards. Bound in Cloth, 2s. 6d.

Tom Cringle's Log. By Michael Scott. THE CRUISE OF THE MIDGE. By the Same. CYRIL THORNTON. By Captain Hamilton. Annals of the Parish. By John Galt. THE PROVOST, &c. By the Same. SIR ANDREW WYLIE. By the Same. THE ENTAIL. By the Same.

MISS MOLLY. By Beatrice May Butt. REGINALD DALTON. By J. G. Lockhart.

PEN OWEN. By Dean Hook. ADAM BLAIR. By J. G. Lockhart. LADY LEE'S WIDOWHOOD. By General Sir E. B. Hamley. SALEM CHAPEL. By Mrs Oliphant. THE PERPETUAL CURATE. By the Same. MISS MARJORIBANKS. By the Same, JOHN: A Love Story. By the Same.

SHILLING SERIES, Illustrated Cover. Bound in Cloth, 1s. 6d.

THE RECTOR, and THE DOCTOR'S FAMILY. | SIR FRIZZLE PUMPKIN, NIGHTS AT MESS, &c.

By Mrs Oliphant. THE LIFE OF MANSIE WAUCH. By D. M.

PENINSULAR SCENES AND SKETCHES. F. Hardman.

THE SUBALTERN. By VALERIUS: A Roman Story. By J. G. Lockhart.

BON GAULTIER'S BOOK OF BALLADS. Fifteenth Edition. With Illustrations by Doyle, Leech, and Crowquill. Fcap. 8vo, 5s.

HILL. Questions and Answers in the Theory and Practice of Military Topography. By Major J. H. BOWHILL. Crown 8vo, 4s. 6d. net. BOWHILL. Portfolio containing 34 working plans and diagrams, 3s. 6d. net.

Our Stolen Summer. The Record of a Roundabout Tour. By Mary Stuart Boyd. With 170 Illustrations by A. S. Boyd. Large demy 8vo, 18s.

BRADDON. Thirty Years of Shikar. By Sir Edward Braddon, K.C.M.G. With Illustrations by G. D. Giles, and Map of Oudh Forest Tracts and Nepal Terai. Demy 8vo, 18s.

BROWN. The Forester: A Practical Treatise on the Planting and Tending of Forest-trees and the General Management of Woodlands. By JAMES BROWN, LL.D. Sixth Edition, Enlarged. Edited by JOHN NISBET, D. C.C., Author of 'British Forest Trees,' &c. In 2 vols. royal 8vo, with 350 Illustrations 42s. net.

A Manual of Botany, Anatomical and Physiological. BROWN. For the Use of Students. By ROBERT BROWN, M.A., Ph.D. Crown 8vo, with

numerous Illustrations, 12s. 6d.

BRUCE.

In Clover and Heather. Poems by Wallace Bruce. New and Enlarged Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

A limited number of Copies of the First Edition, on large hand-made paper, 12s. 6d. Addresses and Poems. Crown 8vo, 5s.

Large Paper Edition, limited to 100 copies, price 21s.

BURBIDGE.

Domestic Floriculture, Window Gardening, and Floral Decorations. Being Practical Directions for the Propagation, Culture, and Arrangement of Plants and Flowers as Domestic Ornaments. By F. W. Burbidge. Second Edition. Crown 8vo with numerous Illustrations, 7s. 6d.

Cultivated Plants: Their Propagation and Improvement.
Including Natural and Artificial Hybridisation, Raising from Seed Cuttings,
and Layers, Grafting and Budding, as applied to the Families and Genera in
Cultivation. Crown 8vo, with numerous Illustrations, 12s. 6d

BURKE. The Flowering of the Almond Tree, and other Poems. By CHRISTIAN BURKE. Pott 4to, 5s.

BURROWS.

The History of the Foreign Policy of Great Britain. MONTAGU BURROWS, Chichele Professor of Modern History in the University of Oxford; Captsin R.N.; F.S.A., &c.; "Officier de l'Instruction Fublique," France. New Edition, revised. Crown 8vo, 6s.

BURTON.

The History of Scotland: From Agricola's Invasion to the Extinction of the last Jacobite Insurrection. By John Hill Burton, D.C.L., Historiographer-Royal for Scotland. Cheaper Edition. In 8 vols. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d. each.

The Book-Hunter. A New Edition, with specially designed Title-page and Cover by Joseph Brown. Printed on antique laid paper. Post

The Scot Abroad. Uniform with 'The Book-Hunter.' Post 8vo, 3s. 6d.

BUTE.

The Roman Breviary: Reformed by Order of the Holy Ceumenical Council of Trent; Published by Order of Pope St Pius V.; and Revised by Clement VIII. and Urban VIII.; together with the Offices since granted. Translated out of Latin into English by John, Marquess of Bute, K.T. New Edition, Revised and Enlarged. In 4 vols. crown 8vo, and in 1 vol. crown 4to.

The Altus of St Columba. With a Prose Paraphrase and Notes. By JOHN, MARQUESS OF BUTE, K.T. In paper cover, 2s. 6d.

BUTE, MACPHAIL, AND LONSDALE. The Arms of the Royal and Parliamentary Burghs of Scotland. By John, Marquess of Bute, K.T., J. R. N. Macphall, and H. W. Lonsdalle. With 131 Engravings on wood, and 11 other Illustrations. Crown 4to. £2, 2s. net.

BUTE, STEVENSON, AND LONSDALE. The Arms of the Baronial and Police Burghs of Scotland. By John, Marquess of Butk, K.T., J. H. Stevenson, and H. W. Lonsdale. With numerous Illustrations. In 1 vol. crown 4to.

BUTLER.

The Ancient Church and Parish of Abernethy, Perthshire. An Historical Study. By Rev. D. Butler, M.A., Minister of the Parish. With 13 Collotype Plates and a Map. Crown 4to, 25s. net.

John Wesley and George Whitefield in Scotland; or, The Influence of the Oxford Methodists on Scottish Religion. Crown Svo, 5s.

Henry Scougal and the Oxford Methodists; or, The Influence of a Religious Teacher of the Scottish Church. Fcap. 8vo, 2s. 6d.

BUTT.

Theatricals: An Interlude. By Beatrice May Butt. Crown

Miss Molly. Cheap Edition, 2s.

Eugenie. Crown 8vo, 6s. 6d.

Elizabeth, and other Sketches. Crown 8vo, 6s.

Delicia. New Edition. Crown 8vo, 2s. 6d.

CADELL. Sir John Cope and the Rebellion of 1745. By the late General Sir Robert Cadell, K.C.B., Royal (Madras) Artillery. With 2 Maps, Crown 4to, 12s, 6d, net.

Seventy-One not Out, the Reminiscences of William Caffyn, Member of the All England and United Elevens, of the Surrey County Eleven, of the Anglo-American Team of 1859, and of the Anglo-Australian Teams of 1861 and 1863. Edited by "Mid-On." With numerous Illustrations. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

CAIRD. D. Sermons. By JOHN CAIRD, D.D., Principal of the University of Glasgow. Seventeenth Thousand. Fcap. 8vo, 5s.

CALDWELL. Schopenhauer's System in its Philosophical Significance (the Shaw Fellowship Lectures, 1893). By WILLIAM CALDWELL, M.A., D.Sc., Professor of Moral and Social Philosophy, Northwestern University, U.S.A.; formerly Assistant to the Professor of Logic and Metaphysics, Edin., and Examiner in Philosophy in the University of St Andrews. Demy 8vo, 10s. 6d. net.

CALLWELL.

The Effect of Maritime Command on Land Campaigns since Waterloo. By Major C. E. Callwell, R.A. With Plans. Post Svo, 6s. net.

Tactics of To-day. Crown 8vo, 2s. 6d. net.

PBELL. Balmerino and its Abbey. A Parish History With Notices of the Adjacent District. By James Campbell, D.D., F.S.A. Scot., Minister of Balmerino; Author of 'A History of the Celtic Church in Scotland.' A New Edition. With an Appendix of Illustrative Documents, a Map of the Parish, and upwards of 40 Illustrations. Demy 8vo, 30s. net.

CAPES.

From Door to Door. By BERNARD CAPES. Crown 8vo, 6s.

Our Lady of Darkness. Crown 8vo, 6s.

The Adventures of the Comte de la Muette during the Reign of Terror. Crown 8vo. 6s.

CHARTERIS. Canonicity; or, Early Testimonies to the Existence and Use of the Books of the New Testament. Based on Kirchhoffer's 'Quellensammlung.' Edited by A. H. CHARTERIS, D.D., Professor of Biblical Criticism in the University of Edinburgh. 8vo, 18s.

CHESNEY. The Dilemma. By General Sir George Chesney,

K.C.B. A New Edition. Crown 8vo, 2s.

CHRISTISON. Early Fortifications in Scotland: Motes, Camps, and Forts. Being the Rhind Lectures in Archæology for 1894. By DAVID CHRISTISON, M.D., F.R.C.P.E., Secretary of the Society of Antiquaries of Scotland. With 379 Plans and Illustrations and 3 Maps. Feap. 4to, 21s. net.

CHURCH AND FAITH. Being Essays on the Teaching of the Church of England. By Dr Wace, Dean Farrar, Dr Wright, Rev. R. E. Bartlett, Principal Drury, Canon Meyrick, Professor Moule, Chancellor Smith, Monradge Barlow, Sir Richard Temple, Bart, E. H. Blakenry, and J. T. Tomeinson. With Introduction by the Lord Bishop of Hereford. Second Edition. Post 8vo, 7s. 6d. net.

CHURCH SERVICE SOCIETY.

A Book of Common Order: being Forms of Worship issued by the Church Service Society. Seventh Edition, carefully revised. In 1 vol. crown 8vo, cloth, 3s. 6d.; French morocco, 5s. Also in 2 vols. crown 8vo, cloth, 4s.; French morocco, 6s. 6d.

Daily Offices for Morning and Evening Prayer throughout

the Week. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

Order of Divine Service for Children. Issued by the Church Service Society. With Scottish Hymnal. Cloth, 3d.

CLIFFORD. Bush-Whacking, and other Sketches. By HUGH CLIFFORD, C.M.G., Author of 'In Court and Kampong,' 'Studies in Brown Humanity,' &c. Crown 8vo, 6s.

CLOUSTON. The Lunatic at Large. By J. STORER CLOUSTON.

Fourth Impression. Crown 8vo, 6s. CHEAP EDITION, 6d.

COLLINS.

A Scholar of his College. By W. E. W. Collins. Crown 8vo, 6s.

The Don and the Undergraduate. A Tale of St Hilary's

College, Oxford. Second Impression. Crown 8vo, 6s.

COLQUHOUN. The Moor and the Loch. Containing Minute Instructions in all Highland Sports, with Wanderings over Crag and Corrie, Flood and Fell. By John Colquioun. Cheap Edition. With Illustrations. Demy 8vo, 10s. 6d.

CONDER.

The Bible and the East. By Lieut. - Col. C. R. CONDER, R.E., LL.D., D.C.L., M.R.A.S., Author of 'Tent Work in Palestine,' &c. With Illustrations and a Map. Crown 8vo, 5s.

The Hittites and their Language. With Illustrations and

Map. Post 8vo, 7s. 6d.

The Hebrew Tragedy. Crown 8vo, 3s.

CONRAD. Lord Jim. A Tale. By JOSEPH CONRAD, Author of 'The Nigger of the Narcissus,' 'An Outcast of the Islands,' 'Tales of Unrest,' &c. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

CONSTABLE. Marrables' Magnificent Idea. By F. C. Constable, Author of 'The Curse of Intellect,' &c. Crown 8vo, 6s.

R. L. Stevenson. "Modern English Writers." CORNFORD. By L. Cope Cornford. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 2s. 6d.

COUNTY HISTORIES OF SCOTLAND. In demy 8vo vol-

umes of about 350 pp. each. With Maps. Price 7s. 6d. net.

Prehistoric Scotland and its Place in European Civilisation. Being a General Introduction to the "County Histories of Scotland." By ROBERT MUNRO, M.A., M.D., Author of 'Prehistorie Problems,' 'The Lake-Dwellings of Europe,' &c. With numerous Illustrations.

Fife and Kinross. By ÆNEAS J. G. MACKAY, LL.D., Sheriff

of these Counties.

Dumfries and Galloway. By Sir Herbert Maxwell, Bart., M.P. Second Edition.

Moray and Nairn. By CHARLES RAMPINI, LL.D., Sheriff of Dumfries and Galloway.

Inverness. By J. Cameron Lees, D.D.

Roxburgh, Selkirk, and Peebles. By Sir George Douglas,

Aberdeen and Banff. By WILLIAM WATT, Editor of Aberdeen 'Daily Free Press.'

Edinburgh and Linlithgow. By WILLIAM KIRK DICKSON, Advocate. [In the press. CRAIK. A Century of Scottish History. From the Days before the '45 to those within living Memory. By Sir Henry Craik, K.C.B., M.A. (Oxon.), Hon. LL.D. (Glasgow). 2 vols. demy 8vo, 30s. net.

CRAWFORD. Saracinesca. By F. Marion Crawford, Author of 'Mr Isaacs,' &c., &c. Crown 8vo, 8s. 6d. People's Edition, 6d.

CRAWFORD.

The Doctrine of Holy Scripture respecting the Atonement.

By the late Thomas J. Crawford, D.D., Professor of Divinity in the University
of Edinburgh. Fifth Edition, 8vo, 12s.

The Fatherhood of God, Considered in its General and Special Aspects. Third Edition, Revised and Enlarged. 8vo, 9s.

The Preaching of the Cross, and other Sermons. 8vo, 7s. 6d.

The Mysteries of Christianity. Crown 8vo, 7s. 6d.

CROSS. Impressions of Dante, and of the New World; with a Few Words on Bimetallism. By J. W. Cross, Editor of 'George Eliot's Life, as related in her Letters and Journals.' Post 8vo, 6s.

CUMBERLAND. Sport on the Pamirs and Turkistan Steppes.

By Major C. S. CUMBERLAND. With Map and Frontispiece. Demy Svo, 10s. 6d.

CURSE OF INTELLECT. Third Edition. Fcap. 8vo, 2s. 6d. net.

CUSHING. The Blacksmith of Voe. By PAUL CUSHING, Author of 'The Bull i' th' Thoru,' 'Cut with his own Diamond.' Chesp Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

DAVIES. Norfolk Broads and Rivers; or, The Waterways, Lagoons, and Decoys of East Anglia. By G. Christopher Davies. Illustrated with Seven full-page Plates New and Cheaper Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

DESCARTES. The Method, Meditations, and Principles of Philosophy of Descartes. Translated from the Original French and Latin. With a New Introductory Essay, Historical and Critical, on the Cartesian Philosophy. By Professor Verreir, LL.D., Glasgew University. Eleventh Edition. 6s. 6d.

DICKSON. Life of Major-General Sir Robert Murdoch Smith, K.C.M.G., Royal Engineers. By his Son-in-law, WILLIAM KIRK DICKSON. With Portraits and other Illustrations. In 1 vol. demy 8vo. [In the press.

DOUGLAS.

The Ethics of John Stuart Mill. By CHARLES DOUGLAS, M.A., D.Sc., M.P., late Lecturer in Moral Philosophy, and Assistant to the Professor of Moral Philosophy in the University of Edinburgh. Post 8vo, 6s. net.

John Stuart Mill: A Study of his Philosophy. Crown 8vo, 4s. 6d. net.

DRUMMOND. A King's Pawn. A Romance. By Hamilton Drummond, Author of 'A Man of His Age,' 'For the Religion,' &c. Crown 8yo. 6s.

DZIEWICKI. Entombed in Flesh. By M. H. DZIEWICKI. Grown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

ELIOT.

George Eliot's Life, Related in Her Letters and Journals.

Arranged and Edited by her husband, J. W. Cross. With Portrait and other Illustrations. Third Edition. 3 vols. post 8vo, 42s.

George Eliot's Life. With Portrait and other Illustrations.

New Edition, in one volume. Crown 8vo, 7s. 6d.

ELIOT.

Works of George Eliot (Library Edition). 10 volumes, small demy Svo. With Photogravure Frontispieces, from Drawings by William Hatherell, R.I., Edgar Bundy, R.I., Byam Shaw, R.I., A. A. Van Anrooy, Maurice Greiffenhagen, Claude A. Shepperson, R.I., E. J. Sullivan, and Max Cowper. Gilt top, 10s. 6d. net each volume.

The following is the order of publication:-ADAM BEDE. Ready. FELIX HOLT, THE RADICAL. [September. THE MILL ON THE FLOSS. MIDDLEMARCH. [October. [November. ROMOLA. DANIEL DERONDA. SCENES OF CLERICAL LIFE. JUBAL; THE SPANISH GYPSY. [December. SILAS MARNER; BROTHER JACOB; THE LIFTED VEIL. ESSAYS; THEOPHRASTUS SUCH. [December. [August.

Novels by George Eliot (Warwick Edition). 10 volumes, cloth, limp, gilt top, 2s. net per volume; leather, limp, gilt top, 2s. 6d. net per volume;

leather, gilt top, with book-marker, 3s. net per volume.

The Volumes will be published in the following order:—
ADAM BEDE. 826 pp. [Ready.]
THE MILL ON THE FLOSS. 828 pp. [Ready.]
FELIX HOLT, THE RADICAL.
718 pp. [Ready.]
ROMOLA. 900 pp. [Ready.]
DANIEL DERONDA. 2 vols.

ROMOLA. 900 pp.

[Ready.] DANIEL DERONDA. 2 VOIS.

Scenses of Clerical Life. 624 pp. [July.] 616 and 636 pp.

Works of George Eliot (Standard Edition). 21 volumes,

crown 8vc. In buckram cloth, gilt top, 2s. 6d. per vol.; or in roxburghe binding, 3s. 6d. per vol.

ADAM BEDE. 2 VOIS.—THE MILL ON THE FLOSS. 2 VOIS.—FELIX HOLT, THE RADICAL. 2 VOIS.—ROMOLA. 2 VOIS.—SCENES OF CLERICAL LIFE. 2 VOIS.—MIDDLEMARCH. 3 VOIS.—DANIEL DERONDA. 3 VOIS.—SILAS MARNER. 1 VOI.—JUBAL. 1 VOI.—THE SPANISH GIPSY. 1 VOI.—ESSAYS. 1 VOI.—THEOPHRASTUS SUCH. 1 VOI.

Life and Works of George Eliot (Cabinet Edition). 24 volumes, crown 8vo, price £6. Also to be had handsomely bound in half and full calf. The Volumes are sold separately, bound in cloth, price 5s. each.

Novels by George Eliot. New Cheap Edition. Printed on fine laid paper, and uniformly bound.

Adam Bede. 3s. 6d.—The Mill on the Floss. 3s. 6d.—Scenes of Clerical Life. 3s.—Silas Marner: the Weaver of Raveloe. 2s. 6d.—Felix Holt, the Radical. 3s. 6d.—Romols. 3s. 6d.—Middlemarch. 7s. 6d.—Daniel Deronds. 7s. 6d.

Essays. New Edition. Crown 8vo, 5s.

Impressions of Theophrastus Such. New Edition. Crown

The Spanish Gypsy. New Edition. Crown 8vo, 5s.

The Legend of Jubal, and other Poems, Old and New.

Silas Marner. New Edition, with Illustrations by Reginald

Birch. Crown 8vo, 6s.

Scenes of Clerical Life. Pocket Edition, 3 vols. pott 8vo, 1s. net each; bound in leather, 1s. 6d. net each. Illustrated Edition, with 20 Illustrations by H. R. Millar, crown 8vo, 2s. 6d. Popular Edition, royal 8vo, in paper cover, price 6d.

Adam Bede. Pocket Edition. In 3 vols. pott 8vo, 3s. net; bound in leather. 4s. 6d. net. Popular Edition, royal 8vo, in paper cover, price 6d. New Edition, crown 8vo, paper cover, 1s.; crown 8vo, with Illustrations, cloth, 2s.

The Mill on the Floss. Popular Edition. Royal Svo, in paper cover, price 6d.

Wise, Witty, and Tender Sayings, in Prose and Verse. Selected from the Works of George Emor. New Edition. Fcap. Svo., 38. 6d.

ELTON. The Augustan Ages. "Periods of European Literature." By Oliver Etton, B.A., Lecturer in English Literature, Owen's College, Manchester. Crown 8vo, 5s. net.

FAHIE. IE. A History of Wireless Telegraphy. 1838-1900. By J. J. Fahle, Member of the Institution of Electrical Engineers, London, and of the Société Internationale des Electriciens, Paris; Author of 'A History of Electric Telegraphy to the Year 1837,' &c. With Illustrations. New Edition, Revised to date. Crown 8vo, 6s.

FAITHS OF THE WORLD, The. A Concise History of the Great Religious Systems of the World. By various Authors. Crown 8vo, 5s.

The Lost Stradivarius. By J. MEADE FALKNER.

Author of Monfleet &c. Second Edition. Grown 8vo, 6s.

FAYRER. Recollections of My Life. By Surgeon-General Sir Joseph Fayrer, Bart., K.C.S.I., LL.D., M.D., F.R.S., Q.H.P., &c.; Honorary Physician to the Prince of Wales, Physician in Ordinary to the Duke of Edinburgh, &c. With Portraits and other Illustrations. Demy 8vo, 21s.

FERGUSSON. Scots Poems. By Robert Fergusson. With

Photogravure Portrait. Pott 8vo. gilt top, bound in cloth. 1s. net.

FERRIER.

Philosophical Works of the late James F. Ferrier, B.A.
Oxon., Professor of Moral Philosophy and Political Economy, St Andrews.
New Edition. Edited by Sir ALEXANDER GRANT, Bart., D.C.L., and Professor
LUSHINGTON. 3 vols. crown Svo, 34s. 8d.
Institutes of Metaphysic. Third Edition. 10s. 6d.

Lectures on the Early Greek Philosophy. 4th Edition. 10s. 6d. Philosophical Remains, including the Lectures on Early Greek Philosophy. New Edition. 2 vols. 24s.

FLINT.

Historical Philosophy in France and French Belgium and Switzerland. By ROBERT FLINT, Corresponding Member of the Institute of France, Hon. Member of the Royal Society of Palermo, Professor in the University of Edinburgh, &c. 8vo, 21s.

Agnosticism. Being the Croall Lecture for 1887-88. (In the press. Theism. Being the Baird Lecture for 1876. Ninth Edition, Revised. Crown 8vo, 7s. 6d.

Anti-Theistic Theories. Being the Baird Lecture for 1877. Fifth Edition. Crown 8vo, 10s. 6d.
Sermons and Addresses. Demy 8vo, 7s. 6d.

FORD.

6s. 6d. net.

'Postle Farm. By George Ford. Crown 8vo, 6s. The Larramys. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

FORD. A History of Cambridge University Cricket Club. By W. J. Ford, Author of 'A History of Middlesex County Cricket,' &c. With Illustrations. In 1 vol. demy 8vo.

FOREIGN CLASSICS FOR ENGLISH READERS. Edited

by Mrs OLIPHANT. Price 1s. each. For List of Volumes, see rage 2. FORREST. Sepoy Generals: Wellington to Roberts. W. Forrest, C.I.E., India Office. With Portraits. In 1 vol. demy 8vo.

[In the press. FRANCILLON. Gods and Heroes; or, The Kingdom of Jupiter.

By R. E. Francillon. With 8 Illustrations. Crown 8vo, 5s.

FRANCIS. Among the Untrodden Ways. By M. E. Francis (Mrs Francis Blundell), Author of 'In a North Country Village,' 'A Daughter of the Soil' 'Frieze and Fustian,' &c. Crown 8vo, 8s. 6d.

FRANKLIN. My Brilliant Career. By MILES FRANKLIN.

Crown Svo, 6s. BR. Philosophy of Theism. Being the Gifford Lectures delivered before the University of Edinburgh in 1894-96. By ALEXANDER CAMPBELL FRASER, D.C.L. Oxford; Emeritus Professor of Logic and Metaphysics in the University of Edinburgh. Second Edition, Revised. Post 8vo, FRASER.

FREEMAN. Lieut. - Colonel Thomas Arthur Freeman. Memoir. With Portraits and other Illustrations. In 1 vol. small 4to. In the press.

FRENCH COOKERY FOR ENGLISH HOMES. Third Impression. Crown 8vo, limp cloth, 2s. 6d. Also in Imp leather, 3s.

FULTON. The Sovereignty of the Sea. A Historical Account of the Claims to the Exclusive Dominion of the British Seas and of the Evolution of the Territorial Waters, with special reference to the Rights of Fishing. By T. Wemyss Fulton, M.D., F.R.S.E., &c., &c., Scientific Superintendent of Fisheries. With numerous Illustrations and Maps. In 1 vol. demy 8vo.

GALT. Novels by John Galt. With General Introduction and Prefatory Notes by S. R. CROCKETT. The Text Revised and Edited by D. STORRAR MELDRUM, Author of 'The Story of Margrédel.' With Photogravure Illustrations from Drawings by John Wallace. Fcap. Svo, 3s. net each vol.

Annals of the Parish, and The Avrshire Legatees. 2 vols .- Sir Andrew WYLIE. 2 vols.—The Entail; or, The Lairds of Grippy. 2 vols.—The Provost, and The Last of the Lairds. 2 vols. See also STANDARD NOVELS, p. 5.

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE CHURCH OF SCOTLAND. Scottish Hymnal, With Appendix Incorporated. Published for use in Churches by Authority of the General Assembly. 1. Large type, cloth, red edges, 2s. 6d.; French morocco, 4s. 2. Bourgeois type, limp cloth, 1s.; French morocco, 2s. 3. Nonpareil type, cloth, red edges, 6d.; French morocco, 1s. 4d. 4. Paper covers, 3d. 5. Sunday-School Edition, paper covers, 1d., cloth, 2d. No. 1, bound with the Psalms and Paraphrases, French morocco, 8s. Nc. 2, bound with the Psalms and Paraphrases, cloth, 2s.; French morocco, 8s. Prayers for Social and Family Worship. Prepared by a

Special Committee of the General Assembly of the Church of Scotland. Entirely

New Edition. Revised and Enlarged. Fcap. 8vo, red edges, 2s. Prayers for Family Worship. A Selection of Four Weeks' Prayers. New Edition. Authorised by the General Assembly of the Church of Scotland. Fcap. 8vo, red edges, 1s. 6d.

One Hundred Prayers. Prepared by the Committee on Aids to Devotion. 16mo, cloth limp, 6d.

Morning and Evening Prayers for Affixing to Bibles. Prepared by the Committee on Aids to Devotion. 1d. for 6, or 1s. per 100.

Prayers for Soldiers and Sailors. Prepared by the Committee on Aids to Devotion. Thirtieth Thousand. 16mo, cloth limp. 2d. net.

GERARD.

Reata: What's in a Name. By E. D. GERARD. Cheap Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

Beggar my Neighbour. Cheap Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d. The Waters of Hercules Cheap Edition. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d. A Sensitive Plant. Crown 8vo 3s. 6d.

GERARD.

The Extermination of Love: A Fragmentary Study in Erotics. By E. GERARD (Madame de Laszowska). Crown 8vo, 6s.

A Foreigner. An Anglo-German Study. Crown 8vo, 6s.
The Land beyond the Forest. Facts, Figures, and Fancies
from Transylvania. With Maps and Illustrations 2 vols. post 8vo, 25s.

Bis: Some Tales Retold. Crown 8vo, 6s.

A Secret Mission. 2 vols. crown 8vo, 17s.

An Electric Shock, and other Stories. Crown 8vo. 6s.

GERARD.

By DOROTHEA GERARD (Madame Longard de One Year. Longgarde). Crown 8vo, 6s.

The Impediment. Crown 8vo, 6s. A Forgotten Sin. Crown 8vo, 6s.

A Spotless Reputation. Third Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s. The Wrong Man. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

Lady Baby. Cheap Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

Recha. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

The Rich Miss Riddell. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

GERARD. Stonyhurst Latin Grammar. By Rev. John GERARD. Second Edition. Fcap. 8vo, 3s.

By Chaplain-General G. R. Gleig. GLEIG. The Subaltern. A New Edition. Crown 8vo, 2s.

GOODALL. Association Football. By John Goodall. by S. Archibald de Bear. With Diagrams. Fcap. 8vo, 1s. GORDON CUMMING.

At Home in Fiji. By C. F. Gordon Cumming. Edition, post 8vo. With Illustrations and Map. 7s. 6d.

A Lady's Cruise in a French Man-of-War. New and Cheaper

Edition. 8vo. With Illustrations and Map. 12s. 6d.
re-Fountains. The Kingdom of Hawaii: Its Volcanoes,
and the History of its Missions. With Map and Illustrations. 2 vols. 8vo, 25s. Fire-Fountains. Wanderings in China. Cheap Edition. 8vo, with Illustrations, 6s.

Granite Crags: The Yō-semité Region of California. Illus-

trated with 8 Engravings. New and Cheaper Edition. 8vo, 8s. 6d.

Manual of the Elections (Scot.) (Corrupt and Illegal Practices) Act, 1890. With Analysis, Relative Act of Sederunt, Appendix containing the Corrupt Practices Acts of 1883 and 1885, and Copious Index. By J. EDWARD GRAHAM, Advocate. 8vo, 4s. 6d.

GRAHAM. Harlaw of Sendle. By John W. Graham, Author of 'Neæra.' Crown 8vo, 6s.

GRAND.

A Domestic Experiment. By SARAH GRAND, Author of 'The Heavenly Twins,' 'Ideala: A Study from Life.' Crown 8vo, 6s. Singularly Deluded. Crown 8vo, 6s.

GRANT. Bush-Life in Queensland. By A. C. GRANT. New Edition. Crown 8vo 6s.

Old Creeds and New Beliefs. By W. H. GRAY, D.D., Edinburgh. Crown 8vo, 5s.

GRIER.

In Furthest Ind. The Narrative of Mr-Edward Carlyon of Ellswether, in the County of Northampton, and late of the Honourable East India Company's Service, Gentleman. Wrote by his own hand in the year of grace 1697. Edited, with a few Explanatory Notes, by Sydney C. Grier. Post 8vo, 6s.

His Excellency's English Governess. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

An Uncrowned King: A Romance of High Politics. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

Peace with Honour. Second Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

A Crowned Queen: The Romance of a Minister of State. Crown 8vo, 6s.

Like Another Helen. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

GRIER.

The Kings of the East: A Romance of the near Future. Second Impression. Crown 8vo, 6s.

The Warden of the Marches. Crown 8vo, 6s.

GROOT.

A Lotus Flower. By J. Morgan-de-Groot. Crown 8vo, 6s. Even If. Crown 8vo, 6s.

DANE. How we Escaped from Pretoria. By Captain AYLMER HALDANE, D.S.O., 2nd Battalion Gordon Highlanders. With Three Portraits and Two Plans of the Staats Model School, Pretoria. Seventh Impression Computers. HALDANE. sion. Crown Svo, 1s.

HALIBURTON. Horace in Homespun.

A New Edition, containing additional Poems.

By Hugh Haliburton.

With 26 Illustrations by A. S.

Boyd. Post 8vo, 6s. net.

HAMERTON.

Wenderholme: A Story of Lancashire and Yorkshire Life. By P. G. Hamerton, Author of 'A Painter's Camp.' New Edition. Crown

Marmorne. New Edition. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

HAMILTON.

Lectures on Metaphysics. By Sir William Hamilton, Bart., Professor of Logic and Metaphysics in the University of Edinburgh. Edited by the Rev. H. L. Mansel, B.D., Ll.D., Dean of St Paul's; and John Verror, M.A., Ll.D., Professor of Logic and Rhetoric, Glasgow. Seventh Edition. 2 vols. 8vo, 24s.

Lectures on Logic. Edited by the SAME. Third Edition.

Revised. 2 vols., 24s.

Discussions on Philosophy and Literature, Education and

University Reform. Third Edition. 8vo, 21s.

Memoir of Sir William Hamilton, Bart., Professor of Logic and Metaphysics in the University of Edinburgh. By Professor Verton, of the University of Glasgow. 8vo, with Portrait, 18s.

Sir William Hamilton: The Man and his Philosophy. Two Lectures delivered before the Edinburgh Philosophical Institution, January and

February 1883. By Professor VEITCH. Crown 8vo, 2s.

HAMLEY.

The Operations of War Explained and Illustrated. By General Sir Edward Bruce Hamley, K.C.B., K.C.M.G. Second Edition of Fifth Edition. With Maps and Plans. 4to, 30s. Also in 2 parts: Part I., 10s. 6d.; Part II., 21s.

National Defence; Articles and Speeches. Cheap Edition.

Post 8vo. 2s. 6d.

Shakespeare's Funeral, and other Papers. Post 8vo, 7s. 6d. Thomas Carlyle: An Essay. Second Edition. Crown 8vo.

On Outposts. Second Edition. 8vo. 2s.

Wellington's Career; A Military and Political Summary. Crown 8vo, 2s.

Lady Lee's Widowhood. New Edition. Crown 8vo, 2s. Our Poor Relations. A Philozoic Essay. With Illustrations, chiefly by Ernest Griset. Crown Svo, cloth gilt, 3s. 6d.

HANNAY. The Later Renaissance. 'Periods of European

Literature.' By David Hannay. Crown 8vo, 5s. net.

HARDMAN. Peninsular Scenes. By Frederick Hardman. A New Edition. Crown 8vo, 2s.

HARRADEN.

Ships that Pass in the Night. By Beatrice Harraden. Twentieth Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

Third Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s. The Fowler.

In Varying Moods: Short Stories. Thirteenth Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

Hilda Strafford, and The Remittance Man. Two Californian Stories. Eleventh Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

Untold Tales of the Past. With 40 Illustrations by H. R. Millar. Square crown 8vo. gilt top. 6s.

HARRÍS.

From Batum to Baghdad, via Tiflis, Tabriz, and Persian Kurdistan. By Walter B. Harris, F.R.G.S., Author of 'The Land of an African Sultan; Travels in Morocco,' &c. With numerous Illustrations and 2 Maps. Demy 8vo, 12s.

Tafilet. The Narrative of a Journey of Exploration to the Atlas Mountains and the Oases of the North-West Sahara. With Illustrations by Maurice Romberg from Sketches and Photographs by the Author, and Two Mars.

Maps. Demy 8vo, 12s.

A Journey through the Yemen, and some General Remarks upon that Country. With 3 Maps and numerous Illustrations by Forestier and Wallace from Sketches and Photographs taken by the Author. Demy 8vo, 16s.

The Works of the Right Rev. Dr George Hay, Bishop of Edinburgh. Edited under the Supervision of the Right Rev. Bishop Strain. With Memoir and Portrait of the Author. 5 vols. crown 8vo, bound in extra cloth, £1, 1s. The following Volumes may be had separately—viz.:

The Devout Christian Instructed in the Law of Christ from the Written

Word. 2 vols., 8s.—The Pious Christian Instructed in the Nature and Practice of the Principal Exercises of Piety. 1 vol., 3s.

HEMANS.

The Poetical Works of Mrs Hemans. Copyright Edition. Royal 8vo, with Engravings, cloth, gilt edges, 7s. 6d.

Royal Svo, with Engravings, cloth, gilt edges, 7s. 6d.

Select Poems of Mrs Hemans. Fcap., cloth, gilt edges, 3s.

HENDERSON. The Young Estate Manager's Guide. By

Richard Henderson, Member (by Examination) of the Royal Agricultural
Society of England, the Highland and Agricultural Society of Scotland, and
the Surveyors' Institution. With an Introduction by R. Patrick Wright,
F.R.S.E., Professor of Agriculture, Glasgow and West of Scotland Technical
College. With Plans and Diagrams. Crown 8vo, 5s.

HENSMAN. A History of Rhodesia. Compiled from Official
Sources. By Howard Hensman. With a Map. Crown 8vo, 6s.

HEWISON. The Isle of Bute in the Olden Time. With Illus-Minister of Rothesay. Vol. I., Celtic Saints and Heroes. Crown 4to, 15s. net.

HOME PRAYERS. By Ministers of the Church of Scotland and Members of the Church Service Society. Second Edition. Fcap. 8vo, 3s.

Admiral of the Fleet Sir Geoffrey Phipps Hornby, HORNBY. G.C.B. A Biography. By Mrs Fred. Egerton. With Three Portraits. Demy 8vo 16s.

HUEFFER. The Cinque Ports. A Historical and Descriptive Record. By F. Madox Hueffer. With Fourteen Photogravure Plates and Nineteen Page and Text Illustrations from Drawings by William Hyde. Handsomely bound in art canvas, gilt top, with special design in gold on side board by Mr Hyde. Royal 4to, £3, 3s. net.

The British Fleet: Is it Sufficient and Efficient? By ARCHIBALD S. HURD. With an Introduction by Admiral the Hon. Sir Edmund R. Fremantle, G.C.B., C.M.G. Second Impression. 1s.

HUTCHINSON. Hints on the Game of Golf. By HORACE G. HUTCHINSON. Ninth Edition, Enlarged. Fcap. 8vo cloth, 1s.

HUTTON. Frederick Uvedale. By Edward Hutton. Crown 8vo, 6s.

HYSLOP. The Elements of Ethics. By James H. Hyslop,
Ph.D., Instructor in Ethics, Columbia College, New York, Author of 'The
Elements of Logic.' Post 8vo, 7s. 6d. net.

IDDESLEIGH. Life, Letters, and Diaries of Sir Stafford Northcote, First Earl of Iddesleigh. By ANDREW LANG. With Three Portraits and a View of Pynes. Third Edition. 2 vols. post 8vo, 31s. 6d. POPULAR EDITION. With Portrait and View of Pynes. Post 8vo, 7s. 6d.

JAMES. Modern Strategy. By Captain Walter H. James, late R.E., P.S.C. With Plans. In 1 vol. royal 8vo. [In the press.]

A Strange Career. The Life and Adventures of John Gladwyn Jebb. By his Widow. With an Introduction by H. Rider Haggard, and an Electrogravure Portrait of Mr Jebb. Third Edition. Demy 8vo, 10s. 6d. Cheap Edition. With Illustrations by John Wallace. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

Some Unconventional People. By Mrs GLADWYN JEBB, Author of 'Life and Adventures of J. G. Jebb.' With Illustrations. Cheap

Edition. Paper covers, 1s.

JOHNSTON.

The Chemistry of Common Life. By Professor J. F. W. JOHNSTON. New Edition, Revised. By ARTHUR HERBERT CHURCH, M.A. Oxon.; Author of 'Food; its Sources, Constituents, and Uses,' &c. With Maps and 102 Engravings. Crown 8vo, 7s. 6d.

Elements of Agricultural Chemistry. An entirely New Edition from the Edition by Sir Charles A. Cameron, M.D., F.R.C.S.I., &c. Revised and brought down to date by C. M. Airman, M.A., B.Sc., F.R.S.E., Professor of Chemistry, Glasgow Veterinary College. 17th Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s. 6d.

Catechism of Agricultural Chemistry. An entirely New Edition from the Edition by Sir Charles A. Cameron. Revised and Enlarge by C. M. Airman, M.A., &c. 95th Thousand. With numerous Illustrations.

Crown 8vo, 1s.

JOHNSTON. Agricultural Holdings (Scotland) Acts, 1883 to 1900; and the Ground Game Act, 1880. With Notes, and Summary of Procedure, &c. By Christopher N. Johnston, M.A., Advocate. Fifth Edition. Demy 8vo, 6s. nct.

JOKAI. Timar's Two Worlds. By Maurus Jokai. Authorised Translation by Mrs Hegan Kennard. Cheap Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

JONES. The Prison-House. A Romance. By Jane Jones. Second Impression. Crown 8vo, 6s.

KENNEDY. Hurrah for the Life of a Sailor! Fifty Years in the Royal Navy. By Admiral Sir William Kennedy, K.C.B., Author of 'Sport, Travel, and Adventure in Newfoundland and the West Indies.' With Illustrations from Sketches by the Author. Fifth Impression. Demy 8vo, 12s. 6d.

KINGLAKE.

History of the Invasion of the Crimea. By A. W. KINGLAKE.
With an Index to the Complete Work. Complete in 9 vols., crown 8vo. Cheap
reissue at 3s. td. sach. The volumes will be issued monthly, beginning in Mariana
Abridged Edition for Military Students. Revised by

— Abridged Edition for Military Students. Revised by Lieut.-Col. Str George Syderman Clarke, K.C.M.G., R.E. Demy Svo, 15s. net.
— Atlas to accompany above. Folio, 9s. net.

KINGLAKE.

History of the Invasion of the Crimea. Demy 8vo. Vol. VI. Winter Troubles. With a Map, 16s. Vols. VII. and VIII. From the Morrow of Inkerman to the Death of Lord Raglan With an Index to the Whole Work. With Maps and Plans. 28s

Eothen. A New Edition, uniform with the Cabinet Edition

Of the 'History of the Invasion of the Crimea.' 6s.

CHEAPER EDITION. With Portrait and Biographical Sketch of the Author.

Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d. Popular Edition, in paper cover, 1s. net

KINNEAR. Our House of Commons: Its Realities and Romance. By Alfred Kinnear, Author of 'To Modder River with Methuen,' 'Three Months of Home Rule,' &c. Second Edition, Revised. Crown Svo,

KNEIPP. My Water-Cure. As Tested through more than Thirty Years, and Described for the Healing of Diseases and the Preservation of Health. By Sebastian Kneipp, Parish Priest of Wörlshofen (Bavaria). With a Portrait and other Illustrations. Authorised English Translation from the Thirtieth German Edition, by A. de F. Cheap Edition. With an Appendix, containing the Latest Developments of Pfarrer Kneipp's System, and a Preface by E. Gerard, Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

LANG

A History of Scotland from the Roman Occupation. Andrew Lang. Vol. I. With a Photogravure Frontispiece and Four Maps. Second Edition. Demy 8vo, 15s. net.

Life, Letters, and Diaries of Sir Stafford Northcote, First Earl of Iddesleigh. With Three Portraits and a View of Pynes. Third Edition.

2 vols. post 8vo, 31s. 6d.

POPULAR EDITION. With Portrait and View of Pynes. Post 8vo, 7s. 6d.

The Highlands of Scotland in 1750. From Manuscript 104 in the King's Library, British Museum. With an Introduction by Andrew Lang. Crown 8vo, 5s. net.

LANG. The Expansion of the Christian Life. The Duff Lecture for 1897. By the Rev. J. Marshall Lang, D.D. Crown 8vo, 5s. LAWSON. The Country I Come From. By Henry Lawson,

Author of 'While the Billy Boils,' &c. Crown 8vo, 6s.

. A Handbook of the Sheriff and Justice of Peace Small Debt Courts. With Notes, References, and Forms. By J. M. Lees, Advocate,

Sheriff of Stirling, Dumbarton, and Clackmannan. 8vo, 7s. 6d.

LENNOX AND STURROCK. The Elements of Physical Education: A Teacher's Manual. By David Lennox, M.D., late R.N., Medical Director of Dundee Public Gymnasium, and Alexander Sturrock, Superintendent of Dundee Public Gymnasium, Instructor to the University of St Andrews and Dundee High School. With Original Musical Accompaniments to the Drill by HARRY EVERITT LOSEBY. With 130 Illustrations. Crown 8vo, 4s.

Dr Southwood Smith: A Retrospect. By his Granddaughter, Mrs C. L. LEWES. With Portraits and other Illustrations. Post

LINDSAY.

Recent Advances in Theistic Philosophy of Religion. By Rev.

James Lindsay, M.A., B.D., B.Sc., F.R.S.E., F.G.S., Minister of the Parish of
St Andrew's, Kilmarnock. Demy 8vo, 12s. 6d. net.

The Progressiveness of Modern Christian Thought. Crown

Essays, Literary and Philosophical. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

The Significance of the Old Testament for Modern Theology. Crown 8vo, 1s. net.

The Teaching Function of the Modern Pulpit. Crown 8vo, 1s. net

LOCKHART.

Doubles and Quits. By LAURENCE W. M. LOCKHART. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d. A New Edition, Crown 8vo, 2s.

Fair to See. New Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

Mine is Thine. New Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

LOCKHART.

The Church of Scotland in the Thirteenth Century. The Life and Times of David de Bernham of St Andrews (Bishop), A.D. 1239 to 1253. With List of Churches dedicated by him, and Dates. By WILLIAM LOCKHART, A.M., D.D., F.S.A. Scot., Minister of Colinton Parish. 2d Edition. 800, 68.

Dies Tristes Sermons for Seasons of Sorrow. Crown 8vo, 6s.

LORIMER.

The Institutes of Law: A Treatise of the Principles of Jurisprudence as determined by Nature. By the late James Lorimer, Professor of Public Law and of the Law of Nature and Nations in the University of Edinburgh. New Edition, Revised and much Enlarged. 8vo, 18s.

The Institutes of the Law of Nations. A Treatise of the Jural Relation of Separate Political Communities. In 2 vols. 8vo. Volume I.,

price 16s. Volume II., price 20s.

LYNDEN - BELL. A Primer of Tactics, Fortification, Topography, and Military Law. By Capt. C. P. Lynden - Bell. With Diagrams. Grown 8vo, 3s. net.

MABIE.

Essays on Nature and Culture. By Hamilton Wright Mabie. With Portrait. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Books and Culture. Fcap. 8vo, 3s. 6d.

MCCHESNEY.

Miriam Cromwell, Royalist: A Romance of the Great Rebellion. By Dora Greenwell McChesney, Crown 8vo, 6s.

Kathleen Clare: Her Book, 1637-41. With Frontispiece, and

five full-page Illustrations by James A. Shearman. Crown 8vo, 6s.

M'COMBIE. Cattle and Cattle-Breeders. By William M'Combie,
Tillyfour. New Edition, Enlarged, with Memoir of the Author by James
MacDonald, F.R.S.E., Secretary Highland and Agricultural Society of Scotland.
Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

M'CRIE.

Works of the Rev. Thomas M'Crie, D.D. Uniform Edition.
4 vols. crown 8vo, 24s.

Life of John Knox. Crown 8vo, 6s. Another Edition, 3s. 6d.

Life of Andrew Melville. Crown 8vo, 6s.

History of the Progress and Suppression of the Reformation in Italy in the Sixteenth Century. Crown 8vo, 4s.

History of the Progress and Suppression of the Reformation in Spain in the Sixteenth Century. Crown Svo, 3s. 6d.

MACDONALD. A Manual of the Criminal Law (Scotland) Procedure Act, 1887. By Norman Doran Macdonald. Revised by the Lord Justice-Clerk. 8vo, 10s. 6d.

MACDOUGALL AND DODDS. A Manual of the Local Government (Scotland) Act, 1894. With Introduction, Explanatory Notes, and Copions Index. By J. Patten MacDougall, Legal Secretary to the Lord Advocate, and J. M. Dodds. Tenth Thousand, Revised. Crown 8vo, 2s. 6d. net.

MACINTOSH. Rabbi Jesus: Sage and Saviour. By WILLIAM MACINTOSH, M.A., Ph.D., Author of 'Through Doubt's Dark Vale,'

&c. Post 8vo, 3s. 6d. net.

MACINTYRE. Hindu-Koh: Wanderings and Wild Sports on and beyond the Humalayas. By Major-General Donald Macintyre, V.C., late Prince of Wales' Own Goorkhas, F.R.G.S. Dedicated to H.R.H. the Prince of Wales. New and Cheaper Edition, Revised with numerous Illustrations. Post

MACKENZIE. Studies in Roman Law. With Comparative Views of the Laws of France, England, and Scotland. By Lord Mackenzie, one of the Judges of the Court of Session in Scotland. Seventh Edition, Edited by JOHN KIRKPATRICK, M.A., LL.B., Advocate, Professor of History in the University of Edinburgh. 8vo, 21s.

M'PHERSON. Golf and Golfers. Past and Present. GORDON M'PHERSON, Ph.D., F.R.S.E. With an Introduction by the Right Hon.

A. J. Balfour, and a Portrait of the Author. Fcap. 8vo, 1s. 6d.

MAGNUS AND HEADLAM.

Prayers from the Poets. A Calendar of Devotion. Edited by LAURIE MAGNUS, M.A., Author of 'A Primer of Wordsworth,' &c.; and CECIL HEADLAM, B.A., Author of 'Prayers of the Saints,' 'The Story of Nuremberg,' &c. Second Edition. Fcap. 8vo, 5s.

Flowers of the Cave. Fcap. 8vo, 5s.

MAIN. Three Hundred English Sonnets. Chosen and Edited by David M. Main. New Edition. Fcap. 8vo, 3s. 6d.

MAIR.

A Digest of Laws and Decisions, Ecclesiastical and Civil, relating to the Constitution, Practice, and Affairs of the Church of Scotland, With Notes and Forms of Procedure. By the Rev. William Mark, D.D., Minister of the Parish of Earlston. New Edition, Revised. Crown 8vo, 9s. net.

Speaking; or, From Voice Production to the Platform and Pulpit. Second Edition, Revised. Crown 8vo. 3s.

MALCOLM. The Calendar of Empire. A Tribute to Lives, Deeds, and Words that have gained Glory for Great and Greater Britain. By IAN MALCOLM, M.P. Small square Svo, vellum covers, 5s, net. LARGE PAPER EDITION. 15s. net.

MARSHMAN. History of India. From the Earliest Period to the present time. By JOHN CLARK MARSHMAN, C.S.I. Third and Cheaper Edition. Post 8vo, with Map, 6s.

MARTIN.

The Æneid of Virgil. Books I.-VI. Translated by Sir Theo-DORE MARTIN, K.C.B. Post 8vo, 7s. 6d. ethe's Faust. Part I. Tra

Translated into English Verse. Goethe's Faust.

Second Edition, crown 8vo, 6s. Ninth Edition, fcap. 8vo, 3s. 6d. Goethe's Faust. Part II. Translated into English Verse.

Second Edition, Revised. Fcap. 8vo, 6s.

The Works of Horace. Translated into English Verse, with

Life and Notes. 2 vols. New Edition. Crown 8vo, 21s.

Poems and Ballads of Heinrich Heine. Done into English

Verse. Third Edition. Small crown 8vo, 5s.

The Song of the Bell, and other Translations from Schiller, Goethe, Uhland, and Others. Crown Svo, 7s. 6d. Madonna Pia: A Tragedy; and Three Other Dramas. Crown

8vo, 7s. 6d.

With Life and Notes. Second Edition, Revised Catullus. and Corrected. Post 8vo, 7s. 6d.

The 'Vita Nuova' of Dante. Translated, with an Introduction and Notes. Third Edition. Small crown 8vo, 5s.

Aladdin: A Dramatic Poem. By Adam Oehlenschlaeger.

Fcap. 8vo, 5s. Correggio: A Tragedy. By OEHLENSCHLAEGER. With Notes.

Fcap. 8vo, 3s.

MARTIN.

Helena Faucit (Lady Martin). By Sir Theodore Martin, K.C.B., K.C.V.O. With Five Photogravure Plates. Second Edition. Demy 8vo, 10s. 6d. net.

On some of Shakespeare's Female Characters. By HELENA FAUCIT, Lady MARTIN. Dedicated by permission to Her Most Gracious Majesty the Queen. With a Portrait by Lehmann. Sixth Edition, with a new Pretace. Demy 8vo, 7s. 6d.

MARWICK. Observations on the Law and Practice in regard to Municipal Elections and the Conduct of the Business of Town Councils and Commissioners of Police in Scotland. By Sir James D. Marweek, LL.D., Town-Clerk of Glasgow. Royal 8vo, 80s.

MATHESON.

Can the Old Faith Live with the New? or, The Problem of Evolution and Revelation. By the Rev. GEORGE MATHESON, D.D. Third Edition. Crown 8vo, 7s. 6d.

The Psalmist and the Scientist; or, Modern Value of the Reli-

gious Sentiment. Third Edition. Crown 8vo, 5s.

Spiritual Development of St Paul. Fourth Edition. Cr. 8vo, 5s. The Distinctive Messages of the Old Religions. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 5s.

Sacred Songs. New and Cheaper Edition. Crown 8vo, 2s. 6d.

MAXWELL.

The Honourable Sir Charles Murray, K.C.B. A Memoir.

By the Right Hon. Sir Herbert Maxwell, Bart, M.P., F.S.A., &c., Author of
'Passages in the Life of Sir Lucian Elphin.' With Five Portraits. Demy 8vo, 18s.

Life and Times of the Rt. Hon. William Henry Smith, M.P.

With Portraits and numerous Illustrations by Herbert Railton, G. L. Seymour, and Others. 2 vols. demy 8vo, 25s.

POPULAR EDITION. With a Portrait and other Illustrations. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d. Dumfries and Galloway. Being one of the Volumes of the County Histories of Scotland. With Four Maps. Second Edition. Demy 8vo, 7s. 6d. net.

Scottish Land-Names: Their Origin and Meaning. the Rhind Lectures in Archæology for 1893. Post 8vo, 6s.

Meridiana: Noontide Essays. Post 8vo, 7s. 6d. Post Meridiana: Afternoon Essays. Post 8vo, 6s.

A Duke of Britain. A Romance of the Fourth Century. Fourth Edition. Crown 8vo 6s.

The Chevalier of the Splendid Crest. Third Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

MELDRUM.

Holland and the Hollanders. By D. STORRAR MELDRUM. With numerous Illustrations and a Map. Second Edition. Square 8vo, 6s.

The Story of Margrédel: Being a Fireside History of a Fife-shire Family. Cheap Edition Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

Grey Mantle and Gold Fringe. Crown 8vo, 6s.

MELLONE. Studies in Philosophical Criticism and Construction. By Sydney Herbert Mellone, M.A. Lond., D.Sc. Edin. Post 8vo. 10s. 6d. net.

MERZ. A History of European Thought in the Nineteenth Century. By John Theodore Merz. Vol. I., post 8vo, 10s. 6d. net.

MEYNELL. John Ruskin. "Modern English Writers." By Mrs MEYNELL. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 2s. 6d.

MICHIE. The Englishman in China during the Victorian Era.

As Illustrated in the Life of Sir Rutherford Alcock, K.C.B., D.C.L., many years
Consul and Minister in China and Japan. By Alexander Michie, Author of
'The Siberian Overland Route,' Missionaries in China,' &c. With numerous Illustrations, Portraits, and Maps. 2 vols. demy 8vo, 38s. net.

MITCHELL.

The Scottish Reformation. Its Epochs, Episodes, Leaders, and Distinctive Characteristics. Being the Baird Lecture for 1899. By the late Alexander F. Mitcheell, D.D., Ell.D., Emeritus Professor of Church History in St Andrews University. Edited by D. Hay Fleeing, Ll.D. With a Biographical Sketch of the Author, by James Christie, D.D. Crown 8vo, 6s.

WRITERS. ENGLISH In handy crown 8vo

volumes, tastefully bound, price 2s. 6d. each.

Matthew Arnold. By Professor Saintsbury, Second Impression.

R. L. Stevenson. By L. Cope Cornford. Second Impression.

John Ruskin. By Mrs MEYNELL. Second Impression.

Tennyson. By Andrew Lang. In the press.

Thackeray. By Charles Whibley. In Preparation.

In the press.

GEORGE ELIOT. By Sidney Lee. BROWNING. By Prof. C. H. Herford, FROUDE. By John Oliver Hobbes. HUXLEY. By Edward Clodd, DICKENS. By W. E. Henley.

MOIR.

Life of Mansie Wauch, Tailor in Dalkeith. By D. M. Moir.
With Cruiksmank's Illustrations. Cheaper Edition. Crown 8vo, 2s. 6d.
Another Edition, without Illustrations, fcap. 8vo, 1s. 6d.

Domestic Verses. Centenary Edition. With a Portrait. Crown

8vo, 2s. 6d. net.

MOMERIE.

Defects of Modern Christianity, and other Sermons. By Rev. ALFRED WILLIAMS MOMERIE, M.A., D.Sc., LL.D. Fifth Edition. Crown 8vo, 5s. The Basis of Religion. Being an Examination of Natural Religion. Third Edition. Crown 8vo, 2s. 6d.

The Origin of Evil, and other Sermons. Eighth Edition,

Enlarged. Crown 8vo, 5s.

Personality. The Beginning and End of Metaphysics, and a Necessary Assumption in all Positive Philosophy. Fifth Ed., Revised. Cr. 8vo, 3s. Agnosticism. Fourth Edition, Revised. Crown 8vo, 5s.

Preaching and Hearing; and other Sermons. Fourth Edition.

Enlarged. Crown 8vo, 5s.

Belief in God. Fourth Edition. Crown 8vo. 3s.

Inspiration; and other Sermons. Second Edition, Enlarged. Crown 8vo, 5s.

Church and Creed. Third Edition. Crown 8vo, 4s. 6d.

The Future of Religion, and other Essays. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

The English Church and the Romish Schism. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 2s. 6d.

MONCREIFF.

The Provost-Marshal. A Romance of the Middle Shires.

the Hon. FREDERICK MONCREIFF. Crown 8vo, 6s.

The X Jewel. A Romance of the Days of James VI. Cr. 8vo, 6s. TAGUE. Military Topography. Illustrated by Practical Examples of a Practical Subject. By Major-General W. E. Montague, C.B., P.S.C., late Garrison Instructor Intelligence Department, Author of 'Campaigning in South Africa.' With Forty-one Diagrams. Crown Svo, 5s. MONTAGUE.

MORISON.

Rifts in the Reek. By JEANIE MORISON. With a Photogravure Frontispiece. Crown 8vo, 5s. Bound in buckram for presentation, 6s.

Doorside Ditties. With a Frontispiece. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

Æolus. A Romance in Lyrics. Crown 8vo. 3s.

There as Here. Crown 8vo, 3s.

* * A limited impression on hand-made paper, bound in vellum, 7s. 6d.

Selections from Poems. Crown 8vo, 4s. 6d.

Sordello. An Outline Analysis of Mr Browning's Poem. Crown 8vo, 3s.

Of "Fifine at the Fair," "Christmas Eve and Easter Day," and other of Mr Browning's Poems. Crown Svo, 3s.

The Purpose of the Ages. Crown 8vo, 9s.

Gordon: An Our-day Idyll. Crown 8vo, 3s.

Saint Isadora, and other Poems. Crown 8vo, 1s. 6d.

Snatches of Song. Paper, 1s. 6d.; cloth, 3s. Pontius Pilate. Paper, 1s. 6d.; cloth, 3s. Mill o' Forres. Crown 8vo, 1s.

Ane Booke of Ballades. Fcap. 4to, 1s.

MOWBRAY. Seventy Years at Westminster. With other Letters and Notes of the late Right Hon. Sir John Mowbray, Bart., M.P. Edited by his Daughter. With Portraits and other Illustrations. Large crown 8vo, 7s. 6d.

MUNRO.

Doom Castle: A Romance. By Neil Munro. Second Edition. Crown Svo, 6s.

John Splendid. The Tale of a Poor Gentleman and the Little Wars of Lorn. Sixth Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

The Lost Pibroch, and other Sheiling Stories. Fourth Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

MUNRO.

Rambles and Studies in Bosnia-Herzegovina and Dalmatia. With an Account of the proceedings of the Congress of Archeologists and Anthropologists held at Sarajovo in 1894. By ROBERT MINRO, M.A., M.D., F. G.S.E., Anthro of the 'Lake Dwellings of Europe,' &c. Second Edition, Revised and Enlarged. With numerous illustrations. Demy 8vo, 12s. 6d. net.

Prehistoric Problems. With numerous Illustrations. Demy

8vo, 10s. net.

Prehistoric Scotland and its Place in European Civilisation. Being a General Introduction to the "County Histories of Scotland." With numerous Illustrations. Crown 8vo, 7s. 6d. net.

On Valuation of Property. By WILLIAM MUNRO, M.A., Her Majesty's Assessor of Railways and Canals for Scotland. Second Edition, Revised and Enlarged. 8vo, 3s. 6d.

DOCH. Manual of the Law of Insolvency and Bankruptcy; Comprehending a Summary of the Law of Insolvency, Notour Bankruptcy, Composition Contracts, Trust-Deeds, Cossions, and Sequestrations; and the Winding-up of Joint-Stock Companies in Scotland: with Annotations on the various Insolvency and Bankruptcy Statutes; and with Forms of Procedure applicable to these Subjects. By James Membora, Member of the Faculty of Procurators in Glasgow. Fifth Edition, Revised and Enlarged. Svo, 12s. net.

MY TRIVIAL LIFE AND MISFORTUNE: A Gossip with no Plot in Particular. By A PLAIN WOMAN. Cheap Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

> By the SAME AUTHOR. POOR NELLIE. Cheap Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

NEAVES. Songs and Verses, Social and Scientific. By An Old Contributor to 'Maga.' By the Hon. Lord NEAVES. Fifth Edition. Fcap. NEUMAN.

The Uttermost Farthing. By B. PAUL NEUMAN. Author of 'The Interpreter's House,' 'The Supplanter,' 'A Villain of Parts. Crown 8vo, 6s.

NICHOLSON.

A Manual of Zoology, for the Use of Students. General Introduction on the Principles of Zoology. By Henry Alleyne Nicholson, M.D., D.Sc., F.L.S., F.G.S., Regius Professor of Natural History in the University of Aberdeen. Seventh Edition, Rewritten and Enlarged. Post 8vo, pp. 956, with 555 Engravings on Wood, 18s.

Text-Book of Zoology, for Junior Students. Fifth Edition. Rewritten and Enlarged. Crown 8vo, with 358 Engravings on Wood, 10s. 6d.

A Manual of Palæontology, for the Use of Students. With a General Introduction on the Principles of Palæontology. By Professor H. ALLEYNE NICHOLSON and RICHARD LYDEKKER, B.A. Third Edition, entirely Rewritten and greatly Enlarged. 2 vols. 8vo, £3,13s.

The Ancient Life-History of the Earth. An Outline of the Principles and Leading Facts of Palæontological Science. Crown 8vo, with 276

Engravings, 10s. 6d.

NICHOLSON.

Thoth. A Romance. By Joseph Shield Nicholson, M.A., D.Sc., Professor of Commercial and Political Economy and Mercantile Law in the University of Edinburgh. Third Edition. Crown 8vo, 4s. 6d.

A Dreamer of Dreams. A Modern Romance. Second Edi-

tion. Crown 8vo, 6s.

Recent Archæology and the Bible. Being the Croall Lectures for 1898. By the Rev. Thomas Nicol. D.D., Professor of Divinity and Biblical Criticism in the University of Aberdeen; Author of 'Recent Explorations in Bible Lands,' Demy 8vo, 9s. net.

NOVELS OF MILITARY LIFE. A Re-issue of Six Popular Novels. Printed on fine-laid paper and tastefully bound. Price 2s. each.

LADY LEE'S WIDOWHOOD. By General Sir E. B. Hamley.

DOUBLES AND QUITS. By Colonel L.

W. M. Lockhart. PENINSULAR SCENES. By Frederick Hardman.

THE SUBALTERN. By Chaplain-General G. R. Gleig. SIR FRIZZLE PUMPKIN. By Rev. James

THE DILEMMA. By General Sir George

White.

OLIPHANT.

Masollam: A Problem of the Period. A Novel. By LAURENCE

Chesney.

OLIPHANT. 3 vols. post 8vo, 25s. 6d.

Scientific Religion; or, Higher Possibilities of Life and
Practice through the Operation of Natural Forces. Second Edition. 8vo, 16s. Altiora Peto. Cheap Edition. Crown 8vo, boards, 2s. 6d.;

cloth, 3s. 6d. Illustrated Edition. Crown 8vo, cloth, 6s. ccadilly. With Illustrations.by Richard Doyle. New Edi-Piccadilly.

tion, 3s. 6d. Cheap Edition, boards, 2s. 6d.

Traits and Travesties; Social and Political. Post 8vo, 10s. 6d. Episodes in a Life of Adventure; or, Moss from a Rolling Stone. Cheaper Edition. Post 8vo, 3s. 6d.

Haifa: Life in Modern Palestine. Second Edition. 8vo, 7s. 6d. With Excursions in the Lebanon. The Land of Gilead.

With Illustrations and Maps. Demy 8vo, 21s.

Memoir of the Life of Laurence Oliphant, and of Alice Oliphant, his Wife. By Mrs M. O. W. OLIPHANT. Seventh Edition. 2 vols. post 8vo, with Portraits. 21s.

POPULAR EDITION. With a New Preface. Post 8vo, with Portraits. 7s. 6d.

OLIPHANT.

The Autobiography and Letters of Mrs M. O. W. Oliphant. Arranged and Edited by Mrs Harry Cognill. With Two Portraits. Cheap Edition. Crown 8vo, 8s.

Annals of a Publishing House. William Blackwood and his Sons; Their Magazine and Friends. By Mrs OLIPHANT. With Four Portraits. Third Edition. Demy 8vo. Vols. I. and II. £2, 2s.

A Widow's Tale, and other Stories. With an Introductory Note by J. M. BARRIE. Second Edition. Crown Svo, 6s.

Who was Lost and is Found. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

Miss Marjoribanks. New Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

The Perpetual Curate, and The Rector. New Edition, Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

Salem Chapel, and The Doctor's Family. New Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d

Chronicles of Carlingford. 3 vols. crown 8vo, in uniform

binding, gilt top, 3s. 6d. each. Katie Stewart, and other Stories. New Edition. Crown 8vo,

cloth, 3s. 6d.

Katie Stewart. Illustrated boards, 2s. 6d. Valentine and his Brother. New Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

Sons and Daughters. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

Two Stories of the Seen and the Unseen. The Open Door -Old Lady Mary. Paper covers, 1s.

OLIPHANT. Notes of a Pilgrimage to Jerusalem and the Holy

Land. By F. R. OLIPHANT. Crown 8vo, 8s. 6d.

ND. The Romantic Triumph. "Periods of European OMOND. Literature." By T. S. OMOND. Crown 8vo, 5s. net.

O'NEILL. Songs of the Glens of Antrim. By Moira O'NEILL. Fourth Impression. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

PATON.

Spindrift. By Sir J. NOEL PATON. Feap., cloth, 5s. Poems by a Painter. Fcap., cloth, 5s.

History of the Royal Company of Archers, the Queen's Body-Guard for Scotland. By James Balfour Paul, Advocate of the Scottish Bar. Crown 4to, with Portraits and other Illustrations. £2, 2s.

PEILE. Lawn Tennis as a Game of Skill. By Lieut.-Col. S. C. F. PEILE, B.S.C. Revised Edition, with new Scoring Rules. Fcap. 8vo, cloth, 1s.

PERIODS OF EUROPEAN LITERATURE. Edited by Professor Saintsbury. For List of Volumes, see page 2.

The Handy Book of Bees, and their Profitable PETTIGREW. Management. By A. Pettigrew. Fifth Edition, Enlarged, with Engravings. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

PFLEIDERER. Philosophy and Development of Religion. Being the Edinburgh Gifford Lectures for 1894. By Otto Pfleiderer, D.D., Professor of Theology at Berlin University. In 2 vols. post 8vo, 15s. net.

The Knight's Tale. By F. EMILY PHILLIPS, Author PHILLIPS. of 'The Education of Antonia.' Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

PHILOSOPHICAL CLASSICS FOR ENGLISH READERS. Edited by WILLIAM KNIGHT, LL.D., Professor of Moral Philosophy, University of St Andrews. Cheap Re-issue in Shilling Volumes.

[For List of Volumes, see page 2.

POLLARD. A Study in Municipal Government: The Corpora-tion of Berlin. By James Pollard, C.A., Chairman of the Edinburgh Public Health Committee, and Secretary of the Edinburgh Chamber of Commerce. Second Edition, Revised. Grown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

POLLOK. OK. The Course of Time: A Poem. By ROBERT POLLOK, A.M. New Edition. With Portrait. Fcap. Svo, gilt top, 2s. 6d.

PORT ROYAL LOGIC. Translated from the French; with Introduction, Notes, and Appendix. By Thomas Spencer Baynes, LL.D., Professor in the University of St Andrews. Tenth Edition, 12mo, 4s.

PRESTWICH. TWICH. Essays: Descriptive and Biographical. By Grace, Lady Prestruct, Author of 'The Harbour Bar' and 'Enga.' With a Memoir by her sister, Louisa E. Milne. With Illustrations. Demy Svo, 10s. 6d.

PRESTWICH. Life and Letters of Sir Joseph Prestwich, M.A., D.C.L., F.R.S. Formerly Professor of Geology in the University of Oxford. Written and Edited by his Wife. With Portraits and other Illustrations. Demy Svo, 21s.

PRINGLE. The Live Stock of the Farm. By Robert O. PRINGLE. Third Edition. Revised and Edited by James MacDonald. Crown 8vo, 7s. 6d.

PUBLIC GENERAL STATUTES AFFECTING SCOTLAND from 1707 to 1847, with Chronological Table and Index. 3 vols. large 8vo, £3, 3s.

PUBLIC GENERAL STATUTES AFFECTING SCOTLAND. COLLECTION OF. Published Annually, with General Index.

Talks with Old English Cricketers. PULLIN. By A. W. PULLIN ("Old Ebor"). With numerous Portraits. Crown 8vo. 6s.

RANJITSINHJI. The Jubilee Book of Cricket. By PRINCE RANJITSINHJI.

RANJUSINEJI.

EDITION DE LUXE. Limited to 350 Copies, printed on hand-made paper, and handsomely bound in buckram. Crown 4to, with 22 Photogravures and 85 full-page Plates. Each copy signed by Prince Ranjitsinhji. Price £5, 5s. net. FINE PAPER EDITION. Medium 8vo, with Photogravure Frontispiece and 106 full-page Plates on art paper. 25s. net.

POPULAR EDITION. With 107 full-page Illustrations. Sixth Edition. Large

crown 8vo. 6s.

SIXPENNY EDITION. With a selection of the Illustrations.

RANKIN.

Church Ideas in Scripture and Scotland. By James Rankin. D.D., Minister of Muthill; Author of 'Character Studies in the Old Testament, &c. Crown 8vo, 6s.

A Handbook of the Church of Scotland. An entirely New and much Enlarged Edition. Crown 8vo, with 2 Maps, 7s. 6d.

The First Saints. Post 8vo, 7s. 6d.

The Creed in Scotland. An Exposition of the Apostles' Creed. With Extracts from Archbishop Hamilton's Catechism of 1552, John Calvin's Catechism of 1556, and a Catena of Ancient Latin and other Hymns. Post 8vo, 7s. 6d.

The Worthy Communicant. A Guide to the Devout Obser-

vance of the Lord's Supper. Limp cloth, 1s. 3d.

The Young Churchman. Lessons on the Creed, the Commandments, the Means of Grace, and the Church. Limp cloth, 1s. 3d. First Communion Lessons. 25th Edition. Paper Cover, 2d.

A Hero of the Dark Continent. Memoir of Rev. Wm. Affleck Scott, M.A., M.B., C.M., Church of Scotland Missionary at Blantyre, British Central Africa. By W. Henry Rankine, B.D., Minister at Titwood. With a Portrait and other Illustrations. Cheap Edition. Crown 8vo, 2s.

ROBERTSON.

The Poetry and the Religion of the Psalms. The Croall Lectures, 1893-94. By James Robertson, D.D., Professor of Oriental Languages in the University of Glasgow. Demy 8vo, 12s.

The Early Religion of Israel. As set forth by Biblical Writers and Modern Critical Historians. Being the Baird Lecture for 1888-89. Fourth Edition. Crown 8vo, 10s. 6d.

ROBINSON. Wild Traits in Tame Animals. Being some Familiar Studies in Evolution. By Louis Robinson, M.D. With Illustrations by Stephen T. Dadd. Cheaper Edition. Demy 8vo, 6s.

A Claim on Klondyke. A Romance of the Arctic El Dorado. By Edward Roper, F.R.G.S., Author of By Track and Trail through Canada, &c. With 10 Full-page Illustrations. Crown 8vo, 6s.

SLYN. Twice Captured. A Record of Adventure during the Boer War. By the Right Hon. the Earl of Rosslyn. With 60 Illustrations. Third Edition. Post 8vo, 10s. 6d.

RUTLAND.

Notes of an Irish Tour in 1846. By the DUKE OF RUTLAND, G.C.B. (LORD JOHN MANNERS). New Edition. Crown 8vo, 2s. 6d.

Correspondence between the Right Honble. William Pitt and Charles Duke of Rutland, Lord-Lieutenant of Ireland, 1781-1787. With Introductory Note by John Duke of Rutland. 8vo, 7s. 6d.

RUTLAND.

Impressions of Bad-Homburg. Comprising a Short Account of the Women's Associations of Germany under the Red Cross. By the Duchess OF RUTLAND (LADY JOHN MANNERS). Crown 8vo, 1s. 6d.

Some Personal Recollections of the Later Years of the Earl of Beaconsfield, K.G. Sixth Edition. 6d. Employment of Women in the Public Service. 6d.

Some of the Advantages of Easily Accessible Reading and Recreation Rooms and Free Libraries. With Remarks on Starting and Main-taining them. Second Edition. Crown Svo, 1s. A Sequel to Rich Men's Dwellings, and other Occasional

Papers. Crown 8vo, 2s. 6d.

Encouraging Experiences of Reading and Recreation Rooms, Aims of Guilds, Nottingham Social Guide, Existing Institutions, &c., &c. Crown 8vo, 1s.

SAINTSBURY.

A History of Criticism and Literary Taste in Europe. From the Earliest Texts to the Present Day. By Gedrage Saintsbury, M.A. (Oxon.), Ifon. I.L.D. (Aberd.), Professor of Rhetoric and English Literature in the University of Edinburgh. In 3 vols. demy Svo. Vol. I.—Classical and Mediaval Criticism. 16s. net.

"Modern English Writers." By George Matthew Arnold. SAINTSBURY, M.A., Professor of Rhetoric and English Literature in Edinburgh

University. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 2s. 6d.

The Flourishing of Romance and the Rise of Allegory (12th and 13th Centuries). "Periods of European Literature." Crown 8vo, 5s. net. The Earlier Renaissance. "Periods of European Literature."

In 1 vol. crown 8vo. [In the press.

SCOTT. Tom Cringle's Log. By MICHAEL SCOTT. New Edition. With 19 Full-page Illustrations. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

SCUDAMORE. Belgium and the Belgians. By CYRIL SCUDA-MORE. With Illustrations. Square crown 8vo, 6s.

- SELKIRK. Poems. By J. B. Selkirk, Author of 'Ethics and Æsthetics of Modern Poetry,' 'Bible Truths with Shakespearian Parallels,' &c. New and Enlarged Edition. Crown 8vo, printed on antique paper, 6s.
- SELLAR'S Manual of the Acts relating to Education in Scotland. By J. Edward Graham, B.A. Oxon., Advocate. Ninth Edition. 8vo, 12s.6d. SETH.
 - Scottish Philosophy. A Comparison of the Scottish and German Answers to Hume. Balfour Philosophical Lectures, University of Edinburgh. By Andrew Seth (A. S. Pringle Pattison, LL.D.), Professor of Logic and Metaphysics in Edinburgh University. Third Edition.

Hegelianism and Personality. Balfour Philosophical Lectures.

Second Series, Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 5s.

Man's Place in the Cosmos, and other Essays. Post 8vo.

Two Lectures on Theism. Delivered on the occasion of the Sesquicentennial Celebration of Princeton University. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.

- SETH. A Study of Ethical Principles. By James Seth, M.A., Professor of Moral Philosophy in the University of Edinburgh. Fourth Edition, Revised, Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- Margaret Sim's Cookery. With an Introduction by L. B. WALFORD, Author of 'Mr Smith: A Part of his Life,' &c. Crown 8vo. 5s.
- SIMPSON. The Wild Rabbit in a New Aspect; or, Rabbit-Warrens that Pay. A book for Landowners, Sportsmen, Land Agents, Farmers, Gamekeepers, and Allotment Holders. A Record of Recent Experiments conducted on the Estate of the Right Hon. the Earl of Wharncliffe at Wortley Hall. By J. Simpson. Second Edition, Enlarged. Small crown 8vo, 5s.
- SIMPSON. Side-Lights on Siberia. Some account of the Great Siberian Iron Road: The Prisons and Exile System. By J. Y. Simpson, M.A., B.Sc. With numerous Illustrations and a Map. Demy 8vo, 16s.

SINCLAIR.

Mr and Mrs Nevill Tyson. By May Sinclair. Crown 8vo.

Audrey Craven. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

SKELTON.

The Table-Talk of Shirley. By Sir John Skelton, K.C.B., LL.D., Author of 'The Essays of Shirley.' With a Frontispiece. Sixth Edition, Revised and Enlarged Post 8vo, 7s. 6d.

The Table-Talk of Shirley. Second Series. With Illustra-

tions. Two Volumes. Second Edition. Post 8vo, 10s. net.

Maitland of Lethington; and the Scotland of Mary Stuart.

A History. Limited Edition, with Portraits. Demy 8vo, 2 vols., 28s. net.

The Handbook of Public Health. A New Edition, Revised by

James Patten MacDougall, Advocate, Secretary of the Local Government

Board for Scotland, Joint-Author of 'The Parish Council Guide for Scotland,
and Abijah Murray, Chief Clerk of the Local Government Board for Scotland,

The Public Health (Secretary) In Two Parts. Crown 8vo. Part I .- The Public Health (Scotland) Act, 1897, with Notes. 3s. 6d. net.
Part II.—Circulars of the Local Government Board, &c. [In preparation.

The Local Government (Scotland) Act in Relation to Public Health. A Handy Guide for County and District Councillors, Medical Officers, Sanitary Inspectors, and Members of Parochial Boards. Second Edition. With a new Preface on appointment of Sanitary Officers. Crown 8vo, 2s.

SMITH. The Transition Period. "Periods of European Literature." By G. GREGORY SMITH. Crown 8vo, 5s. net.

Retrievers, and how to Break them. By Lieutenant-Colonel Sir Henry Smith, K.C.B. With an Introduction by Mr S. E. Shirkley, President of the Kennel Club. Dedicated by special permission to H.R.H. the Duke of York. Cheaper Edition, enlarged. With additional Illustrations. Paper cover, 1s. net.

SMITH-WILLIAMS. The Magic of the Desert. A Romance.

By W. SMITH-WILLIAMS. Crown 8vo, 6s.

"Periods of European The Fourteenth Century. Literature." By F. J. SNELL. Crown 8vo, 5s. net.

SOLBE. Hints on Hockey. By F. De Lisle Solbé. English International Team: 1897, 1898, 1899, 1900. With Diagrams. Feap. 8vo, 1s.

"SON OF THE MARSHES, A."

From Spring to Fall; or, When Life Stirs. By "A Son of the Marshes." Cheap Uniform Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

Within an Hour of London Town: Among Wild Birds and their Haunts. Edited by J. A. Owen. Cheap Uniform Edition. Cr. 8vo, 3s. 6d. With the Woodlanders and by the Tide. Cheap Uniform Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

On Surrey Hills. Cheap Uniform Edition. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d. Annals of a Fishing Village. Cheap Uniform Edition. Crown

8vo, 3s. 6d.

SORLEY. The Ethics of Naturalism. Being the Shaw Fellow-ship Lectures, 1884. By W. R. Sorley, M.A., Fellow of Trinity College, Cam-bridge Professor of Moral Philosophy, University of Aberdeen. Crown 8vo, 6s.

SPIELMANN. Millais and his Works. By M. H. SPIELMANN, Author of 'History of Punch.' With 28 Full-page Illustrations. Large crown 8vo. Paper covers, 1s; in cloth binding, 2s. 6d.

SPROTT. The Worship and Offices of the Church of Scotland. By George W. Sprott, D.D., Minister of North Berwick. Crown 8vo, 6s.

STEEVENS.

Things Seen: Impressions of Men, Cities, and Books. By the late G. W. Steevens, Author of 'From Capetown to Ladysmith,' 'With Kitchener to Khartum,' 'In India,' &c. Edited by G. S. Street. With a Memoir by W. E. Henley, and a Photogravure reproduction of Collier's Portrait. Crown Svo, 6s.

From Capetown to Ladysmith, and Egypt in 1898. Memorial

Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

From Capetown to Ladysmith: An Unfinished Record of the South African War. Edited by Vernon Blackburn. With Maps. Crown Svo, 3s. 6d.

In India. With Map. Fourth Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s. With Kitchener to Khartum. With 8 Maps and Plans. Twenty-second Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

The Land of the Dollar. Fourth Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s. With the Conquering Turk. With 4 Maps. Cheap Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

Glimpses of Three Nations. Memorial Edition. Crown

STEPHENS.

The Book of the Farm; detailing the Labours of the Farmer, Farm-Steward, Ploughman, Shepherd, Hedger, Farm-Labourer, Field-Worker, and Cattle-man. Illustrated with numerous Portraits of Animals and Engravings of Implements, and Plans of Farm Buildings. Fourth Edition. Revised, and in great part Re-written, by James Macdonald, F.R.S.E., Secretary Highland and Agricultural Society of Scotland. Complete in Six Divisional Volumes, bound in cloth, each 10s. 6d., or handsomely bound, in 3 volumes, with leather back and gilt top, £3, 3s.

STEPHENS.

Catechism of Practical Agriculture. 22d Thousand. Revised by James Macdonald, F.R.S.E. With numerous Illustrations. Crown 8vo, 1s.

The Book of Farm Implements and Machines.

and R. Scott Burn, Engineers. Edited by Henry Stephens Large 8vo, £2, 2s. Mr Leopold Lugwell: His Birth and Upbringing.

By PHILIP STERNE. Crown 8vo. 6s.

British Fungi. (Hymenomycetes.) By Rev. JOHN STEVENSON, Author of 'Mycologia Scotica,' Hon. Sec. Cryptogamic Society of Scotland. Vols. I. and II.. post 8vo, with Illustrations, price 12s. 6d. net each.

STEWART AND CUFF. Practical Nursing. By ISLA STEWART, Matron of St Bartholomew's Hospital, London; and Herbert E. Cuff, M.D., F.R.C.S., Medical Superintendent North-Eastern Fever Hospital, Tottenham, London. With Diagrams. In 2 vols. crown 8vo. Vol. I. Second Edition. 3s. 6d. net.

STODDART.

John Stuart Blackie: A Biography. By Anna M. Stoddart. POPULAR EDITION, with Portrait. Crown 8vo, 6s.

STORMONTH.

Dictionary of the English Language, Pronouncing, Etymological, and Explanatory. By the Rev. James Stormonth. Revised by the Rev. P. H. Phelp. Library Edition. New and Cheaper Edition, with Supplement. Imperial 8vo, landsomely bound in half morocco, 18s. net.

Etymological and Pronouncing Dictionary of the English Language. Including a very Copious Selection of Scientific Terms. For use in Schools and Colleges, and as a Book of General Reference. The Pronunciation carefully revised by the Rev. P. H. Phele, M.A. Cantab. Thirteenth Edition, with Supplement. Crown 8vo, pp. 800. 7s. 6d.

andy Dictionary. New Edition, thoroughly Revised. By

Handy Dictionary.

WILLIAM BAYNE. 16mo, 1s.

STORY. The Apostolic Ministry in the Scottish Church (The Baird Lecture for 1897). By ROBERT HERBERT STORY, D.D. (Edin.), F.S.A. Scot., Principal of the University of Glasgow, Principal Clerk of the General Assembly, and Chaplain to the Queen. Crown 8vo, 7s. 6d.

STORY.

Poems By W. W. Story, Author of 'Roba di Roma,' &c.

Fiammetta. A Summer Idyl. Crown 8vo, 7s. 6d. Conversations in a Studio. 2 vols. crown 8vo, 12s. 6d.

Excursions in Art and Letters. Crown 8vo, 7s. 6d. A Poet's Portfolio: Later Readings. 18mo, 3s. 6d.

STRACHEY. Talk at a Country House. Fact and Fiction. By Sir Edward Strachey, Bart. With a portrait of the Author. Crown 8vo, 4s. 6d. net.

LOR. The Story of my Life. By the late Colonel Meadows Taylor, Author of 'The Confessions of a Thug,' &c., &c. Edited by his Daughter. New and Cheaper Edition, being the Fourth. Crown 8vo, 6s. TAYLOR.

THOMSON.

Handy Book of the Flower-Garden: Being Practical Directions for the Propagation, Culture, and Arrangement of Plants in Flower-Gardens all the year round. With Engraved Plans. By DAVID THOMSON, Gardener to his Grace the Duke of Buccleuch, K.T., at Drumlanrig. Fourth and Cheaper Edition. Crown 8vo, 5s.

The Handy Book of Fruit-Culture under Glass: Being a series of Elaborate Practical Treatises on the Cultivation and Forcing of Pines, Vines, Peaches, Figs, Melons, Strawberries, and Cucumbers. With Engravings of Hothouses, &c. Second Edition, Revised and Enlarged. Crown 8vo, 7s. 6d.

THOMSON. A Practical Treatise on the Cultivation of the

Grape Vine. By WILLIAM THOMSON, Tweed Vineyards. Tenth Edition. 8vo, 5s. MSON. Cookery for the Sick and Convalescent. With THOMSON. Directions for the Preparation of Poultices, Fomentations, &c. By BARBARA THOMSON. Fcap. 8vo, Is. 6d.

THORBURN. Asiatic Neighbours. By S. S. Thorburn, Bengal Givil Service, Author of 'Bannu; or, Our Afghan Frontier,' 'David Leslie: A Story of the Afghan Frontier,' 'Musalmans and Money-Lenders in the Panjab.' With Two Maps. Demy 8vo, 10s. 6d. net.

TIELE. Elements of the Science of Religion. Part I.—Morpho-

logical. Part II.—Ontological. Being the Gifford Lectures delivered before the University of Edinburgh in 1896-98. By C. P. Tiele, Theol. D., Litt. D. (Bonon.), Hon. M.R.A.S., &c., Professor of the Science of Religion, in the University of Leiden. In 2 vols. post 8vo, 7s. 6d. net. each. TRANSACTIONS OF THE HIGHLAND AND AGRICUL-

TURAL SOCIETY OF SCOTLAND. Published annually, price 5s.

TRAVERS.

Windyhaugh. By GRAHAM TRAVERS (Margaret G. Todd, M.D.) Fourth Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

Mona Maclean, Medical Student. A Novel. Fourteenth Edi-

tion. Crown 8vo, 6s. Cheaper Edition, 2s. 6d. Fellow Travellers. Fourth Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

TROTTER. A Leader of Light Horse. Life of Hodson of Hodson's Horse. By Captain L. J. TROTTER, Author of 'Life of John Nicholson, Soldier and Statesman.' With a Portrait and 2 Maps. Demy Svo, 16s. DN. Life of Vice-Admiral Sir George Tryon, K.C.B. By

Rear-Admiral C. C. PENROSE FITZGERALD. Cheap Edition. With Portrait and numerous Illustrations. Demy 8vo, 6s.

TULLOCH.

Rational Theology and Christian Philosophy in England in the Seventeenth Century. By John Tulloch, D.D., Principal of St Mary's College in the University of St Andrews, and one of her Majesty's Chaplains in Ordinary in Scotland. Second Edition. 2 vols. 8vo, 16s.

Modern Theories in Philosophy and Religion. 8vo, 15s.

Luther, and other Leaders of the Reformation. Third Edi-

tion, Enlarged. Crown 8vo, 8s. 6d.

Memoir of Principal Tulloch, D.D, LL.D. By Mrs Oliphant,
Author of Life of Edward Irving.' Third and Cheaper Edition. 8vo, with Portrait, 7s. 6d.

EDIE. The Arabian Horse: His Country and People. By Major-General W. Tweedle, C.S.I., Bengal Staff Corps; for many years H.B.M.'s Consul-General, Baghdad, and Political Resident for the Government of India in Turkish Arabia. In one vol. royal 4to, with Seven Coloured Plates and other Illustrations, and a Map of the Country. Price £3, 3s. net. CR. The Whence and the Whither of Man. A Brief His-TWEEDIE.

tory of his Origin and Development through Conformity to Environment. The Morse Lectures of 1895. By John M. Tyler, Professor of Biology, Amherst

College, U.S.A. Post 8vo, 6s. net.

VANDERVELL. A Shuttle of an Empire's Loom; or, Five Months before the Mast on a Modern Steam Cargo-Boat. By HARRY VAN-DERVELL cond Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s.

VEITCH.

Memoir of John Veitch, LL.D., Professor of Logic and Rhetoric, University of Glasgow. By MARY R. L. BRYCE. With Portrait and 3 Photograwure Plates. Demy 8vo, 7s. 6d.

Border Essays. By JOHN VEITCH, LL.D., Professor of Logic

and Rhetoric, University of Glasgow. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d. net.

VEITCH.

The History and Poetry of the Scottish Border: their Main Features and Relations. New and Enlarged Edition. 2 vols. demy 8vo, 16s. Institutes of Logic. Post 8vo. 12s. 6d.

Merlin and other Poems. Fcap. 8vo, 4s. 6d.

Knowing and Being. Essays in Philosophy. First Series. Crown 8vo, 5s.

Dualism and Monism; and other Essays. Essays in Philosophy. Second Series. With an Introduction by R. M. Wenley. Crown 8vo, 4s. 6d. net.

VETCH. CH. Life, Letters, and Diaries of Lieut.-General Sir Gerald Graham, V.C., G.C.B., R.E. By Colonel R. H. Verch, C.B., late Royal Engineers. With Portraits, Plans, and his Principal Despatches. Demy 8vo, 21s.

WACE. Christianity and Agnosticism. Reviews of some Recent Attacks on the Christian Faith. By Henry Wace, D.D., late Principal of King's College, London; Preacher of Lincoln's Inn; Chaplain to the Queen. Second Edition. Post 8vo. 10s. 6d. net.

WADDELL.

An Old Kirk Chronicle: Being a History of Auldhame,
Tyninghame, and Whitekirk, in East Lothian. From Session Records, 1615 to
1850. By Rev. P. HATELY WADDELL, B.D., Minister of the United Parish.
Small Paper Edition 200 Copies. Price £1. Large Paper Edition, 50 Copies. Price, £1, 10s.

Christianity as an Ideal. Crown 8vo, 3s. 6d.

WARREN'S (SAMUEL) WORKS:—

Diary of a Late Physician. Cloth, 2s. 6d.; boards, 2s. Ten Thousand A-Year. Cloth, 3s. 6d.; boards, 2s. 6d. Now and Then. The Lily and the Bee. Intellectual and

Moral Development of the Present Age. 4s. 6d.

Essays: Critical, Imaginative, and Juridical. 5s.

WATSON. Sir Sergeant. A Story of Adventure that ensued upon "The '45." By W. L. WATSON. Crown 8vo, 6s. WENLEY.

Socrates and Christ: A Study in the Philosophy of Religion. By R. M. WENLEY, M.A., D.Sc. D.Phil., Professor of Philosophy in the University of Michigan, U.S.A. Crown 8vo, 6s.

Aspects of Pessimism. Crown 8vo, 68

Thackeray. "Modern English Writers." WHIBLEY. CHARLES WHIBLEY. In 1 vol. crown 8vo. WHITE. Mountains of Necessity. By HESTER WHITE. Crown 8vo, 6s.

WHITE. Sir Frizzle Pumpkin. By Rev. James White. A New Edition. Crown 8vo, 2s.

The Eighteen Christian Centuries. Seventh Edition. Post 8vo, with Index, 6s.

History of France, from the Earliest Times. Sixth Thousand. Post 8vo. with Index, 6s.

Archæological Sketches in Scotland—Kintyre and Knapdale. By Colonel T. P. White, R.E., of the Ordnance Survey. With numerous Illustrations. 2 vols. folio, £4, 4s. Vol. I., Kintyre, sold separately, £2, 2s.

The Ordnance Survey of the United Kingdom. A Popular

Account. Crown 8vo, 5s.

WILLSON. Lucian's Wonderland. Being a Translation of the 'Vera Historia.' By St J. B. Wynne Willson, M.A. With numerous Illustrations by A. Payne Garnett. Fcap. 4to, 6s.

WILSON.

Works of Professor Wilson. Edited by his Son-in-Law, Professor FERRIER. 12 vols. crown 8vo, £2, 8s.

Christopher in his Sporting-Jacket, 2 vols., 8s.

Isle of Palms, City of the Plague, and other Poems. 4s.

Lights and Shadows of Scottish Life, and other Tales. 4s.

Essays, Critical and Imaginative, 4 vols., 16s.

The Noctes Ambrosianæ. 4 vols., 16s.

Homer and his Translators, and the Greek Drama. Crown 8vo, 4s.

WORSLEY.

Homer's Odyssey. Translated into English Verse in the Spenserian Stanza. By PHILLP STANHOPE WORSLEY, M.A. New and Cheaper Edition. Post 8vo, 7s. 6d. net.

Homer's Iliad. Translated by P. S. Worsley and Prof. Conington. 2 vols. crown 8vo, 21s.

WOTHERSPOON. Kyrie Eleison ("Lord, have Mercy"). A Manual of Private Prayers. With Notes and Additional Matter. By H. J. WOTHERSPOON, M.A., of St Oswald's, Edinburgh. Cloth, red edges, 1s. net; limp leather, 1s. 6d. net.

YATE. Khurasan and Sistan. By Lieut. Col. C. E. YATE, C.S.I., C.M.G., F.R.G.S., Indian Staff Corps, Agent to the Governor-General and Chief Commissioner for Baluchistan, late Agent to the Governor-General of India, and Her Britannic Majescy's Consul-General for Khurasan and Sistan. With Map and 25 Illustrations, and Portraits. Demy 8vo, 21s.

ZACK.

On Trial. By ZACK. Second Edition. Crown 8vo, 6s. Life is Life, and other Tales and Episodes. Second Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.







